

Human Resource Management and People Empowerment

Prof Ir Rudy C Tarumingkeng, PhD

www.ruduct.com/cv.pdf

IBM-ASMI 2026

Academic Narrative Article

Abstract

Human resource management is increasingly understood as the design of conditions in which people can contribute, learn, exercise judgment, and share responsibility for organizational outcomes. People empowerment gives this understanding practical force. It concerns access to information, competence, resources, voice, discretion, psychological safety, fair recognition, and the ability to influence work that affects oneself and others. Empowerment is not a managerial slogan and is not equivalent to simply delegating tasks. It is an organizational capability built through systems of work, leadership behavior, culture, technology, and equitable human-resource practices.

This article develops a comprehensive narrative framework for connecting human resource management with people empowerment. It examines the evolution from personnel administration to strategic human resource management; the relationship among empowerment, motivation, autonomy, participation, and accountability; and the role of recruitment, learning, performance management, rewards, employee voice, inclusion, well-being, labor relations, and digital transformation. It discusses psychological safety, self-determination, job design, high-performance work systems, people analytics, AI-enabled work, change management, and the Indonesian organizational context. Practical cases from education, health care, manufacturing, public administration, services, and family business illustrate how empowerment can be designed and evaluated. The central argument is that empowered people are not produced by isolated programs. They emerge when human-resource systems consistently enlarge capability, clarify boundaries, distribute meaningful authority, and protect dignity while maintaining responsibility for results.

Keywords

Human resource management; people empowerment; strategic HRM; employee voice; autonomy; psychological safety; organizational capability; inclusion; people analytics; Indonesia.

Glossary

Term	Meaning
Accountability	The obligation to explain decisions, accept responsibility for outcomes, and respond to error or harm.
Autonomy	The meaningful ability to exercise judgment and choose how to carry out work within legitimate boundaries.
Employee voice	The expression of ideas, concerns, information, and preferences through formal or informal channels that can influence decisions.
Empowerment	The expansion of people's capability, information, resources, discretion, and influence to act effectively and responsibly.
High-performance work system	A mutually reinforcing set of HR practices intended to improve capability, motivation, participation, and organizational performance.
Inclusion	The condition in which people with different identities and experiences can participate, belong, contribute, and progress fairly.
Job crafting	The proactive changes employees make to tasks, relationships, or the meaning of work within organizational constraints.
People analytics	The systematic use of workforce data and analysis to improve decisions about people, work, and organizational outcomes.
Psychological safety	A shared belief that people can speak up, ask questions, admit mistakes, and offer dissent without interpersonal punishment.
Reskilling	The development of capabilities that enable a person to move into substantially different work.
Self-determination	The experience of acting with autonomy, competence, and relatedness in a social context.
Strategic HRM	The alignment of human-resource policies and practices with organizational purpose, strategy, capability, and long-term performance.
Talent ecosystem	The network of employees, contingent workers, leaders, educators, suppliers, platforms, and institutions that contribute to capability.
Upskilling	The development of additional or deeper capabilities for a person's current or adjacent work.

1 Introduction

Human resource management has always been concerned with the relationship between people and organized work, but the nature of that relationship has changed. Earlier personnel administration focused heavily on records, wages, discipline, staffing, and compliance. Contemporary HRM must also address knowledge, innovation, learning, inclusion, technology, well-being, and the capacity of people to respond to uncertainty. Organizations now compete not only through physical assets and financial capital, but through the quality of judgment, collaboration, creativity, and adaptation that their people can provide.

People empowerment is central to this change. It asks whether employees and other contributors have the information, skills, resources, confidence, and authority required to act meaningfully. An empowered employee is not merely someone who has been given more tasks. The person understands the purpose of the work, can see relevant evidence, has a reasonable degree of discretion, can raise concerns, and receives support when a situation exceeds individual authority. Empowerment connects individual agency to collective performance.

The concept is important because organizational problems are often encountered first at the point of service or production. A nurse notices a patient-safety risk; a lecturer sees that students are confused; a technician recognizes that equipment is behaving unusually; a customer-service employee hears a repeated complaint; and a field officer understands a local condition that is absent from a central database. If these people cannot speak or act, the organization loses information and delays correction. If they can act without coordination or accountability, it may create new risk. Empowerment is therefore a design problem involving voice and boundaries.

This article presents human resource management and people empowerment as mutually reinforcing strategic capabilities. HRM creates the conditions through which people are recruited, developed, rewarded, protected, and connected to organizational purpose. Empowerment determines whether those conditions become lived capacity in everyday work. The article considers theory, policy, leadership, culture, technology, measurement, and implementation. It is written for managers, educators, researchers, public leaders, and professionals who want to move beyond the language of employee engagement toward a more demanding understanding of agency and responsibility.

The central thesis is that empowerment is credible when HR systems enlarge competence and influence together. Training without authority produces frustration. Authority without information produces unsafe improvisation. Voice without response produces cynicism. Rewards without fairness produce competition that weakens cooperation. A coherent HRM system links capability, motivation, participation, inclusion, and accountability so that people can contribute to performance while preserving dignity and institutional trust.

2 From Personnel Administration to Strategic HRM

The movement from personnel administration to strategic human resource management reflects a wider change in how organizations understand people. Personnel administration treated employees primarily as a workforce to be counted, scheduled, paid, and controlled. Strategic HRM treats people as sources of capability whose knowledge and behavior influence the organization's ability to implement strategy. This does not eliminate administrative responsibilities; it places them within a broader system of value creation, risk management, and organizational learning.

Strategic HRM asks how human capabilities support a distinctive organizational position. A hospital may need clinical expertise, coordination, empathy, and disciplined safety routines. A university may need scholarship, teaching quality, student support, digital fluency, and academic freedom. A manufacturer may need engineering knowledge, process discipline, maintenance skills, and problem-solving teams. A public agency may need legal competence, service orientation, data literacy, and legitimacy in communities. The HR function becomes strategic when it helps define, build, and renew these capabilities.

The resource-based view of the firm emphasizes that valuable, rare, difficult-to-imitate, and organized resources can support sustained advantage. Human capability often meets these conditions because it is embedded in relationships, routines, culture, and experience. Yet the resource-based language can become instrumental if people

are treated only as assets. Strategic HRM must combine performance with fairness. The organization's ability to compete cannot justify unsafe conditions, discrimination, coercion, or the denial of voice. Capability and dignity are not opposing objectives.

Wright and McMahan (1992) described strategic HRM as the pattern of planned human-resource deployments and activities intended to enable an organization to achieve its goals. The word pattern matters. Recruitment, learning, appraisal, rewards, job design, leadership, and employee relations should reinforce rather than contradict one another. A firm cannot ask employees to innovate while punishing every experiment, or request customer care while measuring only speed. Empowerment is experienced through the pattern of signals the system sends.

Strategic HRM also requires attention to external change. Technology, demographic shifts, new forms of work, climate risk, migration, regulation, and changing expectations alter the capabilities organizations need. The HR function must scan these changes, advise leaders, and create pathways for reskilling and mobility. It should help the organization decide which knowledge must be retained internally, which can be accessed through partners, and which capabilities will determine future resilience. People empowerment provides the human mechanism through which strategy becomes adaptive action.

3 Understanding People Empowerment

Empowerment has several dimensions that should be distinguished. Structural empowerment concerns access to information, resources, support, opportunity, and formal authority. Psychological empowerment concerns the experience that work is meaningful, that one is competent, that one has self-determination, and that one can influence outcomes. Spreitzer (1995) conceptualized psychological empowerment through meaning, competence, self-determination, and impact. These dimensions are related but not identical. A manager can provide formal discretion without people feeling capable of using it, or employees can feel confident while lacking the resources needed to act.

Empowerment is not the absence of management. People still need direction, coordination, standards, feedback, and decisions about priorities. The aim is to place authority close to relevant knowledge while maintaining clear boundaries. A customer-service representative may be empowered to resolve a complaint within a defined range. A clinician may be empowered to escalate a safety concern. A project team may choose its methods while remaining responsible for agreed outcomes. Empowerment works when decision rights are explicit and supported by competence.

The concept also differs from delegation. Delegation transfers a task or responsibility from a manager to another person. Empowerment changes the person's capacity to understand, decide, and influence. Delegation can be temporary and narrowly defined; empowerment is usually a continuing condition of work. A manager who delegates without sharing context may increase workload rather than agency. A manager who explains purpose, provides resources, invites judgment, and supports learning creates the basis for empowerment.

Empowerment has an ethical dimension. It recognizes people as subjects who can reason and contribute, not merely as instruments for achieving targets. It supports dignity because it gives people a voice in work that shapes their lives. It also creates obligations. If employees are expected to exercise judgment, they must not be blamed for every outcome that occurs within a poorly designed system. Accountability should follow real control and be accompanied by support, information, and fair review.

A useful test is to ask what happens when an employee sees a problem. Can the person pause the process? Who will listen? What evidence is needed? Is escalation rewarded or treated as disloyalty? Can the decision be revisited? Answers to these questions reveal the organization's actual empowerment system. Empowerment is not measured by motivational language but by the distance between noticing a problem and having the legitimate ability to address it.

4 Theoretical Foundations: Motivation and Self-Determination

Motivation theory explains why empowerment can influence both performance and well-being. Self-determination theory proposes that people function more effectively when three psychological needs are supported: autonomy,

competence, and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Autonomy means experiencing one's actions as self-endorsed rather than controlled. Competence means feeling able to meet challenges. Relatedness means feeling connected to people and a meaningful social context. HR practices can support these needs or undermine them.

Autonomy does not mean that every employee chooses every task or rejects organizational goals. It means that people have an appropriate role in determining how legitimate goals are pursued. Managers can support autonomy by explaining rationale, acknowledging constraints, inviting input, and avoiding unnecessary control. They can undermine it through surveillance, arbitrary rules, manipulative language, or punishment for reasonable disagreement. The distinction between guidance and control is often visible in how leaders respond to questions.

Competence is built through learning, feedback, practice, tools, and opportunities to use judgment. A new employee may need detailed instruction before receiving broad discretion. An experienced employee may need challenging assignments and access to advanced information. Competence is not only an individual trait; it is shaped by job design and the quality of support. When systems are confusing or resources are inadequate, even highly capable people may perform poorly.

Relatedness connects empowerment to culture. People are more likely to speak, learn, and take responsible initiative when they feel respected by colleagues and leaders. A climate of isolation can turn autonomy into abandonment. Teamwork, mentoring, recognition, and inclusive leadership help people experience their contribution as part of a collective effort. Relatedness is especially important in hybrid and distributed work, where digital interaction can reduce informal signals of belonging.

The practical implication is that empowerment should be designed as a motivational environment. It includes autonomy-supportive leadership, competence development, meaningful work, social connection, and fair recognition. Rewards can support motivation when they communicate appreciation and mastery; they can weaken it when they become instruments of pressure or surveillance. HRM should therefore ask not only whether a practice produces compliance, but what kind of motivation and judgment it cultivates over time.

5 Job Design, Autonomy, and Meaningful Work

Job design is one of the most direct ways HRM shapes empowerment. A job defines tasks, relationships, information, authority, workload, feedback, and opportunities for learning. The Job Characteristics Model proposed by Hackman and Oldham (1976) identified skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback as important characteristics associated with motivation and satisfaction. The framework remains useful because it links the experience of work to the architecture of work rather than to personality alone.

Autonomy should be calibrated to task complexity and risk. Routine work may benefit from standardization where consistency and safety are important. Complex work often requires discretion because exceptions cannot be fully anticipated in advance. The appropriate design is not maximum freedom but sufficient latitude for competent judgment. A safety-critical organization may standardize core procedures while empowering employees to stop work when conditions become dangerous. This combination protects reliability and agency.

Meaningful work connects individual activity to consequences that people can understand. Employees are more likely to invest attention when they see how their work supports customers, students, patients, communities, colleagues, or a worthwhile mission. Leaders can strengthen meaning by sharing stories and evidence about impact, but authenticity matters. If organizational decisions contradict stated values, communication becomes a substitute for purpose. Meaning is sustained when work practices respect the people the organization claims to serve.

Job crafting adds a bottom-up perspective. Wrzesniewski and Dutton (2001) described how employees may alter tasks, relationships, and perceptions of work to make it more meaningful or manageable. Job crafting can stimulate innovation and resilience, but it can also create inconsistency or hidden overload. Managers should allow constructive adaptation while clarifying non-negotiable standards. Employees should not have to redesign a broken job privately when the organization could address the underlying workload or process problem.

Work design must also account for digital monitoring and algorithmic management. Technology can provide feedback and coordination, but excessive measurement can reduce autonomy and create stress. A delivery worker

whose every movement is scored may have less practical control despite being called flexible. A knowledge worker whose activity is tracked by keystrokes may optimize visibility rather than quality. Empowering job design uses technology to improve information and support decisions, not to replace trust with constant observation.

6 Recruitment, Selection, and the Foundations of Trust

Empowerment begins before a person enters the organization. Recruitment communicates what the organization values, how it treats applicants, and what kinds of contribution it expects. A process that promises autonomy but selects only for obedience creates a contradiction from the beginning. A process that celebrates diversity but uses narrow and biased criteria reduces the range of experience available for problem solving. Strategic HRM aligns employer promises, selection methods, and the real conditions of work.

Selection should assess job-relevant capability and the capacity to learn. Technical expertise matters, but so do judgment, collaboration, communication, ethical awareness, and the ability to work with uncertainty where the role requires them. Structured interviews, work samples, validated assessments, and clear criteria can improve consistency. Candidates should understand the role, decision boundaries, development expectations, and performance standards. Transparency helps people make informed choices and reduces the risk of a psychological contract built on inaccurate promises.

Fairness in selection has both moral and strategic importance. Bias can enter through job descriptions, sourcing channels, informal referrals, interview questions, assessment design, and decision rules. Organizations should examine which groups apply, progress, receive offers, and remain in the process. Quantitative review should be complemented by qualitative inquiry into candidate experience. If an AI tool is used to screen applicants, the organization remains responsible for validation, explainability, privacy, and remedy. A vendor's claim of objectivity is not evidence of fairness.

Recruitment can also empower communities and labor markets by creating pathways for people who have been excluded from opportunity. Apprenticeships, returnships, competency-based hiring, accessible application systems, and partnerships with educational institutions can broaden the talent ecosystem. The goal is not to lower standards, but to recognize that potential is often obscured by unequal access to conventional credentials. Skills-based approaches can be valuable when they are linked to genuine development and clear advancement.

Trust is formed through early interactions. Candidates notice whether recruiters keep commitments, answer questions, respect time, and communicate decisions. Employees remember whether the organization's first promises match later reality. HRM should therefore treat recruitment as the beginning of a relationship rather than a transaction to fill a vacancy. Empowerment is easier to build when people enter with accurate expectations and a sense that their agency will be respected.

7 Learning, Development, and Capability Building

People empowerment depends on capability, and capability depends on learning. Training is only one part of development. People also learn through challenging assignments, coaching, peer exchange, reflection, feedback, experimentation, and access to information. A strong HR system combines formal and informal methods so that learning is connected to real work. The relevant question is not how many courses employees completed, but what they can now do and how the organization uses that capability.

Learning should support both current performance and future adaptability. Upskilling deepens capability in an existing role; reskilling enables movement into different work. Cross-functional assignments can build systems thinking and relationships. Leadership development should include listening, feedback, conflict management, ethical judgment, and the ability to distribute authority. Digital literacy is increasingly necessary, but it should be paired with domain knowledge and critical thinking. People must know not only how to use a tool, but when not to trust it.

Empowerment requires access to learning at the point of need. A technician facing an unfamiliar fault may need a searchable knowledge base, a qualified expert, or a rapid peer consultation. A teacher may need time to redesign assessment. A public officer may need guidance on a new regulation. If support is available only through a distant

annual course, employees may either wait, improvise, or conceal uncertainty. Organizations should design learning infrastructure that follows work.

Psychological safety affects learning quality. People cannot learn from mistakes if they must hide them. Leaders should distinguish negligence from a good-faith error made while following a sound process. After-action reviews, communities of practice, and mentoring can convert experience into institutional knowledge. Learning should not be used as a way to shift responsibility for system defects onto individuals. Sometimes the lesson from an error is that the workflow, staffing level, interface, or target must change.

Development is also a matter of opportunity. If high-visibility assignments, sponsorship, and advanced training go repeatedly to the same group, the organization reproduces inequality while describing the outcome as merit. HR analytics should examine access to development, promotion, pay, and influential work. Empowerment becomes more credible when capability-building resources are distributed fairly and when people can see realistic pathways for growth.

8 Performance Management, Feedback, and Accountability

Performance management shapes how people interpret the organization's priorities. A system can encourage learning, collaboration, and responsible initiative, or it can create fear, gaming, and narrow compliance. Traditional annual appraisal often fails when goals change quickly or when feedback is separated from the work. Continuous performance conversations can be more useful when they clarify expectations, address obstacles, recognize progress, and support development.

Empowerment requires clear outcomes with flexibility in method. Managers should distinguish what must be achieved from how every step must be performed. Employees can then exercise judgment while remaining accountable for results. This requires good measures and shared understanding. If goals are vague, discretion becomes risky. If goals are excessively detailed, autonomy becomes illusory. Performance agreements should define purpose, outputs, quality, constraints, dependencies, and review points.

Feedback is most empowering when it is timely, specific, and oriented toward learning. It should describe observable behavior and consequences, invite the employee's perspective, and identify practical next steps. Feedback should not be limited to correcting weakness. Recognition of good judgment, collaboration, improvement, and responsible escalation reinforces the behaviors the organization needs. A culture that notices only failure teaches people to avoid visibility rather than to improve.

Accountability should reflect the employee's actual influence over outcomes. Many results depend on systems, colleagues, resources, customer behavior, and external conditions. Holding an individual fully responsible for an uncontrollable outcome is unfair and reduces trust. This does not mean eliminating standards. It means distinguishing effort, judgment, process adherence, decision quality, and final results. Fair accountability helps people take responsibility without encouraging concealment.

Performance systems should be audited for unintended effects. A call center that rewards short handling time may reduce service quality. A school that rewards test scores may narrow teaching. A hospital that rewards throughput may increase unsafe discharge. A sales system that rewards volume may encourage mis-selling. Balanced measures, employee input, customer outcomes, and periodic review can reveal these distortions. Performance management empowers when it makes good work visible and supports correction rather than forcing people to choose between ethics and targets.

9 Rewards, Recognition, and Organizational Justice

Rewards communicate what the organization considers valuable. Compensation, promotion, recognition, benefits, flexibility, and access to opportunity influence motivation and retention. An empowerment-oriented system recognizes both individual contribution and the collaboration that makes contribution possible. If rewards are entirely individual and competitive, people may hoard information. If rewards are entirely collective, exceptional effort or responsibility may become invisible. The appropriate balance depends on the work and the values the organization seeks to reinforce.

Equity is central to perceived fairness. Employees compare their inputs and outcomes with those of colleagues, groups, and external markets. Differences in pay or opportunity may be legitimate when based on role, performance, scarcity, or responsibility, but they require understandable criteria. Opaque decisions invite interpretations of favoritism. Pay-equity reviews, structured promotion processes, accessible criteria, and explanation of decisions can strengthen trust. Fairness is not only the amount received; it is also the quality of the process.

Recognition can be more empowering than managers assume. A timely acknowledgment that names the contribution and its effect can strengthen meaning and confidence. Recognition should not become a substitute for fair pay, manageable workload, or development. It is most credible when it reflects values that are visible in everyday behavior: helping a colleague learn, reporting a safety risk, improving a process, serving a difficult customer with care, or including a neglected perspective.

Benefits and work arrangements also influence empowerment. Flexible work can provide autonomy, but flexibility without predictable income, support, or boundaries can shift risk to employees. Well-being programs can be useful, but they cannot compensate for chronic understaffing or unsafe work. Total rewards should be assessed as a system that supports health, security, learning, belonging, and the ability to do good work.

Leaders should examine who benefits from the reward system and whose contributions remain hidden. Care work, emotional labor, mentoring, and coordination may be essential but difficult to measure. If the organization rewards only visible individual output, it may weaken the social infrastructure on which performance depends. A just reward system makes diverse forms of contribution legible while maintaining clear standards for responsibility.

10 Employee Voice, Participation, and Shared Decision Making

Employee voice is a pathway through which local knowledge enters organizational decisions. Voice can be direct, such as team meetings and suggestion systems, or representative, such as unions, works councils, professional bodies, and elected committees. It can address improvement, safety, ethics, workload, customer experience, and strategic change. Voice becomes meaningful when people believe that speaking can influence action and that disagreement will not damage their standing.

Participation should be matched to the decision. Employees may be consulted about implementation, invited to co-design a process, or given authority over a defined domain. These forms are not interchangeable. Consultation without feedback can feel manipulative. Co-design without resources can create frustration. Shared decision making requires clarity about which decisions are open, who has final authority, and how evidence and values will be weighed. Transparency about limits is more respectful than pretending that every suggestion will be adopted.

Voice is particularly important for safety and ethics. Employees often see warning signs before formal indicators detect them. A reliable speak-up system offers multiple channels, confidentiality where appropriate, protection from retaliation, prompt investigation, and communication about outcomes. If reports disappear into an administrative process, the organization teaches silence. Leaders should track not only the number of reports but also response time, resolution quality, repeated issues, and whether people trust the system.

Unions and representative institutions can contribute to empowerment by balancing power and creating structured negotiation. Their role varies across countries and sectors, but the underlying principle is relevant: individuals may find it difficult to speak when employment depends on managerial favor. Collective voice can make concerns more visible and support fair transition during restructuring or technological change. Partnership does not mean the absence of conflict; it means conflict can be handled through legitimate procedures.

Digital platforms create new possibilities for participation but also new risks. Online surveys can collect broad input, yet response patterns may be distorted by access and self-selection. Internal social networks can surface ideas but may expose dissenters. People analytics can identify workload or turnover patterns but should not replace conversation. Empowerment requires human interpretation and a willingness to act on what people say.

11 Inclusion, Diversity, and Belonging

Inclusion is an empowerment issue because people cannot exercise agency when their identity, language, disability, background, or social position makes participation unsafe or inaccessible. Diversity concerns who is present; inclusion concerns whose contribution can influence the work. An organization may recruit a diverse workforce and still reproduce exclusion through informal networks, stereotypes, inaccessible technology, uneven sponsorship, or leadership norms that reward conformity.

Inclusive HRM begins with the design of systems. Job descriptions should avoid unnecessary barriers. Recruitment should reach varied talent pools. Workplaces and digital tools should be accessible. Managers should be trained to recognize bias, but training alone is insufficient if incentives and accountability remain unchanged. Promotion and assignment decisions should be examined for patterns. Employee resource groups and mentoring can support belonging, but they should not carry the whole burden of institutional change.

Psychological safety is experienced differently across groups. A senior employee may find it easier to challenge a decision than a temporary worker or someone from a marginalized background. Leaders should therefore pay attention to who speaks, who is interrupted, whose ideas are credited, and who receives developmental opportunities. Listening to a broad range of employees can reveal barriers that aggregate engagement scores conceal.

Inclusion also involves the content of decisions. Products, services, policies, and technologies may work well for a dominant group and create burdens for others. Employees with different experiences can identify these risks if they are empowered to contribute early. Inclusive design is therefore connected to quality and innovation, not simply representation. It expands the organization's ability to see consequences.

Equity may require differentiated support. Treating everyone identically can preserve unequal conditions. Flexible arrangements, reasonable accommodations, language support, targeted development, and adjusted pathways can help people participate on fair terms. The legitimacy of differentiated support depends on clear purpose and transparent criteria. An empowerment-oriented organization aims for equal dignity and genuine opportunity, not superficial sameness.

12 Well-Being, Workload, and Psychological Safety

People empowerment cannot be separated from the conditions under which people work. Excessive workload, uncertainty, harassment, unsafe environments, and constant availability reduce the capacity to exercise judgment. An employee may formally have authority but lack the time or energy to use it thoughtfully. Well-being is therefore not only a personal responsibility; it is shaped by staffing, targets, job design, leadership, scheduling, and the social environment.

Psychological safety, as described by Edmondson (1999), is a shared belief that a team is safe for interpersonal risk taking. It enables questions, dissent, experimentation, and learning. It does not mean that standards disappear or that every statement is acceptable. A psychologically safe team can challenge poor work while treating people with respect. Leaders build it by admitting uncertainty, inviting input, responding constructively to bad news, and separating the quality of an idea from the status of the person proposing it.

Workload should be managed as a system. If new tasks are added without removing old ones, empowerment language may conceal work intensification. Digital tools can increase efficiency while also increasing expected volume and response speed. Managers should examine workload distribution, recovery time, interruptions, and the effect of peak periods. Employee feedback and operational data should inform staffing and process redesign.

Mental health support is important, but organizations should avoid individualizing structural problems. Counseling, leave, flexible arrangements, and health benefits can assist people, yet they do not replace action on bullying, unrealistic goals, discrimination, or insecure work. HR leaders should integrate prevention, early support, fair case management, and organizational learning. Confidentiality and respect are essential because people will not seek help if they fear career consequences.

Safety also includes the right to stop or escalate hazardous work. In hospitals, laboratories, factories, transport, and field operations, employees may possess the most immediate knowledge of risk. A strong safety culture treats intervention as a contribution to performance. Empowerment becomes tangible when the organization gives people authority, training, and protection to act before harm occurs.

13 Leadership, Trust, and the Culture of Empowerment

Leadership behavior determines whether formal HR practices become credible. A leader who asks for initiative but approves every minor decision communicates distrust. A leader who invites ideas and then ignores them teaches people to perform participation rather than practice it. Empowerment requires leaders to share information, explain decisions, make expectations clear, and accept that responsible authority may produce outcomes they would not have chosen personally.

Trust is built through competence, integrity, and care. Employees need confidence that leaders understand the work, keep commitments, and consider people's interests. Trust does not mean unconditional belief. It is a basis for cooperation under uncertainty. Transparent communication about constraints can strengthen trust even when the news is difficult. Concealing information to preserve short-term morale often creates deeper cynicism when people discover the gap.

Delegation should be supported by coaching and review. Leaders can define the decision domain, agree on principles, provide access to expertise, and establish checkpoints. They should resist the temptation to take back authority at the first mistake. Instead, they should ask what was known, what was assumed, what support was missing, and what should change. This approach preserves accountability while converting error into learning.

Culture is reinforced by stories and rituals. Organizations remember who was promoted, whose concern was taken seriously, which project was stopped for safety, and how a person was treated after raising bad news. These experiences become informal evidence about the real rules. Leaders should recognize behaviors that support empowerment: sharing information, developing others, challenging respectfully, admitting uncertainty, and taking responsibility for the effects of decisions.

The culture of empowerment must also include boundaries. Leaders should state what cannot be compromised, such as safety, confidentiality, legal rights, professional standards, and respectful conduct. Clear boundaries reduce ambiguity and protect people who exercise discretion. When purpose, authority, support, and limits are visible, employees can act with confidence rather than guessing which form of initiative will be punished.

14 High-Performance Work Systems and Organizational Capability

High-performance work systems combine mutually reinforcing practices intended to improve capability, motivation, and participation. Research by Huselid (1995) connected bundles of HR practices with organizational outcomes, while later work has examined how these systems operate across different contexts. The idea is useful because it emphasizes complementarity. Recruitment, training, information sharing, teamwork, participation, performance management, and rewards may produce stronger effects together than in isolation.

Empowerment is often the connecting mechanism. Selective hiring can bring capable people into the organization, but empowerment allows them to use their capability. Training builds knowledge, but information sharing makes knowledge relevant. Teamwork supports coordination, but decision rights make participation consequential. Performance systems can direct effort, but fair rewards and psychological safety determine whether people take responsible initiative. The system fails when practices send incompatible signals.

Capability is both individual and collective. An organization may employ highly skilled people and still perform poorly if knowledge is trapped in departments, processes are unreliable, or decisions are delayed. Cross-functional teams, communities of practice, standard work, and knowledge systems help convert individual expertise into organizational capacity. Empowerment should therefore include the ability to improve the system, not merely to perform within it.

High-performance systems can also create pressure. Intensive selection, continuous measurement, and ambitious targets may raise output while increasing burnout or inequality. The label "high performance" should not excuse high

extraction from people. Sustainable performance requires recovery, development, fair voice, and the ability to question targets. Managers should monitor whether the system produces learning and quality or only greater speed.

Context matters. Practices that work in a large corporation may not fit a small family business, public agency, school, or professional partnership. Institutional norms, labor law, technology, culture, and job characteristics influence outcomes. Strategic HRM should preserve the principles of capability, fairness, participation, and accountability while adapting the mechanisms. Empowerment is not a universal package; it is a context-sensitive design challenge.

15 People Analytics and Evidence-Based HRM

People analytics can improve HRM when it helps leaders understand patterns that are otherwise difficult to see. Analysis may examine recruitment flow, turnover, absenteeism, development access, safety, workload, mobility, pay equity, engagement, and performance. Evidence can challenge assumptions and reveal where a policy has unequal effects. It can also support workforce planning by showing which capabilities are scarce, which roles are changing, and where succession risks exist.

Analytics should begin with a decision, not with data accumulation. What question is the organization trying to answer? Which data are relevant? What uncertainty remains? Who could be harmed by an incorrect interpretation? A model that predicts turnover may help target support, but it may also label employees as disloyal or invite intrusive intervention. Data should inform conversation and action, not become a substitute for listening or a justification for surveillance.

Privacy, consent, security, and purpose limitation are essential. Employees may not be able to refuse data collection without risking employment, so formal consent may not create meaningful choice. Organizations should explain what is collected, why it is needed, who can access it, how long it is retained, and how it affects decisions. Sensitive data require stronger controls. Data governance should distinguish improvement-oriented analysis from individual monitoring.

Fairness must be assessed across groups and processes. A promotion model may reproduce past inequality. An engagement survey may underrepresent people with limited digital access. An algorithmic scheduling system may give flexibility to some workers and instability to others. HR professionals should examine outcomes, not merely model accuracy. A technically sophisticated system can still be socially harmful if it directs resources away from people who need them.

Evidence-based HRM combines research, organizational data, professional expertise, and stakeholder experience. Statistical analysis can identify patterns, but managers and employees interpret meaning in context. A good analytics function communicates limits and avoids false precision. Its success is measured by better decisions, greater fairness, and improved capability—not by the number of dashboards or predictive models produced.

16 Digital Transformation, AI, and the Future of Work

Digital transformation is changing how work is allocated, coordinated, evaluated, and learned. Collaboration platforms support distributed teams; automation handles routine transactions; robotics changes production; generative AI drafts and summarizes; and algorithmic systems recommend schedules, candidates, or priorities. These tools can extend human capability, but they can also shift control from workers to systems and make work less visible or less secure. HRM must therefore participate in technology design rather than address consequences after deployment.

The future of work is not simply a choice between human labor and automation. Raisch and Krakowski (2021) describe an automation–augmentation paradox: organizations may expect technology to replace tasks while discovering that human judgment becomes more important in exception handling, relationship management, ethical reasoning, and system supervision. Job analysis should identify which tasks a technology performs well, which require human contextual knowledge, and which new skills emerge from the combination.

AI-enabled HR raises specific concerns. Recruitment tools may screen candidates; chatbots may answer employee questions; analytics may identify flight risk; and systems may recommend development or promotion. These uses

can improve access and consistency, but they also involve privacy, bias, explainability, and accountability. Employees should know when AI influences an important decision, what human review exists, and how to challenge an error. Vendors should provide documentation and support for audit.

Digital empowerment depends on access and capability. A platform does not empower people if it is unreliable, inaccessible, overly complex, or designed without frontline input. Employees need training, time to adapt, and authority to report problems. Technology should reduce unnecessary friction and improve information flow. It should not require people to serve the system by duplicating records or responding to constant alerts.

The organization must also protect human connection. Remote and hybrid work can expand flexibility, but belonging and informal learning may weaken if interaction becomes purely transactional. Managers should design rhythms of collaboration, mentoring, feedback, and recovery. The goal is a humane digital workplace in which technology expands agency while preserving relationships, privacy, and meaningful responsibility.

17 Change Management and Empowerment During Transformation

Organizational change often fails because leaders treat adoption as a communication problem rather than a change in work, identity, power, and capability. Employees may resist a new system because it threatens expertise, increases workload, reduces discretion, or reflects a decision made without their knowledge. Resistance can therefore be useful information. It may identify a genuine design flaw or a need for support, even when not every objection can determine the final decision.

Empowerment changes the way change is implemented. Leaders should involve employees early in diagnosis, process mapping, prototyping, testing, and review. Participation helps surface local knowledge and creates ownership. It also makes constraints visible. Employees can understand why some choices are necessary when leaders explain the evidence, alternatives, and boundaries. Consultation should be honest about the degree of influence available.

Change requires a fair transition. New technology or restructuring may alter roles, skills, location, workload, and career prospects. HRM should provide training, mobility support, transparent selection, fair severance where necessary, and channels for concern. People should not be told to become adaptable while the organization provides no time or resources for learning. A just change process recognizes that individuals experience risk differently.

Middle managers are pivotal. They translate strategic decisions into daily work and often absorb pressure from both senior leadership and employees. They need information, authority, coaching, and realistic workloads. If middle managers are asked to implement change without understanding it, they may either block it or impose it mechanically. Empowering them as translators and problem solvers improves the quality of execution.

Change should be monitored through outcomes and experience. Adoption rates matter, but so do error patterns, workload, service quality, inclusion, turnover, and trust. Leaders should create decision points for revising the plan. A transformation is not a test of loyalty. It is a learning process in which the organization remains responsible for the effects it creates.

18 Indonesia and the Developing Organizational Context

Human resource management and people empowerment in Indonesia are shaped by demographic diversity, regional variation, institutional development, family ownership, informality, education, technology adoption, and changing expectations among younger workers. Organizations operate across metropolitan centers, secondary cities, rural communities, islands, and sectors with different access to infrastructure and skills. HR policies designed for a large formal corporation may not fit a small enterprise, public institution, school, or community organization.

Empowerment must therefore be contextual and inclusive. In some workplaces, hierarchy and respect for seniority can make employees hesitant to question decisions. Leaders can address this by creating structured opportunities for input, protecting dissent, and modeling humility. In other contexts, informal relationships may provide flexibility but make access to promotion or information unequal. Transparent criteria and documented processes can preserve relational strengths while reducing arbitrary advantage.

Indonesia has substantial opportunities to develop human capability through vocational education, universities, professional associations, apprenticeships, digital learning, and partnerships among government, industry, and communities. Reskilling is important as automation, AI, green technology, and service-sector growth change occupations. Training should be connected to real jobs, career pathways, and employer demand. People are empowered when learning produces practical mobility rather than certificates without opportunity.

Small and medium enterprises require accessible HR support. They may not have specialized HR departments, formal analytics, or large training budgets. Shared services, sectoral training, digital tools, cooperative learning, and government or university partnerships can help. Family businesses can benefit from professionalizing recruitment, development, governance, and succession while preserving trust and long-term orientation. Empowerment can help younger generations contribute new ideas without treating inherited experience as irrelevant.

The Indonesian context also highlights the importance of community and social capital. People often work through relationships of mutual support, but these relationships must not be used to exclude outsiders or silence problems. Inclusive empowerment combines respect for local values with fair opportunity, accountable leadership, accessible information, and safe voice. The objective is an organizational culture in which people can contribute from their actual experience while connecting their work to wider national and community development.

19 Sector Cases and Practical Applications

In a university, people empowerment can be designed through academic freedom, participatory curriculum development, faculty learning communities, student feedback, transparent workload allocation, and professional staff authority to improve services. A lecturer who can redesign teaching in response to student needs is more empowered when resources, technology, and evaluation criteria support that discretion. Students also become participants rather than passive recipients when they can influence learning design and receive clear routes for addressing concerns.

In a hospital, empowerment is linked to clinical competence, team communication, safety reporting, and authority to escalate. Nurses and technicians may observe risks that are not visible to senior physicians or administrators. A structured briefing, a no-blame reporting process, and clear stop-work authority can protect patients. HRM supports this through staffing, training, credentialing, psychological safety, and fair performance review. The goal is not to remove professional hierarchy, but to ensure that hierarchy does not block essential knowledge.

In manufacturing, empowered teams can improve quality and maintenance when they have access to process data, problem-solving skills, authority to stop defective production, and recognition for prevention. Lean methods can support this approach when they respect employee knowledge rather than using efficiency solely to increase pace. A suggestion that changes a process should be evaluated transparently, and the people who contribute should share recognition and learning.

In public administration, frontline officers may need discretion to respond to diverse citizen circumstances. Rules provide consistency, but rigid application can create unfair outcomes when cases do not fit the template. Training, supervisory support, documented exceptions, and appeal routes allow discretion to be used responsibly. Digital systems should assist rather than conceal judgment. Citizens should know how to seek review when a decision is incorrect.

In a family enterprise, empowerment can connect continuity with renewal. Senior family members hold history and relationships; younger members may bring digital capability, new markets, or different professional expectations; and non-family employees may possess critical operational knowledge. A governance process that invites these contributions can reduce succession conflict. Empowerment does not mean that every person has equal authority over every issue. It means that relevant knowledge has a legitimate channel into decisions and that responsibility is allocated clearly.

20 Implementation Framework and Organizational Maturity

An empowerment program can begin with an organizational diagnosis. Leaders should map where decisions are made, what information is available, which roles face recurring obstacles, how employees raise concerns, and where

capability gaps exist. The diagnosis should combine workforce data, interviews, observation, focus groups, and review of HR policies. It should ask not only whether employees feel engaged, but whether they can influence the conditions that shape performance.

The second step is to clarify the empowerment proposition. What decisions should move closer to the work? What standards must remain centralized? What information must be shared? What capabilities must be built? What support and escalation are available? A clear proposition prevents empowerment from becoming vague encouragement. It also helps managers understand that sharing authority is not the same as abandoning coordination.

The third step is to align HR systems. Recruitment should select for relevant capability and learning. Development should prepare people for real decision domains. Performance management should reward judgment, collaboration, and outcomes. Rewards should be fair and recognize visible and invisible contributions. Employee voice should have response mechanisms. Inclusion and well-being should be treated as conditions of participation. If one practice contradicts the others, empowerment will remain fragile.

The fourth step is to equip leaders and teams. Managers need coaching in delegation, feedback, conflict, psychological safety, and decision design. Teams need information, skills, role clarity, and time to improve work. Digital tools should be tested with users and introduced with appropriate privacy and security controls. Early pilots should generate evidence about service quality, workload, fairness, and learning, not only adoption.

The fifth step is to measure and learn. Useful indicators may include decision cycle time, quality, customer outcomes, employee voice, psychological safety, development access, internal mobility, turnover, safety events, and disparity patterns. Qualitative stories help interpret the numbers. Leaders should review whether empowerment is reaching different groups and whether increased discretion is accompanied by adequate support. Programs should be modified or stopped when evidence shows that they create harm or symbolic participation.

Maturity can be described in four levels. At the emerging level, empowerment depends on individual managers and informal relationships. At the managed level, decision rights, learning programs, voice channels, and basic measures are defined. At the integrated level, HR systems, job design, technology, leadership, and governance reinforce one another. At the adaptive level, the organization continuously learns from people, data, and changing conditions. Maturity is not a label; it is the ability to sustain agency and accountability as work evolves.

21 Strategic Legacy and Conclusion

Human resource management is ultimately concerned with the kind of organization that people experience and help create. Policies matter, but their effect depends on whether they enlarge or restrict human capability. People empowerment gives HRM a clear test: can employees understand the purpose of their work, develop competence, access information and resources, exercise appropriate judgment, speak about problems, and influence decisions that affect outcomes?

The answer depends on alignment. Recruitment should bring in people with capability and potential. Job design should create meaningful responsibility and appropriate autonomy. Learning should build competence at the point of need. Performance management should clarify outcomes and support feedback. Rewards should communicate fairness and recognition. Employee voice should have consequence. Inclusion should make participation real for different groups. Well-being should be protected through the design of work, not delegated entirely to individual resilience.

Digital transformation and AI make this alignment more urgent. Technology can distribute information and expand access to expertise, but it can also centralize control, intensify monitoring, and automate bias. Human-centered HRM evaluates tools by their effects on capability, dignity, privacy, safety, and decision rights. It asks whether technology helps people do better work or merely makes them work faster under greater surveillance.

Empowerment also has a collective dimension. Organizations need people who can cooperate, share knowledge, challenge assumptions, and take responsibility for consequences beyond their immediate task. A culture of empowerment therefore creates institutional memory. It preserves lessons from experience and makes it easier for

future leaders to understand why decisions were made, which values were protected, and where the system remains vulnerable.

Strategic legacy is what current leaders leave to the people who follow. It includes policies and structures, but also confidence, skill, trust, and the expectation that one's voice can matter. An organization that delegates risk without authority leaves a legacy of cynicism. An organization that creates autonomy without support leaves a legacy of avoidable failure. An organization that combines competence, voice, fairness, and accountability leaves a stronger inheritance.

The central conclusion is that people empowerment is not a separate program added to HRM. It is the condition that allows HRM to become strategic, humane, and adaptive. Empowerment is credible when the organization shares information, develops capability, distributes meaningful authority, protects dignity, and learns from the people closest to the work. When these conditions are present, employees are not merely recipients of management. They become co-creators of organizational performance, institutional learning, and a future worthy of their participation.

Governance, accountability, and the boundaries of empowerment

Empowerment becomes sustainable when it is connected to governance. Governance defines the relationship between authority, information, responsibility, and review. Without it, empowerment may depend on personality: a generous manager creates room for judgment, while a controlling manager closes it. Such variation produces unequal employee experience and makes performance vulnerable to leadership turnover. A governance approach specifies which decisions can be made locally, what standards apply, how exceptions are escalated, and how outcomes are reviewed.

Decision rights should be designed around knowledge and consequence. The person closest to the work often has the best information about a situation, but not always the authority or resources to address it. A central office may hold legal or financial authority, but lack local context. Good design distributes decisions to the lowest competent level while retaining higher-level oversight for matters that affect safety, law, reputation, or the wider organization. This arrangement allows speed without confusing autonomy with unilateral power.

Accountability should be transparent and proportionate. If a person is responsible for an outcome, the person must have meaningful influence over the relevant inputs and decisions. When results depend heavily on staffing, technology, policy, or other teams, individual blame is an inadequate response. Fair accountability examines the quality of judgment, the evidence available at the time, the process followed, and the support provided. It also asks whether the system made the error likely. This approach is demanding because it requires leaders to correct structures as well as individuals.

Empowerment needs safeguards against both under-control and over-control. Under-control occurs when people are given responsibility without clear objectives, resources, or review. Over-control occurs when every action requires permission, information is withheld, and people are judged for failing to take initiative. The balance is achieved through explicit principles, training, accessible information, regular dialogue, and defined escalation. Managers should be able to explain why a decision belongs at a particular level and what would justify changing that allocation.

Digital tools make these questions more urgent. An algorithm may recommend priorities, allocate schedules, or summarize performance, but the recommendation does not remove human responsibility. Employees need to know how technology influences their work and how to challenge an inaccurate result. Data collected for workforce improvement should not silently become a disciplinary surveillance system. Human resource leaders should work with technology, legal, risk, and employee representatives to define acceptable uses, privacy boundaries, and review procedures.

Governance also protects continuity. When managers leave, organizations often lose informal agreements about who can decide, where knowledge is stored, and how exceptions are handled. Documented decision principles, role descriptions, knowledge systems, and succession plans preserve institutional memory. A successor can then inherit both authority and the reasoning behind it. People empowerment is strengthened when employees do not have to renegotiate their ability to act every time leadership changes.

The governance test is practical: can the organization explain who decided, what evidence was available, who could intervene, how the affected people were heard, and what will change after review? If the answer is unclear, empowerment and accountability are both incomplete. A well-governed organization makes agency visible and gives people a legitimate route to exercise, question, and improve authority.

People empowerment as strategic legacy

The long-term value of empowerment is visible in what remains after a particular manager, project, or technology has changed. Strategic legacy includes the people who have developed capability, the routines that preserve good judgment, the relationships that support cooperation, and the institutional confidence that problems can be addressed. An organization that invests in empowerment is building an inheritance for future leaders. It is less dependent on heroic individuals because more people understand the purpose and can contribute to renewal.

This legacy is particularly important in organizations with long time horizons. Universities, hospitals, public institutions, foundations, and family businesses depend on knowledge that cannot be replaced quickly. Senior employees may hold history, professional standards, and relationships with stakeholders. Younger employees may bring digital fluency, new markets, and different expectations. Empowerment creates a bridge between these forms of knowledge. It allows experience to be shared without turning the past into an unquestionable authority, and it allows innovation to be tested without treating history as an obstacle.

Succession is therefore an HRM and empowerment process. A successor needs more than a formal title. The person needs relationships, decision experience, access to information, and the confidence of stakeholders. Potential successors should receive developmental assignments that reveal how they respond to ambiguity, conflict, ethical pressure, and responsibility for others. The organization should also prepare the wider workforce, because succession affects trust when employees do not know how authority and expectations will change.

Strategic legacy includes the ability to learn from failure. A culture that hides errors leaves future employees with incomplete knowledge and repeated risk. A culture that examines failure fairly can preserve practical wisdom. After-action reviews, mentoring, documented cases, and communities of practice turn individual experience into collective memory. The purpose is not to record every detail, but to capture the assumptions, decisions, consequences, and lessons that can guide future judgment.

Empowerment also leaves a social legacy. People remember whether they were trusted, whether their ideas mattered, whether they could speak safely, and whether the organization treated them fairly during difficult change. These memories influence reputation and the willingness of talented people to join or remain. They also shape how former employees describe the institution to students, customers, partners, and communities. A respectful employment relationship can therefore create value beyond the immediate employment contract.

Technology should be judged by its contribution to this legacy. A knowledge platform is valuable when it makes expertise accessible and encourages learning. An analytics system is valuable when it improves fairness and development rather than labeling people permanently. Automation is valuable when it removes avoidable drudgery and allows people to concentrate on judgment, care, and creativity. The relevant question is whether technology expands the organization's capacity to act responsibly after the current generation of users is gone.

Leaders should make legacy explicit in planning. They can ask which capabilities must be transferred, which values must remain stable, which practices should be redesigned, and which dependencies would limit future choice. They can set goals for internal mobility, mentoring, employee voice, knowledge retention, and leadership diversity. These goals may not produce immediate financial returns, but they improve resilience and reduce the risk that organizational knowledge disappears with individual people.

The strongest legacy is not an organization in which every decision is decentralized. It is an organization in which people understand when to act, when to consult, when to escalate, and how to learn. Empowerment becomes mature when agency and responsibility are distributed together. That maturity allows the organization to remain human while becoming more complex, digital, and capable of serving changing needs.

Measuring empowerment with care

Measurement should make empowerment more visible without reducing it to a single score. Useful evidence may include whether employees receive relevant information, have access to development, can influence decisions, report concerns, use their discretion, and experience fair recognition. These indicators should be interpreted with context. A high number of suggestions may mean creativity, but it may also mean that basic processes are broken. A low number of complaints may mean satisfaction, or it may mean that people do not trust the reporting channel.

Leaders can combine quantitative patterns with conversations and observation. Surveys may show differences in psychological safety; interviews may explain why some groups remain silent. Turnover data may identify a problem, but exit conversations and workload analysis can distinguish managerial behavior from labor-market conditions. A promotion dashboard may reveal unequal outcomes, but a review of assignments and sponsorship can identify the mechanism. Evidence becomes empowering when it leads to specific action and when employees can see that their experience influenced the response.

Organizations should also monitor unintended effects. A new autonomy policy may increase responsibility without increasing resources. A performance target may encourage speed at the expense of quality. A digital tool may make information available but create new surveillance. Periodic review, employee participation, and independent challenge can detect these effects. The goal is not to create perfect measurement, but to ensure that management remains responsive to the lived reality of work.

A final test is whether empowerment improves both human experience and organizational reliability. People should have more capacity to act, but the organization should also have better information, faster learning, clearer escalation, and stronger service outcomes. When these results move together, empowerment is more than satisfaction or rhetoric. It is evidence that HRM has aligned the design of work with the development of people and the responsibilities of the institution.

Empowerment architecture and HRM alignment

HRM domain	Empowerment condition	Evidence of alignment
Job design	Appropriate autonomy, meaningful tasks, information, and feedback.	Employees can decide within clear boundaries and improve the work.
Learning	Access to capability development at the point of need.	People can handle new demands and move through credible pathways.
Performance	Clear outcomes, fair review, learning-oriented feedback.	Judgment and responsible initiative are recognized.
Rewards	Equitable pay, recognition, opportunity, and support.	Contributions are visible and incentives do not reward harmful shortcuts.
Voice and inclusion	Safe participation with response and remedy.	Concerns influence decisions and different groups can progress.
Technology	Human-centered tools with privacy and decision rights.	Digital systems extend capability without replacing accountability.

People empowerment maturity

Level	Organizational condition	Management priority
Emerging	Empowerment depends on individual managers and informal trust.	Map decision barriers, capability gaps, and voice risks.
Managed	Decision rights, development, voice channels, and basic measures exist.	Align HR policies, leadership routines, and accountability.
Integrated	Job design, HRM, technology, culture, and governance reinforce agency.	Scale learning, inclusion, and evidence-based improvement.
Adaptive	People and systems learn continuously under changing conditions.	Renew capabilities, protect dignity, and preserve strategic legacy.

References

- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2023). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (16th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Boxall, P., & Purcell, J. (2016). *Strategy and human resource management* (4th ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
- Grant, A. M. (2008). The significance of task significance: Job performance effects, relational mechanisms, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(1), 108–124. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.1.108>
- Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250–279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(76\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7)
- Huselid, M. A. (1995). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(3), 635–672. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256741>
- Kuvaas, B., Buch, R., Weibel, A., Dysvik, A., & Nerstad, C. G. L. (2017). Do intrinsic and extrinsic motivation relate differently to employee outcomes? *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 61, 244–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2017.05.004>
- Morgeson, F. P., & Humphrey, S. E. (2006). The Work Design Questionnaire: Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the nature of work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(6), 1321–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.6.1321>
- Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(1), 192–210. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072>
- Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(5), 1442–1465. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256865>
- Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (1992). Theoretical perspectives for strategic human resource management. *Journal of Management*, 18(2), 295–320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639201800205>
- Wrzesniewski, A., & Dutton, J. E. (2001). Crafting a job: Revisioning employees as active crafters of their work. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(2), 179–201. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.4378011>
- Van De Voorde, K., Paauwe, J., & Van Veldhoven, M. (2012). Employee well-being and the HRM–organizational performance relationship: A review of quantitative studies. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 14(4), 391–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00322.x>
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *The future of jobs report 2023*. <https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023/>