

SMART BUSINESS FOR SMART SOCIETY

Integrating Technology, Ethics, and Sustainability

Rudy C Tarumingkeng

www.ruduct.com/cv.pdf

RudyCT Academic Series 2026

Bogor, Indonesia • July 2026

SMART BUSINESS FOR SMART SOCIETY

Integrating Technology, Ethics, and Sustainability



By: Rudy C Tarumingkeng
RudyCT Academic Series 2026

Abstract

Smart business is increasingly expected to contribute not only to competitive advantage but also to the construction of an inclusive, resilient, and sustainable smart society. This article develops an integrated framework linking digital technology, business ethics, and sustainability. It examines artificial intelligence, data ecosystems, circular business models, responsible operations, human capital, governance, climate action, digital equity, and Society 5.0. The central argument is that technological intelligence becomes socially valuable only when guided by human purpose, stakeholder accountability, and ecological responsibility. Smart business should therefore be assessed through its contribution to shared prosperity, dignity, trust, resilience, and the Sustainable Development Goals. The article concludes with cases, managerial principles, an integration matrix, a phased roadmap, glossary, and recommendations for turning twin digital–sustainability transformation into durable societal value.

Keywords: smart business; smart society; Society 5.0; responsible AI; business ethics; sustainability; circular economy; digital transformation; stakeholder value; sustainable development

Executive Synthesis

- Smart business connects digital capability to legitimate societal needs.
- Ethics determines how value, power, risk, and responsibility are distributed.
- Sustainability makes ecological and intergenerational consequences part of business logic.
- Society 5.0 requires human-centered integration of cyberspace and physical space.
- Competitive advantage becomes more durable when combined with trust, inclusion, circularity, and resilience.

Introduction: Business in a Connected Society

Introduction: Business in a Connected Society begins with the transition from firm-centered digitalization to social value creation. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines economic vitality, human well-being, and planetary limits. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is fragmented optimization and the externalization of costs. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities

invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward shared prosperity and institutional trust. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

1. Defining Smart Business

1. Defining Smart Business begins with an enterprise that senses, learns, adapts, and coordinates through data and intelligent technology. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines purpose, stakeholder value, resilience, and responsible innovation. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is equating smartness with automation or data volume. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward capabilities that solve meaningful problems. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy,

and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

2. From Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0

2. From Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0 begins with the movement from connected production toward a human-centered integration of cyberspace and physical space. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines technological capability with social problem-solving. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is technology-first transformation that leaves people behind. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward inclusive well-being and resilience. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators,

because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

3. Technology as a Societal Infrastructure

3. Technology as a Societal Infrastructure begins with AI, cloud, IoT, digital twins, platforms, robotics, and advanced analytics. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines access, safety, knowledge, coordination, and public value. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is concentrated power, dependency, surveillance, and exclusion. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward interoperable and rights-respecting ecosystems. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational

ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

4. Ethics as Strategic Architecture

4. Ethics as Strategic Architecture begins with the integration of moral principles into strategy, design, operations, and accountability. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines dignity, fairness, truth, privacy, safety, and responsibility. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is ethics washing and compliance without behavioral change. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward legitimate innovation and durable trust. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

5. Sustainability as a Business Logic

5. Sustainability as a Business Logic begins with meeting present needs while protecting ecological and social capacity for the future. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines the triple bottom line and long-term value. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is short-term extraction and environmental cost shifting. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward regenerative and resource-efficient enterprise. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

6. Stakeholder Capitalism and Shared Value

6. Stakeholder Capitalism and Shared Value begins with the recognition that firms depend on employees, customers, suppliers, communities, institutions, and nature. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand

interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines mutual value creation and fair distribution. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is shareholder primacy interpreted as short-termism. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward a broader license to operate. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

7. Responsible Artificial Intelligence

7. Responsible Artificial Intelligence begins with the design and use of AI that remains valid, safe, transparent, fair, private, and accountable. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines human oversight and context-sensitive risk management. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how

workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is bias, opacity, hallucination, manipulation, and automation dependency. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward augmented judgment and accessible service. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

8. Data Stewardship and Digital Trust

8. Data Stewardship and Digital Trust begins with the responsible care of data across collection, inference, sharing, retention, and deletion. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines purpose limitation, minimization, quality, security, and user agency. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is data extraction beyond reasonable expectations. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers

must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward trusted participation in digital markets. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

9. Smart and Circular Business Models

9. Smart and Circular Business Models begins with using digital visibility and coordination to keep products, components, and materials at their highest value. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines repair, reuse, remanufacture, sharing, and product-as-a-service. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is rebound effects and digitalized overconsumption. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward decoupling value from virgin resource use. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy,

and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

10. Sustainable Operations and Supply Chains

10. Sustainable Operations and Supply Chains begins with connecting real-time information with low-carbon, safe, and resilient operations. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines traceability, efficiency, due diligence, and supplier development. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is surveillance, exclusion of small suppliers, and false precision. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward transparent networks that learn and adapt. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators,

because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

11. Smart Energy and Climate Action

11. Smart Energy and Climate Action begins with using sensing, forecasting, optimization, and distributed resources to accelerate decarbonization. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines efficiency, renewables integration, demand flexibility, and climate resilience. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is energy-intensive computation and inequitable transition costs. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward a just and measurable net-zero pathway. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational

ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

12. Inclusive Innovation and Digital Equity

12. Inclusive Innovation and Digital Equity begins with designing products and services for diverse abilities, incomes, languages, geographies, and levels of connectivity. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines universal design, affordability, participation, and capability building. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is a two-tier society of premium protection and extractive free services. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward broad access to opportunity. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

13. Human Capital for Society 5.0

13. Human Capital for Society 5.0 begins with preparing people to work with intelligent systems while preserving judgment, creativity, empathy, and civic responsibility. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines lifelong learning, reskilling, decent work, and meaningful participation. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is deskilling, displacement without transition, and algorithmic control. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward human-machine complementarity. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

14. Leadership for Twin Transformation

14. Leadership for Twin Transformation begins with guiding digital and sustainability transformation as one strategic agenda. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate

consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines purpose, systems thinking, humility, courage, and collaboration. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is separate technology and ESG programs with conflicting incentives. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward coherent organizational change. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

15. Governance, Boards, and Accountability

15. Governance, Boards, and Accountability begins with aligning board oversight, executive ownership, controls, stakeholder voice, and transparent reporting. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines clear responsibility across the technology lifecycle. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers

participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is diffuse accountability and vendor blame. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward governance that supports both innovation and restraint. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

16. Smart Cities and Business Ecosystems

16. Smart Cities and Business Ecosystems begins with business participation in mobility, energy, health, logistics, housing, education, and urban data systems. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines public value, interoperability, accessibility, and democratic legitimacy. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is private control of essential infrastructure and urban surveillance. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational

boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward place-based innovation and better quality of life. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

17. SMEs and Local Economic Resilience

17. SMEs and Local Economic Resilience begins with enabling smaller enterprises to benefit from digital platforms, finance, analytics, and sustainable practices. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines proportionate governance, shared infrastructure, and local networks. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is vendor lock-in, cybersecurity weakness, and platform dependency. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward distributed innovation and regional vitality. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy,

and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

18. Finance, ESG, and Impact Measurement

18. Finance, ESG, and Impact Measurement begins with directing capital toward enterprises that create credible environmental and social outcomes. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines materiality, additionality, transparency, and long-term risk. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is greenwashing, metric gaming, and box-ticking disclosure. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward capital allocation aligned with real-world transition. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers.

Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

19. Innovation Metrics Beyond Efficiency

19. Innovation Metrics Beyond Efficiency begins with measuring learning, resilience, inclusion, trust, emissions, circularity, and stakeholder capability. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines balanced evidence and distributional analysis. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is vanity metrics, adoption counts, and average performance that hides harm. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward decision quality and accountable progress. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational

ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

20. Cybersecurity and Societal Resilience

20. Cybersecurity and Societal Resilience begins with protecting connected businesses and essential services against disruption and misuse. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines security by design, redundancy, recovery, and shared learning. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is hyper-connected fragility and cascading failure. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward continuity of service and public confidence. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

21. Global South and Indonesian Perspectives

21. Global South and Indonesian Perspectives begins with adapting smart-society strategies to infrastructure gaps, informality, cultural diversity, and development priorities. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines local knowledge, appropriate technology, language inclusion, and institutional capacity. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is imported solutions that create dependence or overlook context. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward sovereign capability and inclusive development. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

22. Case: Smart Agrifood Ecosystem

22. Case: Smart Agrifood Ecosystem begins with connecting farmers, cooperatives, buyers, logistics, finance, weather information, and traceability. This perspective expands the meaning

of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines higher productivity, lower loss, fairer access, and ecological stewardship. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is data asymmetry and platform capture of farmer value. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward a participatory and interoperable rural ecosystem. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

23. Case: Circular Manufacturing Network

23. Case: Circular Manufacturing Network begins with combining product passports, predictive maintenance, reverse logistics, and remanufacturing. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines longer product life, lower material use, skilled work, and customer value. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is complexity, rebound demand, and exclusion of informal repair actors. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward industrial symbiosis and circular competitiveness. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

24. Roadmap to Smart Business for Smart Society

24. Roadmap to Smart Business for Smart Society begins with a phased journey from purpose and baseline to pilots, scaling, ecosystem collaboration, and renewal. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines evidence, participation, governance, learning, and transparency. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is technology theater and transformation fatigue. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward an adaptive portfolio of societal value. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

Conclusion: From Smart Enterprise to Wise Institution

Conclusion: From Smart Enterprise to Wise Institution begins with the evolution from data-driven capability toward judgment about what ought to be done. This perspective expands the meaning of business performance. A firm is not smart merely because it deploys advanced tools; it is smart when information and technology improve its capacity to understand interdependence, anticipate consequences, and create value that can endure. The relevant horizon therefore includes customers and investors, but also workers, suppliers, communities, institutions, ecosystems, and future generations.

The normative foundation combines technology, ethics, and sustainability integrated in everyday management. These ideas convert sustainability and ethics from peripheral reporting themes into criteria for strategy. They shape which problems receive investment, what data may be collected, how workers participate, how benefits and risks are distributed, and which outcomes are measured. Technology becomes an instrument of purpose rather than a substitute for it.

The principal danger is intelligence without wisdom or responsibility. Digital systems can amplify a flawed objective with remarkable speed and scale. They can render externalities invisible by placing them outside the dashboard, contract, or organizational boundary. Managers must therefore examine second-order effects, power asymmetries, rebound effects, and the difference between an efficient transaction and a legitimate relationship.

A responsible approach directs innovation toward business institutions worthy of social trust. It begins with stakeholder participation, clear ownership, proportional risk assessment, credible baselines, and explicit stop criteria. It continues through testing, monitoring, accessible remedy, and transparent learning. These practices do not guarantee perfection; they build the institutional capacity to notice and correct harm before it becomes normalized.

From a systems perspective, every smart-business initiative changes flows of information, materials, money, authority, and attention. Improvements in one node may shift burdens elsewhere. Lifecycle analysis and value-chain mapping help leaders see these transfers. Qualitative evidence from frontline experience should complement quantitative indicators, because important effects—dignity, trust, fear, resilience, and social legitimacy—are not fully captured by a single score.

The managerial implication is practical. Principles must influence budgets, requirements, procurement, incentives, and performance reviews. An ethical commitment that cannot delay a launch or change a design remains symbolic. Similarly, a sustainability target without operational ownership, capital allocation, and auditable data becomes reputation management. Integration means that technology, ethics, and sustainability are discussed in the same decision forum and tested against the same strategic purpose.

Integrated Managerial Framework

Dimension	Core managerial commitment
Purpose	Define the societal and business problem before selecting technology.
Technology	Use secure, interoperable, accessible, and proportionate systems.
Ethics	Protect dignity, fairness, truth, privacy, safety, and agency.
Sustainability	Measure lifecycle environmental and social consequences.
Stakeholders	Include affected groups in problem definition, design, and evaluation.
Governance	Assign ownership, independent challenge, remedy, and board oversight.
Evidence	Combine financial, operational, environmental, social, and qualitative indicators.
Learning	Monitor, disclose, correct, scale responsibly, and retire failed systems.

Recommendations for Business and Policy

1. Align digital portfolios with SDG-relevant business and societal outcomes.
2. Create a joint governance forum for technology, ethics, sustainability, risk, and workforce strategy.
3. Maintain inventories of intelligent systems, data flows, dependencies, and affected stakeholders.
4. Use impact-tiered controls and stronger safeguards for consequential decisions.
5. Build circularity, repairability, energy efficiency, and lifecycle transparency into product requirements.
6. Provide meaningful human review, explanation, appeal, and remediation.
7. Measure distributional outcomes across income, gender, disability, geography, language, and enterprise size.
8. Support SMEs through shared infrastructure, standards, training, and fair platform rules.
9. Make reskilling a funded transition pathway connected to real jobs and career mobility.
10. Require vendor portability, cybersecurity, environmental disclosure, audit rights, and responsible data terms.
11. Combine quantitative ESG metrics with stakeholder experience and independent assurance.
12. Publish lessons from failures and near misses to strengthen ecosystem resilience.
13. Develop local-language datasets and applications through responsible participation.
14. Treat rebound effects and induced demand as part of sustainability assessment.
15. Reward leaders for durable stakeholder outcomes, not technology adoption alone.

Extended Academic Synthesis

The proposition of smart business for smart society challenges the separation between corporate strategy and public consequence. Firms create infrastructures, standards, employment patterns, consumption habits, and information environments. Their digital choices can become quasi-institutional rules. Responsibility therefore grows with scale, indispensability, and the difficulty stakeholders face in opting out.

The framework also reframes innovation. Novelty is not sufficient; innovation should be evaluated by the quality of capability it creates. A platform may connect millions while weakening local bargaining power. An automated service may lower average cost while excluding those with disabilities or limited connectivity. Societal value becomes visible only when aggregate efficiency is examined together with distribution, agency, and resilience.

Ethics contributes the language for these judgments. Dignity limits the treatment of persons as data points. Fairness examines distribution and procedure. Privacy protects autonomy. Truth constrains misleading claims. Responsibility prevents harms from disappearing across suppliers and algorithms. Ethics is most credible when translated into product requirements, capital decisions, performance measures, and remedies.

Sustainability extends responsibility through space and time. The carbon, water, mineral, labor, and waste implications of digital systems may occur far from the user. Lifecycle thinking reconnects them. It also reveals trade-offs: a smart device may save energy during use but create

short replacement cycles; optimization may lower unit cost and increase total consumption. Managers need system boundaries broad enough to detect these effects.

Society 5.0 provides a useful aspiration because it subordinates technological integration to human-centered well-being. Yet the aspiration can become empty if firms pursue only premium markets or governments deploy surveillance. A smart society must preserve democratic voice, pluralism, accessibility, contestability, and spaces outside continuous data capture. Efficiency cannot be the sole constitutional principle of social life.

Developing economies face distinctive choices. They can leapfrog legacy infrastructure, but may also acquire new dependencies on foreign platforms, cloud providers, standards, and intellectual property. Strategic autonomy requires local capability, interoperability, open standards, research, language resources, and competitive ecosystems. Localization should not mean lower protections; it should mean better fit with legitimate local needs.

The role of education is central. Citizens, workers, managers, and public officials need technical, ethical, ecological, and systems literacy. Business education should combine data analysis with stakeholder reasoning and lifecycle assessment. Engineers should learn governance and social context. Sustainability specialists should understand digital architecture. Integration begins in how professions are formed.

Measurement must support, not replace, judgment. Smart-society dashboards can reveal patterns, but indicators shape attention and invite gaming. Transparent definitions, multiple measures, independent assurance, and qualitative evidence are required. Some values—trust, dignity, belonging, and future option value—cannot be reduced without loss. Deliberation remains essential.

Collaboration is similarly indispensable. Climate, mobility, health, food, energy, and circularity cross organizational boundaries. No firm can optimize the whole system alone. Precompetitive standards, data trusts, public–private partnerships, cooperatives, universities, and civil society can coordinate action. Governance must prevent powerful firms from using collaboration to capture rules or exclude smaller actors.

Ultimately, smartness is the capacity to learn responsibly. A smart business recognizes interdependence, tests claims, hears weak signals, admits error, repairs harm, and changes direction. A smart society creates institutions that reward these behaviors. Technology provides unprecedented means of perception and coordination; ethics supplies orientation; sustainability supplies the long horizon. Their integration makes progress both intelligent and worthy.

Glossary

Circular economy: An economic system that eliminates waste, circulates products and materials, and regenerates nature.

Data stewardship: Responsible care of data on behalf of the people and institutions affected by its use.

Digital equity: Fair access to connectivity, devices, skills, protections, and meaningful opportunities in digital society.

Digital twin: A dynamic digital representation of a physical asset, process, place, or system.

ESG: Environmental, social, and governance factors used to assess organizational impacts, risks, and practices.

Ethics by design: Embedding moral requirements into strategy, technology, processes, incentives, and governance.

Greenwashing: Misleading claims that exaggerate or falsely portray environmental performance.

Human-centered AI: AI designed to support human agency, capability, safety, rights, and well-being.

Impact materiality: Significance of an organization's effects on people and the environment.

Interoperability: Ability of different systems to exchange and use information securely and meaningfully.

Just transition: A fair transition to a low-carbon economy that protects workers, communities, and vulnerable groups.

Lifecycle assessment: Evaluation of environmental impacts across sourcing, production, use, and end-of-life.

Regenerative business: Business that seeks to restore and enhance ecological and social systems.

Responsible innovation: Innovation that anticipates consequences, includes affected stakeholders, reflects on values, and responds to evidence.

Smart business: A learning, connected, and adaptive enterprise that uses technology to create durable stakeholder and societal value.

Smart society: A human-centered society using integrated physical and digital systems to advance well-being, inclusion, sustainability, and resilience.

Society 5.0: A vision of a human-centered society integrating cyberspace and physical space to combine economic development with solutions to social problems.

Stakeholder capitalism: A model in which firms create value for multiple stakeholders rather than prioritizing only short-term shareholder returns.

Sustainable Development Goals: Seventeen interconnected global goals adopted by the United Nations for peace, prosperity, people, and planet.

Twin transformation: Integrated pursuit of digital transformation and sustainability transformation.

References

- Alimohammadlou, M., & Khoshsepehr, Z. (2023). The role of Society 5.0 in achieving sustainable development: A spherical fuzzy set approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30, 47630–47654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-25543-2>
- Bocken, N. M. P., Short, S. W., Rana, P., & Evans, S. (2014). A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, 42–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.11.039>
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39–48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(91\)90005-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G)
- Elkington, J. (1998). Accounting for the triple bottom line. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 2(3), 18–22. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb025539>
- Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., et al. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines*, 28, 689–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>
- Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy—A new sustainability paradigm? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 757–768. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048>
- George, G., Merrill, R. K., & Schillebeeckx, S. J. D. (2021). Digital sustainability and entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 45(5), 999–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258719899425>
- Guandalini, I. (2022). Sustainability through digital transformation: A systematic literature review for research guidance. *Journal of Business Research*, 148, 456–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.05.003>
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1, 389–399. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2>
- Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 127, 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005>
- Martin, K. (2019). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160, 835–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3921-3>
- Mouazen, A. M., Hernández-Lara, A. B., Chahine, J., & Halawi, A. (2025). Triple-bottom-line sustainability and Innovation 5.0 management. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 28(5), 1965–2005. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-04-2024-0339>
- NIST. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0). <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.AI.100-1>

- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62–77.
- Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(1), 192–210. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072>
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Business.
- Shneiderman, B. (2020). Human-centered artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 36(6), 495–504. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2020.1741118>
- Stock, T., & Seliger, G. (2016). Opportunities of sustainable manufacturing in Industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP*, 40, 536–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.129>
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.
- Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.
- Zhang, C., Jin, S., Yang, X., & Li, H. (2022). The impact of digital transformation on corporate sustainability. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 1047418. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1047418>