

Reproductive, Maternal, and Child Health

By Prof Ir Rudy C Tarumingkeng, PhD

www.rudycr.com/cv.pdf

IBM-ASMI 2026

Abstract

Reproductive, maternal, and child health (RMCH) is a connected field of public health concerned with the conditions that allow people to exercise reproductive autonomy, experience safe pregnancy and childbirth, and enable children to survive, grow, learn, and participate fully in society. This article presents a life-course and health-systems account of RMCH. It examines sexual and reproductive health and rights; contraception and fertility care; adolescent health; preconception and antenatal care; respectful maternity care; childbirth and postpartum services; newborn survival; nutrition, immunization, and early childhood development; mental health; gender and social determinants; digital health and informatics; quality improvement; financing and governance; and the implications of climate change, conflict, migration, and humanitarian emergencies. The central argument is that RMCH outcomes are produced by an integrated chain of capabilities rather than by isolated clinical interventions. A technically effective service can fail when it is unavailable, unaffordable, unsafe, culturally unacceptable, or disconnected from referral, transport, data, and social support. Conversely, primary health care, skilled and respectful providers, community partnership, reliable commodities, universal health coverage, and accountable information systems can convert evidence into equitable outcomes. The article concludes with a practical framework for policy and management: protect rights, prevent avoidable risk, provide timely and competent care, measure quality and equity, and learn continuously from women, families, adolescents, children, and frontline workers.

Keywords

reproductive health; maternal health; newborn health; child health; adolescent health; primary health care; universal health coverage; health equity; respectful maternity care; health informatics

Glossary

Term	Working definition
Antenatal care	Health care and support provided during pregnancy to promote health, detect complications, prepare for birth, and connect the pregnant person with appropriate services.
Birth preparedness	A planned set of decisions and resources for delivery, including a skilled provider, transport, funds, emergency contacts, and a facility capable of managing complications.
Child survival	The public-health field concerned with preventing deaths among children, especially in the neonatal period and before the fifth birthday.
Continuum of care	A linked pathway of services across time and place, from preconception and pregnancy through childbirth, postpartum care, infancy, childhood, adolescence, and adulthood.
Disrespect and abuse	Behaviours or omissions in health services that violate dignity, privacy, informed consent, bodily integrity, or freedom from discrimination during care.
Early childhood development	The cognitive, language, motor, social, emotional, and physical development occurring from pregnancy through the early years of life.
Family planning	The voluntary ability to decide whether and when to have children and to access information, counselling, and contraceptive methods consistent with personal preferences.
Health equity	The absence of avoidable, unfair, and remediable differences in health and access to health-enabling conditions among population groups.
Maternal mortality ratio	The number of maternal deaths during pregnancy or within a specified period after its end per 100,000 live births in the same period.

Neonatal period	The first 28 completed days of life, a period of particularly high vulnerability to prematurity, birth complications, infection, and congenital conditions.
Primary health care	A whole-of-society approach combining integrated health services, multisectoral action on determinants, and the empowerment of people and communities.
Reproductive justice	A rights-based approach linking reproductive autonomy with the social, economic, and political conditions required to exercise that autonomy in practice.
Respectful maternity care	Care that protects dignity, privacy, confidentiality, informed choice, companionship, freedom from harm, and equitable treatment throughout maternity services.
Skilled health personnel	Accredited health professionals educated and trained to competence in the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed for safe pregnancy, childbirth, and postnatal care.
Social determinants of health	The conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, learn, age, and access power, resources, and services that shape health outcomes.
Universal health coverage	A situation in which all people can obtain needed quality health services without financial hardship.

1. Introduction The Human Meaning of RMCH

Reproductive, maternal, and child health is often presented as a group of technical programmes: contraception, antenatal visits, safe delivery, newborn resuscitation, immunization, nutrition, and treatment of childhood illness. Each programme is important, but the programme view can obscure the human and institutional relationships that determine whether an intervention reaches a person in time. A pregnant adolescent may know that antenatal care is beneficial but be unable to attend because transport is unaffordable, the clinic is distant, the provider is judgmental, or the household does not permit her to travel. A newborn may be delivered by a trained professional yet remain at risk because the facility lacks oxygen, antibiotics, warmth, referral capacity, or a functioning record. A child may receive vaccines but still be prevented from thriving by poverty, unsafe water, inadequate food, violence, or a lack of responsive care. RMCH therefore requires attention to both clinical effectiveness and the conditions of access, trust, continuity, and accountability.

The field begins with a moral proposition: every person has the right to make informed decisions about sexuality and reproduction, to receive care without discrimination, and to enjoy the conditions needed for children to survive and develop. The rights dimension is not an optional ethical supplement to public health. It is a practical condition of effectiveness. Coercive contraception, forced procedures, breaches of confidentiality, or disrespectful treatment can reduce service use and damage trust for an entire community. Conversely, services that listen, explain, obtain consent, and support choice tend to create a stronger relationship between households and the health system. Sexual and reproductive health and rights are consequently inseparable from maternal and child

survival, even when they are administered through different departments or budgets (Starrs et al., 2018).

An integrated perspective also changes the way outcomes are interpreted. Mortality statistics are essential for identifying preventable loss, but they do not capture the full burden of disability, infertility, anaemia, depression, birth injury, developmental delay, violence, or the financial distress produced by seeking care. Nor do aggregate averages show who is left behind. A national maternal mortality ratio can improve while rural women, poor households, migrants, Indigenous populations, adolescents, or women with disabilities continue to experience much higher risk. Management decisions should therefore combine outcome measures with measures of coverage, quality, experience, equity, readiness, and financial protection. A health system is not successful merely because it reports a service contact; it is successful when the contact is timely, competent, safe, respectful, and connected to an outcome that matters to the person and family.

This article develops a narrative framework for understanding RMCH as a life-course system. It moves from reproductive health and adolescence to pregnancy, childbirth, postpartum care, newborn survival, child development, and the wider determinants of health. It then considers informatics, workforce, financing, governance, climate, conflict, and the managerial capability required to translate evidence into routine practice. The discussion is deliberately relevant to low- and middle-income settings, including Indonesia, where geography, decentralization, household resources, social norms, and variation in facility capacity shape the practical meaning of universal access. The central management question is not simply, “How many services were delivered?” It is, “Can the system reliably help each person receive the right support, at the right time, in the right place, with dignity and without preventable financial or social harm?”

2. A Life Course and Continuum of Care Framework

The life-course approach views health as accumulated across stages rather than as a sequence of disconnected episodes. Biological processes, household resources, education, nutrition, exposures, relationships, and experiences with institutions interact over time. A girl who experiences anaemia, violence, or interrupted education may enter adolescence with risks that influence pregnancy much later. A pregnant person who cannot obtain respectful care may suffer physical and psychological consequences after birth. A premature newborn who survives may require developmental support during childhood. The same family may encounter the health system repeatedly, and each encounter can either strengthen or weaken future engagement. The life-course framework thus invites managers to look for pathways, not isolated events.

The continuum of care has at least three dimensions. The first is time: preconception, pregnancy, childbirth, the early postnatal period, infancy, childhood, adolescence, and later reproductive life. The second is place: household, community, primary-care facility, hospital, school, workplace, and social-service setting. The third is level of complexity: health promotion, prevention, screening, routine treatment, emergency care, rehabilitation, and palliative or supportive services where appropriate. A referral system that performs well at one level but breaks between levels cannot deliver a continuum. Similarly, a programme that reaches a facility but not the household may miss violence, nutrition, mental distress, or barriers that determine whether care is completed.

Continuity does not mean that every person must receive the same pathway. Good continuity is adaptive. A low-risk pregnancy should not be medicalized unnecessarily, while a pregnancy involving hypertension, diabetes, severe anaemia, multiple gestation, or previous complications needs risk-appropriate surveillance and referral. Children require age-appropriate assessment, and adolescents require confidentiality and communication suited to their developmental stage. The managerial objective is to make the system predictable enough that no one is lost, yet flexible enough to respond to difference. This requires clear clinical protocols, competent judgement, referral feedback, and local knowledge about transport, language, culture, and family circumstances.

The continuum also links RMCH to primary health care. Primary health care is not merely the lowest level of treatment; it is a platform for community partnership, prevention, longitudinal relationships, and coordination. Community health workers, midwives, nurses, family doctors, schools, civil-society organizations, and local government can identify risk before it becomes an emergency. Hospitals remain essential for complications, surgery, neonatal intensive care, and specialist consultation, but they cannot substitute for trusted services close to where people live. For Indonesia's archipelagic geography, continuity may involve village-based services, mobile teams, teleconsultation, reliable referral boats or ambulances, and district-level coordination. The design principle is to bring routine support near the population while ensuring rapid access to higher-level care when needed.

3. Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights

Reproductive health includes the ability to experience a safe and satisfying reproductive life, to decide whether and when to have children, and to obtain information and services that support those decisions. It encompasses contraception, fertility care, prevention and treatment of sexually transmitted infections, menstrual health, sexual health, safe pregnancy and childbirth, post-abortion care, prevention of gender-based violence, and attention to reproductive cancers. The category is broad because reproductive lives are broad. A narrow focus on pregnancy overlooks the years before conception, the health of partners, the consequences of violence, and the needs of people who do not wish to become pregnant. A comprehensive policy therefore combines clinical services with education, privacy, protection, and social support.

Rights-based care places autonomy and informed choice at the centre. A person should receive understandable information about benefits, risks, alternatives, and the possibility of declining a method or procedure. Counselling should not be reduced to a provider's preferred method or to population targets. Quality family planning is measured by voluntariness, method availability, continuity, side-effect support, method switching, and satisfaction, not merely by contraceptive prevalence. Services should be accessible to adolescents and unmarried people where legally and ethically appropriate, while safeguarding confidentiality and protection from exploitation. The approach is especially important in settings where stigma prevents young people from asking questions or seeking timely care.

Contraception can prevent unintended pregnancy, reduce closely spaced pregnancies, support educational and economic participation, and lower exposure to pregnancy-related risks. However, contraception is not a single intervention. Short-acting methods, long-acting reversible methods, permanent methods, barrier methods, fertility-awareness approaches, and emergency contraception each have different requirements and user preferences. Providers must explain realistic

effectiveness, common side effects, warning signs, interactions, return to fertility, and protection against sexually transmitted infections. Stock-outs, provider bias, unnecessary medical eligibility barriers, and lack of follow-up can convert a theoretically available method into an unusable one. Supply-chain management is therefore part of reproductive rights.

Reproductive health is also shaped by the legal, social, and economic environment. Gender inequality can limit decision-making power; violence can result in pregnancy, injury, infection, and trauma; child marriage can expose adolescents to early pregnancy and interrupted schooling; and poverty can force people to choose between transport, food, medicines, and care. Policy must connect health services with education, social protection, justice, protection services, and community leadership. In a plural society, respectful engagement with religious and community leaders can reduce stigma when it is grounded in rights and accurate health information. The objective is not to impose a uniform cultural model but to ensure that culture is not used to justify preventable harm, coercion, or exclusion.

4. Adolescence A Strategic Window for Prevention

Adolescence is a period of rapid biological, cognitive, emotional, and social change. It is also a strategic window for prevention because behaviours, relationships, educational trajectories, and health practices are being formed. Adolescents may need information about menstruation, puberty, contraception, sexually transmitted infections, consent, relationships, nutrition, mental health, substance use, violence, and digital safety. Yet many services remain designed for adults, with opening hours, registration procedures, language, and provider attitudes that discourage young people. If a service is technically available but adolescents fear being seen, judged, or exposed, effective coverage is low.

Adolescent-friendly care requires privacy, confidentiality, respectful communication, accessible hours, and staff trained to distinguish normal developmental variation from clinical risk. Providers should avoid moralizing and should ask open questions about safety, relationships, mood, substance use, and sexual health. Consent and confidentiality rules need to be clear and locally appropriate, with transparent exceptions for imminent danger or abuse. Schools can support health literacy and referral, but school-based programmes should not exclude adolescents who are out of school, working, married, displaced, or living with disability. Community and digital channels can extend reach, provided that they protect personal data and do not replace the option of in-person care.

Pregnancy during adolescence illustrates the intersection of biology and social conditions. Younger adolescents may face increased risk because of biological immaturity, while older adolescents may face risk from poverty, limited autonomy, delayed care, or lack of social support. The response should not be limited to telling adolescents to avoid pregnancy. It should include comprehensive sexuality education, voluntary contraception, protection from coercion and violence, access to antenatal and maternity care, continuation of education, mental-health support, and protection of the newborn. An adolescent who is already pregnant needs compassionate care rather than punishment or exclusion. The health system's response can determine whether a difficult situation becomes manageable or devastating.

Adolescents also represent an important resource for health improvement. Peer educators, youth advisory boards, student organizations, and participatory research can identify barriers invisible to

adults. Digital platforms can provide reliable information, but misinformation, commercial influence, cyberbullying, and privacy risks must be addressed. Managers should monitor not only the number of adolescent visits but also reasons for non-use, waiting times, confidentiality breaches, satisfaction, completion of referrals, and differences by gender, disability, location, and socioeconomic status. Adolescents should be treated as partners in service design. Participation becomes meaningful when their feedback changes procedures, budgets, communication, and accountability.

5. Preconception and Antenatal Health

Preconception care begins before a person intends to become pregnant, although it should not be restricted to those actively planning pregnancy. It includes health promotion, vaccination, management of chronic conditions, medication review, nutrition, mental health, infection prevention, screening where indicated, and counselling about tobacco, alcohol, drugs, environmental hazards, violence, and genetic or family risks. Many pregnancies are unplanned, so the wider population needs access to information and preventive services. Preconception health also involves men and partners, since infections, genetic factors, violence, substance use, and household decision-making influence pregnancy and child wellbeing.

Antenatal care should be understood as more than a schedule of visits. Each contact is an opportunity to establish trust, assess risk, prevent complications, support healthy behaviour, prepare for birth, and identify social needs. Blood pressure measurement, testing for anaemia and infections, vaccination, nutrition counselling, danger-sign education, and birth planning are important, but the quality of communication matters equally. The provider should ask what the pregnant person understands, what worries them, who supports them, and what barriers could prevent attendance or referral. A record that documents a normal measurement but not a warning sign expressed by the patient is clinically incomplete.

Pregnancy risk is dynamic. A person who is low risk at the first visit may later develop hypertension, bleeding, diabetes, infection, mental distress, or reduced fetal movement. Risk assessment should therefore be repeated, and referral pathways should be explicit. Managers should ensure that primary facilities can identify danger signs, stabilize patients, communicate with receiving hospitals, and arrange transport. A referral form is not a referral system if the receiving facility is not informed, transport is unavailable, or feedback never returns. District teams can use referral audits to identify delays in decision-making, departure, travel, admission, diagnosis, and treatment.

Quality antenatal care also protects against overmedicalization. Unnecessary tests, interventions, and restrictions can increase cost and anxiety without improving outcomes. Evidence-based care should be proportionate to risk and responsive to the person's values. Group antenatal care may support education and social connection when privacy and individual assessment are preserved. Community health workers can support reminders, home visits, nutrition, and danger-sign recognition, but they should not carry responsibilities beyond their training or be used as a substitute for professional care. The management task is to combine standardization of essential safety processes with discretion for individual circumstances.

6. Pregnancy Complications and Maternal Safety

Maternal deaths are often the final event in a chain that begins with a health condition and is amplified by delay, inadequate quality, or social vulnerability. Haemorrhage, hypertensive disorders, sepsis, embolism, obstructed labour, and complications of unsafe abortion are among the major direct causes, while anaemia, malaria, HIV, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mental health conditions can contribute indirectly. The relative pattern differs by setting, but the managerial lesson is consistent: emergency readiness, early recognition, timely treatment, and coordinated referral save lives. Maternal mortality review should therefore seek actionable system failures, not merely assign blame to individuals.

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy require reliable blood-pressure measurement, symptom assessment, appropriate laboratory or clinical evaluation, timely medicines, monitoring, and escalation. Postpartum haemorrhage requires prevention, early measurement of blood loss, uterotonics, tranexamic acid when indicated, uterine massage, blood availability, procedures, surgery, and post-event follow-up. Sepsis requires infection prevention, recognition, cultures or tests where available, antibiotics, source control, and escalation. These are not separate checklists floating above the system. They depend on trained teams, electricity, water, sterile equipment, essential medicines, blood services, laboratory support, operating theatres, and transport.

Maternal safety includes mental health and social safety. Depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress, psychosis, and suicidal thoughts can occur during pregnancy or after birth. Violence may escalate during pregnancy and may be hidden from providers. Screening should be accompanied by a safe response, confidentiality, referral, and emergency protection; asking about risk without offering help can increase frustration or danger. Providers need training to listen without judgement and to understand local support pathways. Postpartum care should not end at discharge because the period after birth contains substantial medical, psychological, and social risk.

Respectful maternity care is a clinical quality issue because fear and humiliation can reduce future use and delay care. A person who is shouted at, denied a companion, exposed without privacy, restrained without explanation, or subjected to a procedure without consent may avoid a facility in a subsequent emergency. Quality improvement should measure experience of care through confidential interviews, community feedback, complaints analysis, and observation. The solution is not to stigmatize providers, who often work under intense pressure, but to redesign staffing, supervision, communication, and accountability so that dignity is feasible in routine practice. Leadership must make respect a measurable expectation supported by resources.

7. Childbirth and the Postpartum Period

Childbirth is a physiological process that can become an emergency. Safe care balances respect for normal labour with the capability to detect and manage complications. Skilled personnel should monitor the mother and fetus appropriately, support mobility and comfort, communicate clearly, and use interventions based on clinical indication. A facility should have medicines, equipment, infection prevention, newborn resuscitation capacity, blood or referral access, and a team able to act at any hour. The difference between an appropriate referral and a dangerous delay often depends on the readiness of the first point of contact.

The concept of the skilled birth attendant should not be reduced to professional presence. Competence includes technical skills, teamwork, situational awareness, communication, ethics, and the ability to seek help. Simulation, drills, mentorship, and review of actual cases can strengthen preparedness. Teams should rehearse postpartum haemorrhage, eclampsia, neonatal resuscitation, sepsis, shoulder dystocia, and referral. After an event, a structured debrief can identify what worked, what failed, and what needs to change. Such learning must be psychologically safe and focused on improvement, while serious negligence or abuse still requires appropriate accountability.

The first 24 hours after birth are critical. Mothers need assessment for bleeding, blood pressure, infection, pain, urinary or bowel difficulties, breastfeeding, mood, and safety. Newborns need warmth, breathing assessment, feeding support, infection prevention, cord care according to local guidance, and examination for danger signs. Families need practical teaching about when to seek help. Home visits and community follow-up can identify problems that are missed at discharge, including poor feeding, jaundice, fever, maternal depression, and domestic violence. Postnatal care should be scheduled and reachable rather than treated as an optional courtesy.

Postpartum services also provide an opportunity to connect families with contraception, immunization, nutrition, birth registration, social protection, and early childhood support. The timing of counselling matters: information given during labour may not be retained, and a person in pain or distress may not be able to make complex decisions. Counselling should be repeated, voluntary, and adapted to the individual's priorities. Health workers should recognize that the postpartum period is a transition in identity, relationships, household workload, and financial demands. A management model focused only on the delivery episode misses the period in which many preventable complications and long-term difficulties emerge.

8. Newborn Survival and Neonatal Care

The neonatal period is a distinct phase of health care because physiology changes rapidly and small delays can have major consequences. Prematurity, low birth weight, birth asphyxia, sepsis, congenital conditions, and complications of labour account for a large share of newborn deaths and disability. Prevention begins before birth through maternal nutrition, infection prevention, antenatal corticosteroids when clinically indicated, management of hypertensive disorders, and preparedness for preterm or complicated birth. At birth, essential newborn care includes warmth, breathing support when required, skin-to-skin contact, early feeding, infection prevention, and prompt recognition of danger signs.

Neonatal care is a system rather than a single unit. Basic care may be provided at a primary facility, while small and sick newborns need special or intensive care, oxygen, respiratory support, antibiotics, feeding support, laboratory monitoring, and skilled nursing. The boundaries between levels should be clear, and referral should occur before deterioration when possible. Families should be treated as partners rather than visitors. Kangaroo mother care, breast milk support, respectful communication, and family involvement can improve care while reducing separation and stress. Where technology is limited, disciplined basic care and early referral can be more valuable than the purchase of sophisticated equipment that cannot be maintained.

Prematurity illustrates the need for integrated planning. A premature infant may require prolonged hospitalization, repeated visits, transport, and time away from work for caregivers. Costs can be

catastrophic even when clinical services are nominally free. Social protection, accommodation near hospitals, nutrition support, and flexible visiting policies may influence survival and developmental outcome. Discharge planning should include feeding, temperature, medication, danger signs, follow-up, vaccination, hearing or eye assessment when indicated, and developmental monitoring. The family should know who to contact and what to do if the infant deteriorates.

Neonatal quality improvement benefits from measurement at the point of care. Teams can track thermal care, breastfeeding initiation, infection prevention, antenatal steroid use when eligible, positive-pressure ventilation competence, antibiotic timing, referral delays, and mortality by birth weight or gestational age. Data should be used to ask why variation exists. A high mortality rate may reflect case mix, late referral, inadequate equipment, staffing, infection, or documentation problems. Without clinical context, numbers can create unfair comparisons. The purpose of neonatal information is to reveal preventable gaps and support learning, not to punish units serving the most complex patients.

9. Child Health Survival Growth and Development

Child health extends beyond survival. A child needs adequate nutrition, protection from infection and injury, opportunities for responsive interaction, early learning, emotional security, and access to treatment and rehabilitation when problems occur. The first years of life are particularly important because brain development is shaped by nutrition, stimulation, caregiving, and the absence or presence of toxic stress. The framework of nurturing care connects good health with adequate nutrition, responsive caregiving, security and safety, and opportunities for early learning. A clinic that treats diarrhoea but ignores household water, food security, caregiver exhaustion, or violence addresses only part of the problem.

Immunization remains one of the most cost-effective population interventions, but high coverage requires more than vaccine supply. Managers must address cold-chain reliability, stock management, trained staff, safe injection, record accuracy, demand generation, outreach, and follow-up of children who miss doses. Confidence is shaped by trust, communication, prior experience, and the behaviour of influential community members. A respectful conversation about concerns is usually more effective than ridicule. Digital reminders and registries can help, but they should be designed for families with limited connectivity and should not create a second system that excludes those without smartphones or formal identification.

Common childhood conditions such as pneumonia, diarrhoea, malaria, measles, malnutrition, and neonatal complications require integrated case management. The provider must assess severity, identify danger signs, treat according to evidence, counsel the caregiver, and arrange follow-up. Antibiotic stewardship matters because unnecessary treatment causes harm and resistance, while delayed treatment of serious infection can be fatal. Oral rehydration, zinc where recommended, breastfeeding support, oxygen for hypoxaemia, nutrition treatment, and referral are practical examples of how simple interventions depend on accurate assessment. Supply chains and supervision are therefore clinical determinants, not administrative details.

Growth monitoring should support action rather than become a ritual of weighing and recording. When growth falters, the response should explore feeding, illness, food availability, caregiving, sanitation, and social stress. Stunting and wasting are not simply individual failures; they reflect the

interaction of diet, infection, poverty, maternal health, and public services. Early identification of developmental difficulties can enable counselling, referral, inclusive education, hearing and vision support, and rehabilitation. The health system should avoid labelling children in a way that creates stigma. Its responsibility is to make support available and to help families understand both strengths and needs.

10. Nutrition Breastfeeding and Early Childhood Development

Maternal and child nutrition connects reproductive health with lifelong capacity. Anaemia can reduce energy, productivity, and pregnancy resilience; undernutrition can increase infection and poor growth; excessive or unhealthy weight can increase pregnancy and noncommunicable disease risk. Nutrition counselling should be practical and sensitive to food access, culture, household decision-making, and workload. Supplements may be important, but they cannot compensate for food insecurity, unsafe water, infection, or an inability to rest and attend care. Programmes should integrate nutrition with agriculture, social protection, education, sanitation, and economic policy.

Breastfeeding provides nutritional, immunological, developmental, and relational benefits, while also being influenced by maternity practices, workplace conditions, marketing, family beliefs, pain, illness, and social support. The evidence does not justify blaming mothers when breastfeeding is difficult. Effective support requires skilled assistance with positioning and attachment, early contact, protection from unnecessary separation, help for preterm or ill infants, and safe alternatives when breastfeeding is not possible. Health facilities and employers share responsibility. Policies should protect feeding choices while regulating commercial promotion and ensuring that families receive accurate, non-coercive information (Victora et al., 2016).

Complementary feeding after infancy requires attention to dietary diversity, meal frequency, texture, micronutrients, responsive feeding, hygiene, and affordability. Advice that assumes access to expensive foods can undermine trust. Community demonstration, local food knowledge, social protection, and market policy can improve feasibility. In emergencies, nutrition services must protect breastfeeding, identify wasting, manage infant feeding safely, and prioritize children with disability or illness. Managers should analyse who is not reached by programmes. Average coverage can conceal that the poorest households, remote islands, informal settlements, or displaced families receive the least support.

Early childhood development requires time and relationship, not only materials. Caregivers can support language, play, movement, and emotional regulation through ordinary routines such as feeding, bathing, singing, reading, and conversation. Health workers can integrate developmental counselling into immunization and sick-child visits. They can also detect caregiver depression, stress, violence, and lack of support. Services for children with developmental differences should emphasize inclusion and functional participation. The early years are a shared responsibility among families, health services, early education, social protection, and communities. A policy that invests in survival but not development produces avoidable inequity later.

11. Mental Health Violence Disability and Protection

Mental health is present throughout RMCH. Adolescents may experience depression, anxiety, self-harm, substance-related problems, or trauma. Pregnant and postpartum people may experience

depression, anxiety, psychosis, or post-traumatic stress. Parents of a sick or disabled child may experience exhaustion, grief, stigma, and financial distress. Children may face abuse, neglect, bullying, displacement, or violence. These conditions influence adherence, nutrition, care-seeking, development, and safety. Integrating mental health into primary care is therefore not a diversion from RMCH; it is part of providing complete care.

Integration should be practical. Providers need brief tools for identifying distress and danger, communication skills, referral options, and supervision. Not every clinic can offer specialist psychotherapy, but every clinic can listen respectfully, assess immediate safety, provide basic psychosocial support, involve appropriate trusted persons with consent, and link the family to services. Confidentiality must be maintained, especially for adolescents and survivors of violence. When risk of self-harm, homicide, abuse, or severe psychosis is present, urgent protection and clinical assessment are required. Protocols should clarify responsibilities so that no one assumes another service will respond.

Gender-based violence is a health and human-rights issue. It may occur before, during, or after pregnancy and may involve physical, sexual, psychological, or economic harm. A health facility should provide first-line support, clinical care, documentation according to law, emergency contraception or post-exposure interventions when indicated, and safe referral. Providers should not pressure a survivor to confront a perpetrator or disclose more than necessary. The safest response depends on context. Service managers need partnerships with protection, legal, shelter, and community organizations, while ensuring that referral does not expose the survivor to additional danger.

Disability-inclusive RMCH requires accessible buildings, communication, examination equipment, transport, and respectful attitudes. People with disabilities may be incorrectly assumed not to need contraception, may encounter barriers to consent, or may be excluded from maternal and child services. Children with disabilities require early identification, rehabilitation, family support, assistive technology, and inclusive education. Data systems should capture disability without reducing a person to a category. The test of inclusion is practical: can a person obtain information, reach the service, communicate, give informed consent, receive competent care, and return for follow-up without avoidable barriers?

12. Equity and the Social Determinants of Health

RMCH outcomes are shaped by income, education, gender relations, residence, ethnicity, disability, age, migration status, legal status, occupation, housing, nutrition, transport, and exposure to violence or environmental hazards. These determinants influence both risk and access. A family may live far from a facility, lack a phone, have no money for transport, or fear discrimination. A health worker may provide excellent counselling, but the family still cannot follow advice if clean water is absent or food is unaffordable. Equity-oriented management therefore asks what barriers are produced outside the clinic and which can be reduced through partnership with other sectors.

Measuring equity requires disaggregated data. Indicators should be examined by wealth, geography, gender, age, disability, education, ethnicity where ethically and legally appropriate, and other relevant characteristics. Managers should examine the gap between the highest- and lowest-performing groups, not just the national average. The concentration of deaths or missed services may reveal a small number of districts or populations requiring targeted support. However, data collection

must protect privacy and avoid stigmatization. Community representatives should help decide what information is necessary, how it will be interpreted, and how findings will lead to action.

Financial protection is central to equitable care. User fees, transport costs, medicines bought outside the facility, informal payments, accommodation, and lost wages can make a nominally free service unaffordable. Catastrophic expenditure can affect the whole household and may cause families to delay future care. Universal health coverage should therefore include essential RMCH services, referral, medicines, diagnostics, and newborn or child care, with mechanisms for vulnerable populations. Cash transfers, transport vouchers, maternity waiting homes, community funds, and social insurance can be useful, but they must be designed around actual barriers rather than administrative convenience.

Equity also involves power. Women, adolescents, people with disabilities, migrants, and poor households may have less influence over decisions that affect their health. Participatory governance can redistribute some of this power by giving communities a role in facility committees, quality review, research, and budget priorities. Participation should be representative and supported with information and feedback. A meeting in which community members can speak but nothing changes is consultation theatre. Meaningful participation is demonstrated when services alter opening hours, communication, referral arrangements, privacy practices, or staffing in response to documented experience.

13. Health Informatics Digital Health and Data Governance

Health informatics can strengthen RMCH by linking information across encounters, identifying missed services, supporting clinical decisions, monitoring commodities, and enabling population-level planning. A person may have records from a village post, midwife, hospital, laboratory, immunization programme, and social service. If these records cannot communicate, the system depends on memory and paper, and important details are lost. Interoperable digital records can reduce duplication and improve continuity, but technology is not a substitute for clinical competence, functioning facilities, or respectful relationships. Digital health succeeds when it solves a defined problem for users.

Useful RMCH applications include appointment reminders, pregnancy and newborn danger-sign education, immunization registries, electronic referral, stock alerts, maternal and perinatal death surveillance, teleconsultation, geographic mapping, and dashboards that display coverage and equity. Algorithms may help identify households at risk of missed care, but risk scores should support—not replace—professional judgement. A model trained on incomplete or biased data can reproduce inequity. It may classify a remote or poor household as “high risk” without revealing that the real problem is lack of transport. The correct response may be a social or logistical intervention rather than more clinical surveillance.

Data governance is especially important because RMCH records contain sensitive information about sexual health, pregnancy, HIV, violence, infertility, mental health, and children. Consent, purpose limitation, access control, encryption, audit trails, retention rules, and breach response should be built into system design. Data should not be used to punish individuals for seeking care or to expose adolescents, survivors, migrants, or marginalized groups. Governance must clarify who

owns or stewards the data, who may access it, how decisions are explained, and how people can correct errors. Trust is an asset that can be lost through a single preventable disclosure.

Digital systems can also create new burdens. If providers must enter the same information into multiple platforms, screen time may increase while patient interaction declines. Poor connectivity can make electronic documentation impossible at the point of care. A well-designed system allows offline work, simple interfaces, local language, decision support that is clinically relevant, and synchronization when a connection returns. Managers should measure usability, completeness, timeliness, errors, and effects on workload. Implementation should begin with workflow mapping and co-design with midwives, nurses, doctors, community workers, registrars, managers, and families. Technology should follow the care pathway, not force care to follow the software.

14. Workforce Quality Improvement and Patient Safety

RMCH depends on a workforce that is sufficient in number, competent, motivated, supported, and distributed fairly. Midwives, nurses, doctors, community health workers, pharmacists, laboratory staff, nutritionists, mental-health workers, social workers, data personnel, and administrators each contribute to outcomes. Shortages in one role can overload others. Rural and remote areas may experience high turnover, limited housing, unsafe working conditions, weak supervision, and fewer opportunities for professional development. Workforce policy must address education, recruitment, retention, task-sharing, career pathways, remuneration, gender safety, and supportive management.

Competence is sustained through pre-service education and continuing learning. Simulation can improve emergency response; mentorship can strengthen judgement; clinical audits can identify variation; and team training can improve communication. Training should be linked to a service problem and followed by observation or coaching. Sending staff to a workshop without changing equipment, staffing, or supervision rarely produces durable improvement. Managers should protect time for learning and should recognize that fatigue and moral distress affect safety. A provider who works excessive hours without support is a system risk, not simply an individual who must try harder.

Quality improvement is a method of learning from routine work. Teams define a problem, measure a baseline, identify causes, test a change, examine results, and adapt. Examples include reducing delay in administering antibiotics to a septic newborn, improving follow-up of hypertensive pregnant women, increasing completion of childhood vaccination, or protecting privacy for adolescents. The Plan-Do-Study-Act cycle is useful when it is grounded in observation and patient experience. It becomes ritualistic when teams report activities without examining outcomes. Improvement indicators should be few enough to use and meaningful enough to guide decisions.

Patient safety requires systems that anticipate error. Standard operating procedures, checklists, medicine reconciliation, handover tools, identification processes, infection prevention, equipment maintenance, and incident reporting can reduce harm. Reporting should be non-punitive for honest mistakes while maintaining accountability for reckless or abusive conduct. Leaders should distinguish active errors from latent conditions such as poor staffing, confusing forms, missing supplies, or unclear authority. A safety culture is visible when staff can raise concerns, patients can complain without retaliation, and management responds with corrective action. Respectful care and safety reinforce each other because people are more likely to disclose symptoms and ask questions when they feel secure.

15. Financing Governance and Health-System Management

RMCH requires sustained financing because services depend on recurrent costs: salaries, medicines, vaccines, supplies, transport, maintenance, training, information systems, and supervision. Project funding can introduce useful innovation but can also fragment priorities, create parallel reporting, and withdraw before local institutions can sustain activities. Governments and partners should align investments with national and district plans, strengthen public financial management, and make recurrent costs visible. A service is not scaled merely because a pilot was successful; scale requires predictable funding, procurement, workforce, supervision, data, and political commitment.

Governance links resources to responsibility. National authorities set standards and financing rules; provinces or districts adapt implementation; facilities organize care; communities provide oversight and feedback. Decentralization can improve responsiveness, but only when roles, authority, capacity, and accountability are clear. If a district is held responsible for outcomes but cannot hire staff or obtain supplies, accountability is unfair. If facilities have no discretion to solve local problems, management becomes passive. Good governance combines clear standards with room for local adaptation, transparent budgets, reliable information, and consequences for persistent neglect or abuse.

RMCH indicators should form a balanced scorecard. Outcome measures include maternal, neonatal, and child mortality, severe morbidity, low birth weight, developmental outcomes, and avoidable disability. Coverage measures include contraception, antenatal care, skilled birth attendance, postnatal checks, immunization, nutrition, and treatment. Quality measures include clinical readiness, respectful care, timeliness, referral completion, medicine availability, and safety incidents. Equity measures show who benefits and who is missed. Financial protection measures capture out-of-pocket spending and catastrophic costs. No single indicator can represent system performance, and target pressure should not encourage coercion, data manipulation, or inappropriate intervention.

Leadership in RMCH is relational and analytical. Leaders must interpret epidemiological data, listen to families and staff, negotiate across sectors, prioritize under scarcity, and protect professional ethics. They must also communicate uncertainty. A district may improve rapidly in vaccination while facing a rise in adolescent mental-health needs; a hospital may reduce mortality but increase caesarean sections beyond clinical need; a digital dashboard may show high coverage while missing undocumented households. Strategic management means seeing such tensions and making explicit choices. The most effective leaders create a learning institution in which evidence, experience, rights, and resources are considered together.

16. Climate Change Conflict and Humanitarian Settings

Climate change affects RMCH through heat, air pollution, floods, drought, storms, vector-borne disease, food insecurity, displacement, and disruption of health services. Pregnancy can increase vulnerability to heat stress and infectious disease, while children are especially sensitive to dehydration, malnutrition, respiratory exposures, and interruption of education. A facility may be damaged by flooding, lose electricity during a heatwave, or face a surge in diarrhoeal disease after water contamination. Climate-resilient RMCH planning includes risk mapping, safe water, backup

power, cold-chain protection, resilient transport, heat protocols, emergency stocks, and continuity plans for antenatal, delivery, newborn, and immunization services.

Environmental health should be integrated into clinical counselling and facility management. Indoor air quality, clean cooking, smoke exposure, safe waste disposal, water and sanitation, and occupational safety affect mothers, children, and workers. Climate adaptation must not be reduced to infrastructure alone. Communities need early warning, trusted communication, evacuation plans, and social protection. Facilities should monitor service disruption and identify groups that cannot reach care after a disaster. Digital tools can support mapping and alerts, but paper and community communication remain essential when networks fail.

Conflict and humanitarian emergencies increase risks through displacement, insecurity, sexual violence, food insecurity, interrupted immunization, lack of skilled care, and the destruction of health infrastructure. Services should maintain an essential RMCH package while adapting to insecurity. This may include mobile clinics, community midwifery, referral agreements, safe spaces, newborn care corners, nutrition screening, protection services, and continuity of medicines. Staff need security support, psychological support, and clear evacuation or referral procedures. Humanitarian coordination should avoid creating parallel services that disappear when funding ends, while recognizing that emergency operations may require temporary arrangements.

Ethics become especially visible in crisis. Scarce resources must be allocated fairly, survivors must be protected, and consent and confidentiality should be preserved as far as circumstances permit. Pregnant people, newborns, children with disabilities, and unaccompanied minors may be overlooked when relief systems prioritize easily counted households. Registration and eligibility rules should not exclude undocumented or displaced people from lifesaving care. Local organizations and affected communities should participate in planning because they understand routes, risks, languages, and trusted channels. Resilience is not merely the capacity of an institution to reopen; it is the capacity of people to maintain dignity, safety, and continuity when ordinary systems are under stress.

17. Research Ethics and Evidence for Action

Research is essential for improving RMCH, but research participants are not merely sources of data. Pregnant people, children, adolescents, and families may be vulnerable because of dependency, illness, poverty, or unequal power. Ethical research requires scientific validity, informed consent or appropriate assent processes, risk minimization, privacy, independent review, fair selection, and a plan for communicating results. Children require additional safeguards, and adolescent research must balance confidentiality with protection from abuse or imminent harm. Communities should understand what a study is for, what it may and may not provide, and how data will be used.

Implementation research is especially valuable because an intervention that works under controlled conditions may fail in routine care. Researchers should examine feasibility, acceptability, fidelity, cost, equity, workforce effects, and sustainability. Mixed methods can combine outcome data with interviews and observation. A programme may show no average effect because it was not delivered consistently, because benefits were concentrated in one group, or because an unmeasured

barrier prevented use. Qualitative evidence can reveal why a service is avoided; quantitative evidence can show how large the gap is. Both are needed for responsible management decisions.

Evidence synthesis should distinguish established findings from emerging hypotheses. Systematic reviews, guideline methods, and transparent appraisal help decision-makers understand certainty. Local data are important but may be incomplete or biased; international evidence is useful but may not transfer automatically. An intervention developed in an urban tertiary hospital may require redesign for a remote island clinic. Adaptation should preserve the mechanism of benefit while adjusting delivery to local context. The language of evidence should include uncertainty, costs, opportunity costs, and potential harms rather than presenting every intervention as universally beneficial.

Research partnerships should build local capability. Universities, ministries, hospitals, districts, professional associations, and communities can co-produce questions and interpretation. Data should return to the people and institutions that generated it in an understandable form. Young people, people with disabilities, and marginalized groups should have meaningful roles in research design. Publication is important, but change in practice is the ultimate test of usefulness. A study that produces an impressive paper but no improvement in referral, respectful care, nutrition, or child development has not completed the pathway from knowledge to health.

18. Indonesia and Global South Priorities

Indonesia illustrates both the opportunity and complexity of RMCH management. The country combines large urban populations, remote islands, rural and forest communities, diverse languages and cultures, decentralized governance, uneven facility readiness, and rapid digital change. Access to a service can depend on the distance to a puskesmas, the availability of a midwife, road and sea transport, seasonal weather, household income, and the relationship between village leaders and health workers. National standards are necessary, but district implementation must be informed by local geography and social organization. A uniform policy without local adaptation can produce unequal results.

Strengthening RMCH in Indonesia requires coordinated action across village, primary-care, district, provincial, and national levels. Village cadres and community health workers can support pregnancy registration, nutrition, immunization follow-up, growth monitoring, and referral awareness. Midwives and nurses require manageable workloads, supervision, supplies, and access to consultation. District hospitals need reliable emergency obstetric and newborn care, blood services, surgery, and referral communication. The system should make it easy for a person to move from community identification to primary assessment to hospital treatment and back to post-discharge follow-up. Referral completion, not referral issuance, is the meaningful measure.

Health financing and data systems should prioritize households that are hardest to reach. Digital platforms can integrate immunization, maternal, newborn, and child records, but interoperability, data quality, privacy, and offline capacity are critical. Dashboards should show geographic and socioeconomic gaps, not only national totals. Community feedback can reveal problems that routine reporting misses, such as informal payments, disrespect, stock-outs, or opening hours that conflict with work. Partnerships with universities can support evaluation and local innovation, while

professional education can develop managers who understand epidemiology, financing, ethics, informatics, and organizational behaviour.

Global South countries share many priorities while differing in context. Health systems should invest in primary care, midwifery and nursing, essential medicines, referral networks, nutrition, immunization, and social protection. They should resist a false choice between high technology and basic care. Neonatal intensive care, laboratory diagnostics, telemedicine, and data science can be valuable, but only when electricity, oxygen, water, trained personnel, maintenance, and equitable access are addressed. South-South learning can accelerate adaptation because countries often face similar constraints. Local leadership and community trust are not substitutes for resources, but resources are ineffective when institutions lack legitimacy and learning capacity.

19. A Practical Management Framework for RMCH

An RMCH management framework can be organized around five linked commitments. The first is rights: protect autonomy, confidentiality, informed consent, freedom from discrimination, and freedom from violence. The second is prevention: address nutrition, immunization, contraception, infection, chronic disease, environmental hazards, and social risk before complications occur. The third is readiness: ensure competent providers, essential commodities, functional equipment, transport, referral, blood services, and emergency response. The fourth is continuity: connect community, primary care, hospital, postnatal, newborn, child, adolescent, mental-health, and social services. The fifth is learning: measure outcomes, quality, equity, experience, and cost, then use the findings to improve.

The framework begins with a population map. Managers identify who lives in the catchment area, where people are located, which groups face barriers, which services exist, how long travel takes, and where referrals go. They then map the care pathway for common situations such as a first pregnancy, a premature birth, a child with pneumonia, or an adolescent seeking confidential counselling. Mapping makes hidden failure visible. A service may exist on paper but be unavailable after hours, unaffordable, or disconnected from transport. The map should be updated with community input and used for budgeting and emergency planning.

The next step is to define a small number of measurable priorities. For example, a district may aim to reduce postpartum haemorrhage deaths, improve completion of newborn referrals, increase full immunization among remote households, and strengthen postpartum depression response. Each priority requires a process measure, an outcome measure, an equity measure, and an experience measure. Teams need authority to test changes, data that arrive quickly, and leadership that removes obstacles. Monthly reviews should ask what changed, for whom, at what cost, and why. When results are poor, managers should investigate rather than demand more effort without addressing the cause.

Accountability should operate in both directions. Providers are accountable to professional standards and patient rights; managers are accountable for safe working conditions, supplies, staffing, and corrective action; governments are accountable for financing and policy; communities are accountable as partners in health promotion and oversight. Families should have accessible information about services and complaint pathways. Accountability is strongest when it is transparent and fair. Public reporting of aggregate performance can build trust, while individual privacy remains

protected. The aim is a system in which people can expect care to be safe and respectful, and in which institutions can demonstrate how they improve when expectations are not met.

20. Conclusion From Programmes to a Social Contract for Health

Reproductive, maternal, and child health is a test of whether a society converts knowledge into protection for people at moments of vulnerability and possibility. The evidence for many life-saving interventions is already strong. The persistent problem is implementation: reaching people before complications, ensuring quality when they arrive, connecting services across levels, protecting dignity, and addressing the conditions that make care possible. Mortality, morbidity, development, and experience are produced by relationships among households, communities, professionals, organizations, markets, and governments. RMCH policy should therefore be managed as a system rather than as a collection of vertical campaigns.

The article has emphasized that rights and outcomes reinforce one another. Voluntary family planning, adolescent-friendly care, respectful maternity services, confidential mental-health support, disability inclusion, and protection from violence are not peripheral concerns. They are the foundation of trust and effective use. Likewise, nutrition, immunization, early learning, clean water, social protection, education, transport, and safe housing are not outside health; they are part of the causal environment in which health services operate. The practical implication is multisectoral management with clear responsibilities and shared indicators.

For managers, five questions can guide action. Can people obtain the information and services they need without coercion or discrimination? Can frontline teams recognize risk and provide competent care at the right time? Can the system complete a referral and maintain follow-up? Can data reveal inequity, poor quality, and unintended harm without violating privacy? Can institutions learn with communities and workers rather than merely report upward? These questions shift attention from activity counts to capability, experience, and outcomes. They also encourage leaders to see failure as a signal for system redesign while preserving accountability for abuse and neglect.

For educators and researchers, RMCH offers a rich field for integrating epidemiology, management, ethics, informatics, economics, sociology, and clinical science. Students should learn to read a mortality ratio and a patient narrative, a service dashboard and a community complaint, a clinical guideline and a budget. Future leaders will need the ability to translate between these forms of knowledge. In a period of climate stress, conflict, demographic change, and rapid digitalization, that translation is increasingly important.

The ultimate measure of RMCH is not the sophistication of a policy document or the number of facilities listed in a registry. It is whether a person can exercise reproductive choice, receive safe and respectful maternity care, bring a newborn through the first fragile weeks, and raise a child who is nourished, protected, healthy, learning, and included. Achieving that result requires evidence, resources, capable institutions, and persistent attention to justice. RMCH becomes sustainable when it is treated as a social contract: society invests in the health of women, adolescents, newborns, and children, and in return strengthens the human capabilities on which families, communities, economies, and future generations depend.

21. Policy and Management Implications

First, national and local plans should integrate reproductive, maternal, newborn, child, and adolescent health while retaining specialized expertise. Integration should be reflected in budgets, supervision, data, referral, and workforce planning. Second, primary health care should be strengthened as the organizing platform for prevention, continuity, and community partnership. Hospitals should receive the investments needed for emergency and specialized care, but they should not be expected to compensate for weak community and primary services. Third, respectful care and patient experience should be included in routine quality systems alongside mortality and coverage. Fourth, financing should protect households from the direct and indirect costs of care, with explicit attention to remote, poor, displaced, adolescent, and disabled populations.

Fifth, the workforce agenda should move beyond counting posts to ensuring competence, distribution, retention, safety, and support. Sixth, digital health should be governed as public infrastructure, with interoperability, privacy, local ownership, offline functionality, and user-centred design. Seventh, climate and humanitarian preparedness should be integrated into RMCH planning, including resilient facilities, emergency stocks, mobile services, and continuity of records. Eighth, research and evaluation should emphasize implementation, equity, cost, sustainability, and lived experience. These priorities are mutually reinforcing: better data can reveal workforce gaps, community partnership can improve uptake, financing can make continuity feasible, and respectful care can strengthen trust.

Finally, RMCH leadership should develop a culture of disciplined compassion. Compassion without systems can leave staff exhausted and families exposed; systems without compassion can become efficient at delivering alienating or inequitable care. Disciplined compassion means using evidence, standards, measurement, and management tools to make humane care reliable. It means listening to the person in front of the provider while also redesigning the institution that produces repeated barriers. The task is demanding, but the direction is clear: protect rights, prevent avoidable harm, provide competent care, and learn continuously with the people whose lives the health system is meant to serve.

22. Implementation Roadmap for Institutions and Districts

An institution that wants to improve RMCH should begin with a realistic diagnosis rather than an immediate purchase of equipment or launch of a new campaign. The first 30 days can be used to assemble a small improvement team, review mortality and severe morbidity cases, map the patient journey, examine stock-outs and referral delays, and listen to patients and frontline staff. The team should select one or two problems that are important, measurable, and within the institution's influence. For example, it might focus on delayed recognition of hypertension, missed postnatal follow-up, incomplete newborn referrals, or low vaccination coverage in a defined community. Narrowing the initial problem makes learning possible and prevents a plan from becoming a catalogue of aspirations.

During the next phase, managers should define the current process in detail. Who registers a pregnant person? Who checks blood pressure? Where is the result recorded? Who acts when it is abnormal? How is the family contacted after discharge? Which staff member confirms that a referred newborn arrived? Process mapping frequently reveals that failure occurs between tasks rather than

inside a single task. A clinical protocol may be correct, but responsibility for the next step may be ambiguous. Clear role assignment, a simple escalation rule, and a reliable handover can sometimes improve outcomes before additional technology is introduced. Managers should document the change, test it on a small scale, and ask staff whether it reduces or increases workload.

District-level improvement requires coordination across facilities. A district RMCH forum can bring together primary-care managers, hospital teams, midwives, community representatives, transport services, social protection officers, education leaders, and civil-society organizations. The forum should review a shared dashboard, but it should also examine cases and stories. A dashboard may show that referrals are completed at 80 percent; a case review may reveal that the remaining 20 percent are concentrated among remote families who cannot afford transport. The correct response might involve a transport contract or community fund rather than a new referral form. Cross-sector forums are valuable when they produce decisions, named responsibilities, deadlines, and feedback to communities.

Sustainability should be assessed from the start. Every new intervention has a staffing requirement, a procurement requirement, a data requirement, a training requirement, and a recurrent financing requirement. A digital reminder system needs updated contact information and someone to respond when a person reports a danger sign. A neonatal device needs oxygen, electricity, maintenance, consumables, and trained staff. A community programme needs supervision and motivation, not merely a launch meeting. Managers should use a simple sustainability test: can the activity be delivered with ordinary budgets, ordinary staff, ordinary supervision, and ordinary reporting after external support ends? If not, the plan should state what additional institutional capacity is required.

23. Measurement Learning and Accountability

Measurement should be designed to support decisions at the level where action occurs. A community worker may need a list of children who missed vaccination; a midwife may need a reliable reminder of a pregnant person's next risk assessment; a hospital manager may need the time from emergency arrival to treatment; a district leader may need a map of maternal deaths and referral delays; a national authority may need trends in mortality, equity, and financial protection. One indicator cannot serve all users equally well. The information architecture should therefore provide different views of the same underlying data while maintaining consistent definitions.

Indicators need operational definitions. “Antenatal coverage” may refer to at least one contact, four contacts, eight contacts, or a contact that includes a specified package of care. “Skilled birth attendance” may count presence of a trained person without measuring competence or readiness. “Postnatal care” may be recorded even when the mother and newborn received no meaningful assessment. Ambiguous indicators create false confidence and make comparison difficult. Managers should publish definitions, data sources, exclusions, and limitations. When data quality is poor, the correct response is to improve the system and label uncertainty, not to present a precise but misleading number.

Learning reviews should combine quantitative and qualitative evidence. A maternal or neonatal death review can examine clinical notes, interviews, transport, household circumstances, referral communication, staff rosters, medicines, and the family's experience. The review should ask what

could have prevented the death, what was reasonably knowable at the time, and what system change is feasible. A list of recommendations is insufficient unless each recommendation has an owner, a deadline, a resource estimate, and a follow-up measure. Repeated recommendations without implementation are evidence of a governance failure. Conversely, a small improvement that is sustained across ordinary conditions may be more valuable than a dramatic but temporary change.

Accountability must be balanced with psychological safety. Frontline workers should be able to report a near miss, equipment failure, or unsafe staffing pattern before harm occurs. Leaders should protect staff who raise concerns in good faith. At the same time, patients and families require a clear response when they experience abuse, discrimination, fraud, or reckless practice. A fair accountability system distinguishes human error, system weakness, at-risk behaviour, and intentional misconduct. It supports learning for the first categories and applies appropriate disciplinary or legal processes for the last. The result should be a service in which both workers and families can expect concerns to be heard and addressed.

24. Future Directions for Education and Professional Practice

The future RMCH workforce will need broader competence than a traditional clinical curriculum provides. Students should learn physiology and pharmacology, but also epidemiology, social determinants, communication, ethics, quality improvement, health economics, data governance, and management. They should practise in communities and primary-care settings as well as hospitals. Case-based education can show how a clinical problem interacts with transport, poverty, culture, gender, or referral. Simulation can build technical and teamwork skills, while reflective practice can help students recognize bias and understand how power affects care. Education should prepare professionals to work across boundaries rather than defend narrow institutional territories.

Managers and clinicians should also become competent users of data. This does not mean that every professional must become a statistician or programmer. It means that they should be able to distinguish numerator from denominator, recognize missing data, interpret trends, ask whether an indicator represents meaningful care, and communicate uncertainty. They should understand that an algorithm can be wrong, that a dashboard can conceal inequality, and that patient experience is evidence. Universities and professional associations can support this capability through short courses, mentoring, practice-based research, and communities of learning. Data literacy becomes most useful when it is connected to a real decision.

Artificial intelligence may support RMCH through translation, triage assistance, risk prediction, image interpretation, appointment management, and decision support. Its use requires particular caution because data may be incomplete, biased, or unrepresentative of remote communities. An AI tool should be evaluated for accuracy, calibration, fairness, usability, explainability, privacy, and effect on workload. A prediction is not a diagnosis, and a high-risk label should not reduce a person's autonomy. Human oversight must remain meaningful: clinicians need the authority and competence to question the tool, and families need understandable information when automated systems influence care.

The strongest future direction is not technology alone but institutional learning. Health systems should be able to notice a problem, hear the people affected, interpret evidence, test a response, measure consequences, and revise the response. This capability is valuable whether the problem is

maternal mortality, adolescent contraception, newborn sepsis, child nutrition, mental health, or climate disruption. It also protects against fashionable but poorly adapted solutions. The question for every innovation is practical and ethical: does it improve timely, competent, respectful, and equitable care for the people who need it, and can the institution sustain that improvement when attention and external funding move elsewhere?

25. Final Synthesis

RMCH is best understood as a chain whose links are distributed across the life course. Reproductive autonomy influences whether pregnancy is planned and supported. Adolescent education influences later risk and care-seeking. Antenatal quality influences preparation and early detection. Birth readiness influences survival during complications. Postpartum continuity influences maternal recovery, feeding, contraception, and mental health. Newborn care influences survival and development. Nutrition, immunization, responsive caregiving, and protection influence the child's capacity to learn and participate. Weakness in one link can impose costs on every later stage, while improvement in several links can produce benefits that exceed the effect of any single programme.

For that reason, policy should connect clinical standards to organizational capability and social justice. A guideline without medicines is incomplete; medicines without trained staff are insufficient; staff without transport and referral are constrained; referral without financial protection can be inaccessible; and access without respect can be rejected by the people it is intended to serve. The management challenge is to make these elements function together in ordinary conditions and remain available during crisis. Success is achieved when care is both technically sound and experienced by families as trustworthy, understandable, safe, and humane.

References

- Black, R. E., Victora, C. G., Walker, S. P., Bhutta, Z. A., Christian, P., de Onis, M., Ezzati, M., Grantham-McGregor, S., Katz, J., Martorell, R., & Uauy, R. (2013). Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet*, 382(9890), 427-451. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60937-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60937-X)
- Bhutta, Z. A., Das, J. K., Rizvi, A., Gaffey, M. F., Walker, N., Horton, S., Webb, P., Lartey, A., & Black, R. E. (2013). Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: What can be done and at what cost? *The Lancet*, 382(9890), 452-477. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60996-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60996-4)
- Black, M. M., Walker, S. P., Fernald, L. C. H., Andersen, C. T., DiGirolamo, A. M., Lu, C., McCoy, D. C., Fink, G., Shawar, Y. R., Shiffman, J., Devries, K., et al. (2017). Early childhood development coming of age: Science through the life course. *The Lancet*, 389(10064), 77-90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31389-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31389-7)
- Darmstadt, G. L., Bhutta, Z. A., Cousens, S., Adam, T., Walker, N., & de Bernis, L. (2005). Evidence-based, cost-effective interventions: How many newborn babies can we save? *The Lancet*, 365(9463), 977-988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)71088-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71088-6)
- Frenk, J., Chen, L., Bhutta, Z. A., Cohen, J., Crisp, N., Evans, T., Fineberg, H., Garcia, P., Ke, Y., Kelley, P., Kistnasamy, B., et al. (2010). Health professionals for a new century: Transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *The Lancet*, 376(9756), 1923-1958. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61854-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61854-5)
- Horton, S., & Lo, S. (2013). Nutrition: A quintessential sustainable development goal. *The Lancet*, 382(9890), 371-372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)61100-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61100-7)
- Jones, G., Steketee, R. W., Black, R. E., Bhutta, Z. A., & Morris, S. S. (2003). How many child deaths can we prevent this year? *The Lancet*, 362(9377), 65-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)13811-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13811-1)
- Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S. V., English, M., et al. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era:

- Time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(11), e1196-e1252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30386-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3)
- Lawn, J. E., Blencowe, H., Oza, S., You, D., Lee, A. C. C., Waiswa, P., Lalli, M., Bhutta, Z., Barros, A. J. D., Christian, P., Mathers, C., & Cousens, S. (2014). Every newborn: Progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *The Lancet*, 384(9938), 189-205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60496-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60496-7)
- Miller, S., Abalos, E., Chamillard, M., Ciapponi, A., Colaci, D., Comande, D., Diaz, V., Geller, S., Hanson, C., Langer, A., Manuelli, V., et al. (2016). Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: A pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *The Lancet*, 388(10056), 2176-2192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31472-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31472-6)
- Oza, S., Lawn, J. E., Hogan, D. R., Mathers, C., & Cousens, S. N. (2015). Causes of neonatal and child mortality in 2000-2013: An updated systematic analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*, 3(8), e609-e616. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(15\)00111-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00111-5)
- Starrs, A. M., Ezeh, A. C., Barker, G., Basu, A., Bertrand, J. T., Blum, R., Coll-Seck, A. M., Grover, A., Laski, L., Roa, M., Sathar, Z. A., et al. (2018). Accelerate progress - sexual and reproductive health and rights for all: Report of the Guttmacher-Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*, 391(10140), 2642-2692. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30276-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30276-5)
- Tunçalp, Ö., Were, W. M., MacLennan, C., Oladapo, O. T., Gülmezoglu, A. M., Bahl, R., Daelmans, B., Mathai, M., Say, L., & Kristensen, F. (2015). Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns - the WHO vision. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 122(8), 1045-1049. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13451>
- Victora, C. G., Bahl, R., Barros, A. J. D., França, G. V. A., Horton, S., Krasevec, J., Murch, S., Sankar, M. J., Walker, N., & Rollins, N. C. (2016). Breastfeeding in the 21st century: Epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet*, 387(10017), 475-490. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)01044-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01044-2)
- World Health Organization. (2016). WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549912>
- World Health Organization. (2018). WHO recommendations: Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550215>
- World Health Organization. (2019). WHO guideline: Recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550505>
- World Health Organization. (2020). Improving early childhood development: WHO guideline. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/97892400020986>
- World Health Organization. (2022). WHO recommendations for care of the preterm or low-birth-weight infant. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240058262>
- World Health Organization, United Nations Population Fund, & United Nations Children's Fund. (2024). Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2023. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240108462>
- World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund, & World Bank Group. (2023). Levels and trends in child mortality: Report 2023. World Health Organization. <https://childmortality.org/>