

Family Business, Succession and Strategic Legacy

An academic study of continuity in ownership, leadership, capabilities, and strategic value across generations

By

Prof Ir Rudy C Tarumingkeng, PhD

www.ruduct.com/cv.pdf

IBM-ASMI 2026

Abstract

A family business is an organization that combines ownership, management, kinship relations, and economic purposes within one interdependent system. Family firms do not become advantageous simply because their owners are related by blood. Advantage emerges when the family converts trust, tacit knowledge, long-term orientation, reputation, and social networks into capabilities that create value and can be held accountable. Succession therefore should not be understood as merely replacing one person in a leadership position. It is a strategic process involving the transfer of authority, ownership, knowledge, legitimacy, and decision-making capacity. This article develops a conceptual framework linking family governance, stewardship, agency, the resource-based view, socioemotional wealth, knowledge transfer, strategic renewal, and strategic legacy. Strategic legacy is defined as the set of principles, capabilities, relationships, routines, and strategic choices that are passed on and continually tested by the succeeding generation. The analysis shows that family continuity and business continuity can move in the same direction only when the family distinguishes its roles as owner, steward, family member, and organizational participant. Sound succession requires early preparation, clear merit criteria, external experience, a competent board, intergenerational communication, conflict-resolution mechanisms, and room for innovation by the next generation. In Indonesia, the framework must be adapted to family firms that often begin as informal ventures, depend on personal trust, face digital change, and operate in diverse institutional environments. The article concludes with an implementation agenda and a research agenda that treat legacy as a source of learning rather than as a reason to preserve practices that are no longer relevant.

Keywords: family business, succession, strategic legacy, family governance, stewardship, socioemotional wealth, knowledge transfer, strategic renewal.

Glossary

Term	Meaning
Agency problem	A problem that arises when the interests of owners and managers are not fully aligned.
Family business	A company whose ownership or strategic influence is closely connected to one or more families.
Family constitution	A written agreement about values, rights, duties, and family-company relations.
Family governance	Forums, rules, and processes for managing the family as owner and stakeholder.
Familiness	A distinctive bundle of resources and capabilities created by interaction between family and firm.
Incumbent	The leader or owner from the generation currently holding primary authority.
Knowledge transfer	The movement of knowledge, experience, judgment, and ways of working.
Merit-based succession	Succession that uses competence and performance as the main basis for selecting a successor.
Next generation	The family generation being prepared to assume the next responsibilities.
Patient capital	Capital that can remain committed over the long term without demanding immediate results.
Socioemotional wealth	Nonfinancial value derived from control, identity, closeness, and continuity of the company.
Stewardship	A management orientation that places organizational continuity above short-term personal gain.
Strategic legacy	Inherited values, capabilities, relationships, choices, and lessons that shape the next generation.
Strategic renewal	Updating strategy, capabilities, and the business model so the company remains relevant.
Succession	The transfer of leadership, ownership, knowledge, and legitimacy.

Term	Meaning
Tacit knowledge	Knowledge embedded in experience and difficult to transfer through documents alone.
Three-circle model	A model that distinguishes family, ownership, and business systems.
Transgenerational entrepreneurship	The family's capacity to create and renew ventures across generations.

1 Introduction

Family businesses are often viewed through two opposing lenses. The first sees them as organizations with trust capital, long-term commitment, and strong social relationships. The second emphasizes the risks of nepotism, inheritance disputes, concentrated power, and excessively emotional decisions. Both lenses are important, but neither is sufficient on its own. A family business is a socioeconomic system whose performance depends on how the family manages the relationship between personal closeness and professional demands. When family relationships replace procedures, competence, and accountability, closeness becomes a source of risk. When family values are translated into standards of conduct, reputation, and service commitments, those relationships can become strategic resources that are difficult to imitate.

Succession is the clearest test of the quality of this system. While the founder retains final decision authority, many organizational weaknesses can be concealed by experience, reputation, and personal networks. The company can move quickly because decisions are centralized. Yet that speed often hides dependence on one person. When the founder becomes ill, retires, dies, or must transfer leadership because of market change, the organization faces questions that had been postponed: who has the legitimacy to lead, how should decisions be made, what should be preserved, and what should be changed? If these questions are answered only during a crisis, family conflict easily becomes entangled with business decisions.

This article begins with the thesis that succession should be positioned as a process for building future capacity. The transfer of a position is one outcome, not the whole process. A successor must acquire knowledge about customers, suppliers, employees, regulators, and the history of company decisions. The successor must also possess authority recognized by both the family and the organization. Legitimacy cannot be inherited like shares; it is built through competence, character, experience, and willingness to accept responsibility. At the same time, the older generation must be able to relinquish part of its control without feeling that its entire identity has disappeared. Succession succeeds when the older generation finds a new form of contribution and the next generation receives real room to lead.

The term strategic legacy is used here to avoid two mistakes. First, legacy should not be confused with nostalgia for the founder. Memories and symbols may matter, but they do not automatically produce competitiveness. Second, legacy should not be treated as an obligation to preserve every old practice. Strategic inheritance must be divided into principles that should be maintained, capabilities that should be strengthened, and routines that should be abandoned. Companies that succeed across generations usually preserve a basic purpose that provides direction while changing the means used to achieve it. Legacy is therefore selective, reflective, and open to learning.

This article is a conceptual and applied study. The opening sections explain the character of family businesses and the relevant theories. The middle sections discuss governance, successor preparation, knowledge transfer, and the roles of conflict and innovation. The final sections develop an implementation framework for owner families, boards, professional managers, advisers, and management educators. The narrative examples illustrate decision

mechanisms; they do not claim that one case represents every family firm. Differences in sector, size, generation, ownership structure, and institutional environment must remain part of the analysis.

2 The Nature of Family Business

No single definition can cover the full variety of family businesses. A small company may be considered a family business because a couple manages its daily activities, while a public company may retain family characteristics when the founding family controls voting rights or influences strategic decisions. A useful definition should consider ownership, control, family participation, the intention to preserve the business across generations, and the perceptions of stakeholders. These elements do not always have equal intensity. Researchers and practitioners should state which dimension grounds their analysis so that family business does not become an overly loose label.

The three-circle model, which separates family, ownership, and business, helps explain why one person can hold several roles at once. A founder's child may be a family member, a shareholder, and a manager. The expectations attached to those roles are not always the same. As a family member, the person expects recognition and emotional fairness. As a shareholder, the person wants returns and information. As a manager, the person needs targets, evaluation, and operational authority. Conflict appears when a decision that is fair in one circle is considered unfair in another. A position-based salary may feel insulting to a family member who believes that personal sacrifice deserves special recognition, while a family-status salary may create injustice for a nonfamily manager.

Family businesses have several potential sources of advantage. Long-term relationships can reduce negotiation costs with customers and suppliers. Concentrated ownership can accelerate investment decisions. Knowledge of a local market's history can help the firm read changes that formal reports do not capture. In many parts of Indonesia, family reputation also functions as a social guarantee affecting access to employees, credit, or community support. Yet each advantage is conditional. Trust can become secrecy, long-term orientation can become reluctance to take risks, and concentrated control can become domination that suppresses criticism.

The most serious vulnerability appears when the company depends too heavily on undocumented knowledge and decisions that have no replacement mechanism. This dependence is efficient in the early stage because the founder can solve problems through a phone call or a brief conversation. As the business grows, however, informality limits scalability. When the number of units, branches, or family members increases, informal decisions become difficult to trace. New people do not know why a policy exists. They know only that it is the family way. Over time, the phrase can hinder learning because every question is treated as a threat to authority.

Family values have a strategic function when they are translated into observable behavior. Integrity should be visible in procurement, financial reporting, promotion, and customer relations. Hard work should be accompanied by healthy boundaries so that it does not become a culture of endless overtime. Loyalty must be distinguished from protecting poor performance. A mature family business converts values into decision principles, behavioral indicators, and correction mechanisms. Values then become a social architecture that guides choices rather than a statement displayed on an office wall.

3 Theoretical Foundations

Agency theory examines relationships in which one party authorizes another to act on its behalf. In a company, owners delegate management to managers. Differences in information and interests can create monitoring, incentive, and control costs. In a family business, family relationships may reduce some agency problems between owners and family managers because trust and ownership overlap. New problems can nevertheless arise between controlling and minority shareholders, active and passive family members, or the family and professional managers. Family structure does not eliminate agency problems; it changes their form.

The practical implication of agency theory is the importance of transparency and boundaries of authority. The company needs reports that owners who are not involved in daily operations can understand. Related-party transactions should be disclosed and assessed fairly. Family appointments should follow criteria established before candidates are selected. The board should have access to independent information, rather than receiving

only reports prepared by the party being evaluated. Such controls do not signal distrust of the family. They protect the family from suspicion and the company from decisions that cannot be justified.

Stewardship theory emphasizes the possibility that managers act as guardians of organizational interests rather than merely as seekers of personal gain. Davis, Schoorman, and Donaldson (1997) explain stewardship in terms of a strong connection between the manager's identity and organizational goals, a collective orientation that is more important than individual interest, and trust as a basis for coordination. In a family business, stewardship may arise from responsibility toward the family name, employees, community, and future generations. It can encourage investment whose returns will appear only over a long period.

Stewardship must not be used as a reason to eliminate accountability. A good steward still provides information, accepts evaluation, and remains open to correction. Accountability mechanisms help stewardship survive because they prevent moral claims from being used to conceal mistakes. A family that describes itself as the guardian of a company should answer concrete questions: what is being protected, for whom, by what measures, and how will internal stakeholders know that the protection is working? Unmeasured stewardship can easily become paternalism, or unilateral decision making presented as protection.

The resource-based view treats competitive advantage as the result of resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and difficult to replace. Habbershon and Williams (1999) use the term *familiness* for the bundle of resources that emerges from interaction between family and firm. These resources may include reputation, local knowledge, networks, patient capital, brand identity, and the ability to decide under uncertainty. Advantage does not come from the fact that a company is family-owned. It comes from how the family manages these resources to create value for customers and stakeholders.

Sirmon and Hitt (2003) add that resources must be inventoried, combined, and leveraged. A family may have strong relationships with suppliers, but the relationships are not a useful organizational resource if only the founder understands them. Knowledge must be mapped, shared, and connected to organizational systems. Patient capital can give innovation time to mature, but without evaluation criteria it can also keep an unviable business alive. A resource perspective therefore always requires a link between assets, management processes, and outcomes.

Socioemotional wealth describes the nonfinancial values that a family seeks to preserve, including control, identity, social closeness, reputation, and the right to pass the company on. Berrone, Cruz, and Gomez-Mejia (2012) show that this orientation can affect risk decisions, governance, and strategy. A family may reject a financially attractive acquisition because the loss of control is experienced as a loss of identity. In another situation, the family may accept risk to preserve employment or its name. This perspective expands analysis from the question of financial return to the questions of what value is being protected and who bears the cost.

The knowledge-based view treats knowledge as an organizational asset that must be coordinated. In family businesses, founder knowledge is often built through long experience and contains patterns for recognizing problems that are difficult to explain. A successor cannot learn only by reading a manual. The successor must follow processes, observe decisions, test judgment, and receive feedback. Tacit knowledge should not become a reason to preserve personal dependence. The task of succession is to convert some tacit knowledge into shareable knowledge without stripping away the meaning of experience.

Dynamic capabilities focus attention on the ability to sense change, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. A family business should use legacy as a starting point for learning, not as a boundary. A successor may preserve a commitment to quality while changing distribution through digital commerce. The successor may maintain personal customer relationships while adding a CRM system so that knowledge does not disappear. Strategic renewal is not a betrayal of the family. It is a way to keep the family's basic purpose alive under new conditions.

4 Family and Corporate Governance

Corporate governance concerns how the company is directed, supervised, and held accountable. Family governance concerns how the family communicates, decides as an ownership group, prepares the next generation, and resolves differences. The two systems are connected but not identical. The company board should address strategy, performance, risk, and compliance. A family council may address values, owner education, family

employment policy, philanthropy, and communication. When every issue is taken to one informal forum, the agenda becomes unclear and decisions become difficult to explain.

Separating forums does not mean separating the family from the company. It means that each decision is discussed by people with the appropriate mandate and information. The family may agree that the company should maintain a reputation as a fair employer. The board and management then translate that commitment into human-resource policy, training budgets, and retention indicators. If family members want to change investment strategy, they exercise ownership rights through the agreed mechanism rather than issuing sudden instructions to a department head.

A family constitution is a living document that records agreements about the family's purpose as owner, values, participation rules, dividends, share transfers, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, and procedures for change. It does not replace articles of association or legal agreements, but it provides context and direction. Its preparation should include members of different generations, including those who do not yet work in the company. They may become owners in the future, and early understanding is better than receiving rights without preparation.

A family council provides a space for deliberation. It must not become a shadow board that takes over management. Its task is to improve the quality of family decisions as owners and to maintain communication. The council may organize annual meetings, owner education, proposal procedures, and mediation. To be effective, agendas, minutes, and follow-up actions should be managed professionally. External advisers can facilitate the process, but the family must still be willing to discuss uncomfortable information.

A family-company board faces a dual demand. It must understand family history and values while remaining able to ask independent questions. Family directors bring context and commitment, while independent directors can bring expertise in the sector, finance, law, technology, or sustainability. The composition depends on the company's needs, but competence cannot be replaced by family seniority. A board made up only of relatives tends to avoid sensitive issues. A board made up entirely of outsiders may lose the owners' identity and purpose. The balance must be designed deliberately.

During succession, the board acts as guardian of the process. It sets candidate criteria, requests competence assessments, arranges cross-functional assignments, and designs the transition plan. If the leading candidate does not meet the criteria, the board must be able to recommend an interim option or a nonfamily leader. Such a decision may be emotionally difficult, but it is more responsible than forcing an unprepared successor into the role. Family leadership can be preserved through ownership and board participation without always placing a family member in the chief executive position.

5 Succession as a Strategic Process

Succession is often discussed as if it occurs only on the day a position changes hands. That perspective is too narrow. Succession unfolds through a series of stages: recognizing the need for transition, setting direction, identifying candidates, developing competence, testing legitimacy, distributing authority, transferring ownership, and evaluating the period after transition. Each stage creates different risks. Early on, the risk is delay. During selection, the risks are bias and sibling competition. During transition, the risk is overlapping authority. After transition, the question is whether the successor can build an independent leadership identity.

De Massis, Chua, and Chrisman (2008) show that factors preventing intra-family succession can originate in the family, the business, or the individual. The founder may be unwilling to relinquish control. A successor may lack interest or competence. The company may not be attractive enough to the next generation. Old conflict may turn the process into a struggle for recognition. A sound plan must accept that a family successor may not be available or may not be the best option. Mature planning prepares several paths, including promotion of a nonfamily manager, partial sale, merger, or a holding structure that separates ownership from operations.

Successor criteria should address future demands rather than simply reproduce the founder's profile. Relevant competencies may include industry knowledge, financial literacy, people leadership, digital fluency, integrity, boardroom skill, and willingness to accept criticism. Criteria should distinguish minimum requirements from

differentiating qualities. Minimum requirements may include education or specific experience. Differentiating qualities may include the ability to build trust across groups and translate legacy into a new strategy.

Criteria should also specify observable behavior. The phrase leadership spirit is too broad unless it is explained. Observable behavior may include completing a cross-unit project, communicating difficult decisions with clear reasons, acknowledging mistakes, developing subordinates, and protecting confidentiality. Assessment can use work targets, behavioral interviews, 360-degree feedback, and observation in family and company forums. This process reduces the impression that a successor was chosen solely because of emotional closeness.

Successor preparation should begin before a position becomes vacant. During education, the next generation should learn that ownership is a responsibility, not merely a right to receive dividends. They can then gain experience outside the family company. External experience creates professional standards, expands networks, and tests motivation. When they return, they should enter through a position with clear targets and a clear supervisor. A job that reports directly to a parent may look comfortable, but it gives the successor fewer opportunities to be tested by the system.

Job rotation helps a successor understand the value chain. The successor may begin with operations, customer service, finance, technology, and market development. Rotation must not be ceremonial. Each assignment needs a real problem, a success indicator, and an evaluation. A mentor from the older generation explains context and history, while an external mentor offers a more independent assessment of behavior. At a later stage, the successor should lead a project with strategic impact, such as process digitization, entry into a new market, or improvement of the quality system.

A period in which founder and successor lead together can be either a bridge or a source of confusion. It is a bridge when roles, decisions, and time limits are defined. It becomes confusing when the founder retains an informal veto over everything. A co-leadership agreement should state who sets strategy, who manages operations, how differences are resolved, and when evaluation occurs. Change may be gradual, but authority cannot overlap indefinitely without creating uncertainty.

The founder also needs a design for the post-transition role. The founder may become board chair, adviser, guardian of a particular relationship, or leader of family social initiatives. The new role must have a mandate and must not take over the chief executive's work. Relinquishing daily work does not mean losing dignity. Creating space for the next generation is part of the founder's leadership legacy. The successor, in turn, must show respect without turning respect into dependence.

6 Knowledge and Capability Transfer

Not all founder knowledge has the same value. Some of it consists of principles that remain relevant, some is historical information, and some consists of habits formed under past conditions. Transfer must separate these categories. Knowledge of why the company chose a particular quality standard, how it built customer trust, or why it avoided a particular risk may be strategically important. Manual procedures that technology can replace should be reconsidered. Good inheritance transfers the logic of decisions rather than merely copying behavior.

Knowledge mapping begins by identifying decisions that most affect continuity. Who knows the major customers? Who understands seasonal patterns? Who can read early signs of a quality problem? Who knows why a contract was not renewed? The answers reveal hidden dependence. Knowledge can then be transferred through structured interviews, shadowing, simulations, process documentation, and joint projects. Documentation does not replace relationships, but it prevents experience from disappearing when a person is no longer present.

Tacit knowledge is often understood only through practice. A founder may know that a customer is dissatisfied from a small change in tone. The successor must learn to read context rather than simply imitate a phrase. Effective mentoring asks the founder to explain the reasoning after a decision is made. The successor first makes an independent assessment and only then hears the founder's view. The difference becomes a subject for dialogue. The successor is therefore not made into a copy; the successor develops independent judgment.

The greatest risk in transferring tacit knowledge is romanticizing experience. The founder's experience may be valuable, but not every successful decision succeeded because the reasoning was correct. Some succeeded because of market conditions, timing, or luck. Post-decision review helps separate the quality of reasoning from the outcome of chance. A family that wants to build legacy must be willing to discuss failure, conflict, and decisions that were later corrected. A narrative containing only victories gives the next generation no lesson in uncertainty.

Digitalization offers an opportunity to reduce dependence on personal memory. ERP systems, CRM systems, supplier databases, and performance dashboards make information easier to access. Digitalization, however, does not automatically transfer strategic knowledge. Sales data may show declining demand, but not why customers have lost trust. A digital system should combine quantitative data with decision notes, feedback, and interpretation forums. The next generation must learn to read data without losing the ability to understand the people behind it.

Technology can also change the distribution of power inside the family. When information exists only with the founder, control is personal. When information is available under clear access rules, decisions can become more collective and auditable. This does not mean opening every data set without limits. Confidentiality, cybersecurity, and customer-data protection remain essential. The family must define access rights, record changes, and establish recovery procedures. Digital legacy includes information-governance habits, not merely the purchase of software.

7 Leadership by the Next Generation

A successor faces a distinctive identity problem. The successor is expected to respect history while also demonstrating the ability to lead. If too similar to the founder, the successor may be judged to lack a vision. If too different, the successor may be accused of betraying the family. This tension cannot be solved by slogans about innovation or loyalty. The successor builds legitimacy through results, relationships, and consistency. Legitimacy grows when employees see that the successor can explain direction, make choices, and accept responsibility for consequences.

The family can help by distinguishing support from intervention. Support means providing education, mentors, information, and opportunities to lead. Intervention means correcting the successor through informal channels so that employees do not know whom to follow. Repeated intervention prevents authority from forming. If the family is not ready to grant authority, it should slow the transition openly rather than create a title without real power.

Meritocracy in a family business does not mean ignoring family relationships. It means that family connection is not the only reason for granting a position, salary, or voting right. Family members should meet the same standards for particular positions, while the system should recognize the contribution of owners who do not work in operations. By separating ownership rights from managerial rights, the family protects fairness without forcing every member into the business.

Generational and gender inclusion also matter. Many family firms lose potential leaders because daughters, in-laws, or family members working outside the company are never treated as strategic owners. The barrier is not always written; it appears in who is invited to meetings, who receives information, and who is given time to speak. Clear criteria enable the family to assess contribution more fairly. When a particular candidate is chosen, the decision should be explained in terms that other members can accept.

The next generation leads in an environment more complex than the company's early years. Markets change quickly, workforces are more diverse, and technology accelerates competition. A leader cannot rely on personal control for every decision. The leader must build a competent management team, strengthen the board, and use data. Collaborative leadership does not mean avoiding decisions. It means setting direction and standards while creating a process through which information and expertise can move.

Collaboration within the family requires rules for conversation. Family meetings should give passive members a chance to speak rather than listening only to the most senior person. A strategic criticism should not immediately be read as criticism of a parent. Criticism should be accompanied by alternatives and evidence. A facilitator can help the family distinguish matters of fact, values, and emotion. Conflict does not disappear, but it becomes information about needs that have not yet been acknowledged.

8 Strategic Legacy

Strategic legacy differs from symbolic legacy. Symbolic legacy includes a name, logo, founder story, building, or anniversary event. Strategic legacy is a pattern of capability that helps the company choose and act. A family may be known for refusing to sacrifice quality for volume. If that principle is expressed through procurement, training, and quality-audit systems, it becomes a capability. If it is merely repeated without budget or measurement, it remains a story. Succession must turn valuable inheritance into a mechanism that different people can operate.

Strategic inheritance has several layers. The first is purpose, or the reason the company exists. The second is values, or the boundaries of acceptable behavior. The third is capability, such as mastery of technology, service, design, distribution, or relationships. The fourth is the network of trust with customers, suppliers, communities, and institutions. The fifth is strategic choice, meaning past decisions that created the company's position. These layers are not passed on in the same way. Purpose may be preserved, capabilities may be renewed, and old choices may be abandoned.

A family can conduct a legacy audit to assess what is truly being inherited. The audit asks what makes customers choose the company, what capabilities are difficult to imitate, which relationships matter most, which decisions shaped reputation, and which habits now restrict the business. Each answer should be tested against evidence such as customer retention, margins, complaints, cycle time, supplier quality, or employee surveys. The audit is not a retrospective judgment of the founder. It helps the next generation understand the strategic capital available and the responsibilities attached to it.

The audit results can be divided into four categories: preserve, strengthen, change, and stop. A quality principle may be preserved. The employee learning system may be strengthened. Store-based distribution may be changed through digital channels. Recruitment based on family closeness may be stopped. This division helps the family avoid two extremes: preserving everything old or rejecting everything old. The decision should be linked to strategy and risk rather than to the preferences of one generation.

Family businesses often have close relationships with the communities where they grew. These relationships become part of legacy when managed responsibly. Social responsibility is not limited to donations during celebrations. It includes job quality, safety, local-supplier development, environmental management, and honest tax practice. The successor should understand that family reputation is built through daily interaction with many parties. If a company demands community loyalty while ignoring its operational impact, its social legacy loses credibility.

Climate change, environmental regulation, and consumer expectations make sustainability a strategic issue. A family with a long-term orientation should connect sustainability with business continuity rather than treating it as a communication project. Emissions measurement, energy efficiency, supply-chain resilience, and adaptive capacity become part of risk management. Responsible legacy asks not only whether the business is still family-owned, but also whether it remains worthy of trust by the next generation.

9 Conflict Risk and Renewal

Conflict in a family business is not always a sign of failure. Difference may show that family members have different interests, information, or time horizons. Conflict becomes destructive when there is no forum, rule, or courage to discuss it. An argument about succession often concerns deeper questions: who is valued, who is considered capable, who has the right to speak, and how wealth will be distributed. Solving only the surface issue of a position does not change those underlying needs.

Resolution processes should distinguish conflicts of interest from conflicts of values and conflicts of fact. A conflict of interest can be addressed through transaction and compensation rules. A conflict of fact requires better information. A conflict of values requires dialogue and an ownership decision. Each type needs a different approach. External mediation is useful when relationships have become too tense for productive conversation. The mediator does not decide strategy; the mediator helps parties lay out choices and consequences.

Nepotism occurs when family connection overrides the standard that should determine an opportunity. The effect is not limited to nonfamily employees. Family members placed without preparation also lose the chance to build competence. The organization becomes reluctant to give feedback because feedback may trigger family conflict. The successor then receives an inaccurate picture of performance. A healthy system sets entry routes, probation periods, evaluations, and performance consequences for everyone.

Entrenchment appears when a leader stays in a position because control provides economic and emotional benefits even though the organization needs change. The leader may say that the family needs stability while actually protecting personal authority. The board should test that claim against performance data, successor readiness, and dependence risk. A retirement plan, term limits, and a defined post-transition role can reduce tension. Ending an operational role is not the same as ending respect.

A family firm must run two activities at once: exploit capabilities that already generate revenue and explore new possibilities. Long-term orientation can support exploration because the family is willing to wait for results. Conservatism can block it when every experiment is seen as a threat to reputation. Successors often drive innovation, but they need risk boundaries, budgets, and evaluation. Innovation does not only mean new technology; it can mean a new business model, work method, service design, or customer relationship.

The board can distinguish the innovation portfolio from the core operation. Core operations are assessed through efficiency, quality, and margin. Experiments are assessed through learning, customer validation, and future options. If every project is measured by mature-business standards, early innovation will always look poor. If experiments have no discipline, capital is wasted. A portfolio structure allows the family to protect legacy while providing room for renewal.

10 Family Business in the Digital Era

Digital transformation is often an arena for intergenerational difference. The older generation knows customers, operations, and risk; the next generation is often more familiar with platforms, data, and new consumption patterns. The difference should not become a competition between ages. Digital decisions require both business experience and technological ability. The successor can lead experiments while the founder assesses their effects on customer relationships and reputation. Both sides should use agreed metrics so that the discussion does not remain a matter of taste.

A family company must consider governance of artificial intelligence, automation, and customer data. Technology can raise productivity, but it can also introduce bias, data leakage, and decisions that cannot be explained. A legacy based on trust must be translated into digital transparency. Who may use the data? How are algorithmic decisions reviewed? What happens when a system recommendation conflicts with human judgment? These questions are part of the successor's responsibility.

Many family firms have suppliers that have worked with them for decades. Long relationships provide knowledge and trust, but they can also create dependence. Succession is an opportunity to review supplier concentration, logistics resilience, contract quality, and alternative capacity. The goal is not to replace every old relationship. The goal is to ensure that relationships remain healthy and do not prevent strategic choice. Performance data, audits, and open dialogue can strengthen relationships without abandoning standards.

Crisis accelerates learning. A pandemic, transport disruption, price change, or natural disaster reveals whether a company has continuity plans and decision capacity. The next generation should participate in risk simulations, not only in growth meetings. It should understand how cash flow is protected, how employees are informed, and how customers are prioritized. A healthy strategic legacy turns crisis memory into a system rather than leaving it as a family story.

Digitalization also changes how the family understands control. In the past, control might have meant knowing every transaction and speaking directly with every unit head. In a growing organization, control must become the design of information, indicators, and exceptions. A leader does not lose influence by no longer knowing every detail. The leader creates organizational capacity to detect deviations and act before problems grow.

Technological change requires a willingness to test assumptions. A successful product may no longer fit customer behavior. A channel built through personal relationships may be complemented by a platform that reaches more customers. Change must still protect data, quality, and fairness. A successor who connects old values with new capability will usually gain more support than one who presents digitalization as a rejection of the past.

11 Relevance for Indonesia and the Global South

Many Indonesian family firms grow from trading, manufacturing, services, agribusiness, construction, education, health care, or the creative economy. Early growth often depends on family labor, personal capital, and trusted networks. Informality helps a company survive when resources are limited. As the business grows, however, informality creates problems in taxation, finance, internal control, and succession. Institutionalization does not mean removing the family character. It means making decisions explainable and executable by a broader organization.

Early steps may include separating personal and company accounts, producing regular financial statements, defining jobs, setting purchasing policies, formalizing employment contracts, and documenting management meetings. These steps appear administrative, but they have strategic effects. Without consistent information, the family cannot assess successors or unit performance. Without job descriptions, role conflict becomes personal. Without transaction policy, trust is difficult to distinguish from special treatment. Basic professionalization is a foundation for inheritable legacy.

In the Global South, family firms operate in uneven institutional environments. Access to finance, legal certainty, infrastructure quality, and social networks vary across regions. Family social capital can help overcome some constraints. Dependence on personal networks can nevertheless limit access to talent and wider markets. The next generation must expand networks without abandoning relationship ethics. New relationships should be built through performance and reliability rather than through closeness alone.

Practices accepted inside the family may not work when the company operates across regions or countries. Data governance, labor standards, safety, and anti-corruption requirements demand stronger documentation and controls. A legacy that helped the company grow at one stage may need to be translated again at the next. The ability to turn values into widely accepted standards is a strategic competence of the next generation.

Owner-family education should include financial literacy, governance, strategy, basic law, risk, and communication. A child who receives shares but does not understand financial statements will depend on others and may become involved in conflict. Education should also address the ethics of power. Ownership creates influence, and owner decisions affect employees, suppliers, and communities. Educated owners can request information constructively and evaluate long-term choices.

For universities, family business offers a rich learning laboratory. Students can study how agency, stewardship, strategy, organizational behavior, and entrepreneurship meet in real situations. Family cases must be handled carefully because information is sensitive. The focus should be on decision mechanisms and governance principles rather than family gossip. Education helps the next generation understand that preserving the company is not an end in itself; the ultimate aim is to create value that can be justified.

12 Implementation Framework

The following implementation framework can be used as a diagnostic tool. It is not a mechanical sequence that applies equally to every firm. A family can start with the most urgent problem and build the other components gradually. The principle is to connect intention, structure, people, knowledge, and measurement. Succession will not work if it has only a document without conversation or conversation without a decision.

Diagnosis begins with separate and joint interviews. Family members, managers, and key employees may offer different accounts. The differences are not noise; they show how information and trust are distributed. The diagnostic team maps dependence on the founder, positions that are difficult to replace, important contracts, ownership risks, and system readiness. The results should be discussed in decision language. The statement that

the company is too dependent on the founder should become the concrete finding that three customer relationships and two credit decisions can be approved only by the founder.

Diagnosis also examines emotional readiness. Is the founder willing to discuss retirement? Do siblings have different expectations? Do spouses or family members who do not work in the company receive enough information? Ignoring these issues makes a plan appear rational but impossible to implement. A facilitator can distinguish exploratory conversation from a final decision. Not every matter must be resolved at the first meeting, but every important matter must have a place to be discussed.

Successor readiness can be assessed through technical competence, leadership, business understanding, integrity, internal networks, external networks, emotional readiness, and ability to work with governance. Founder readiness includes delegation, acceptance of difference, support for the successor's decisions, and the ability to build a post-transition life. Organizational readiness includes management-team quality, information systems, internal controls, board structure, and strategic clarity. Owner readiness includes agreements about shares, dividends, and voting rights.

Measurement does not require a complex score. A simple matrix with supporting evidence is often more useful. If the successor is strong in innovation but weak in cash-flow management, the successor can receive a project that combines both capabilities. If the founder is strong in customer relationships but weak in delegation, the transition can begin by transferring part of those relationships. Measurement is useful when it produces development action, not when it merely produces a ranking.

A responsible succession plan always includes contingency scenarios. What happens if the leading successor resigns? How will the company operate if the founder dies before the transition is complete? Who has access to accounts, contracts, and essential data? Who will speak to employees and customers? An emergency plan does not wish for failure; it reduces the impact when uncertainty becomes reality. The document must be reviewed because family structure, law, and business conditions change.

Contingency scenarios open options that may previously have been considered taboo. Interim professional leadership gives the family time to prepare a successor. A partial share sale can provide capital and governance discipline. Separating assets from operations can reduce conflict among family members. Not every option is appropriate, but a family that discusses them early has more room to negotiate. Legacy does not require the company to remain in exactly the same form; it can continue through values and capabilities in a new structure.

13 Research and Learning Agenda

Family-business research should move from asking whether family firms are better than nonfamily firms toward more specific questions: under what conditions, through what mechanisms, and for whom do advantage or vulnerability emerge? Performance evidence is affected by the definition of family business, generational stage, sector, size, and institutional environment. Longitudinal research can examine how succession decisions influence innovation, employee retention, reputation, and performance over several years. Such studies capture process better than a single survey.

The second agenda concerns strategic legacy. The concept should be operationalized by distinguishing values, capabilities, relationships, and routines. Researchers can test whether documented legacy improves onboarding speed, reduces dependence on the founder, or supports digital adaptation. Qualitative research can examine how families reinterpret founder stories when markets change. Quantitative research can test the relationship between governance mechanisms, knowledge-transfer quality, and succession outcomes. Mixed methods can provide a fuller account.

The third agenda concerns Indonesia and the Global South. Many family-business theories were built in contexts with more developed capital markets and legal systems. Indonesian family firms face a combination of informality, social networks, regional diversity, and digital change. Comparative regional studies can show when social capital strengthens or hinders professionalization. Research should include nonfamily employees, women in owner families, family members living abroad, and passive owners. They shape governance success even when they are less visible in founder narratives.

For management education, family-business cases train ethical and strategic reasoning. Students learn to make recommendations that consider performance, fairness, identity, and sustainability. Family-meeting simulations, successor assessment, and legacy audits make the concepts concrete. AI and data analytics can help map risk, but final decisions require human judgment. Relevant education prepares future managers to work in organizations where social relationships matter as much as formal structure.

Future research should also test the relationship between legacy and stakeholder well-being. Are families that protect long-term reputation more consistent in workplace safety? Are companies that open ownership to the next generation faster to adopt technology? Do independent directors improve knowledge transfer, or do they reduce sensitivity to family identity? These questions require longitudinal data and measures that do not focus only on profit.

Another agenda is the development of diagnostic tools that small firms can use at low cost. Many governance frameworks are designed for large companies, while small family firms are most exposed to personal dependence. Simple instruments for mapping roles, information access, successor readiness, and emergency plans can provide immediate value. Scholars and practitioners can test these tools through field support and refine them through experience.

14 Conclusion

A family business can survive across generations when the family treats closeness as a source of responsibility rather than as a substitute for governance. Trust, reputation, knowledge, and long-term orientation are valuable forms of capital, but they must be translated into systems, capabilities, and decisions that can be tested. Succession is a strategic process that transfers more than a position. It transfers authority, knowledge, legitimacy, ownership, and the ability to choose a new direction.

Strategic legacy provides a language for deciding what deserves to be inherited. Principles that remain relevant should be preserved. Promising capabilities should be strengthened. Routines that no longer fit should be changed. Practices that damage fairness should be stopped even when they have been used for a long time. The older generation protects the past by providing context and lessons. The next generation respects the past by building an accountable future rather than mechanically imitating it.

The success of succession is not measured only by whether the company keeps the family name. It is seen in the organization's ability to decide without excessive dependence on one person, preserve trust while accepting criticism, develop talent beyond the family, and renew strategy when conditions change. The strongest legacy is the ability to learn. A family that passes on the ability to learn gives the next generation room to become itself while remaining connected to the purpose that makes the company worth continuing.

Imagine a distribution company founded through the owner's personal relationships with local stores. The founder's child discovers that sales are declining because customers are moving to digital platforms. The successor does not discard the old network. The successor documents customer knowledge, builds a CRM system, trains sales staff, and opens an online channel. The founder continues to maintain key relationships but no longer controls every order. Legacy changes from a personal network into the ability to manage trust across several channels.

Imagine a manufacturing company with two potential successors who have different strengths. One understands operations and quality, while the other has experience in technology and marketing. The family does not force a contest for one position. The board establishes role divisions, shared targets, and a conflict-resolution procedure. After evaluation, one becomes chief executive and the other leads business transformation. The decision shows that succession can produce a new leadership configuration rather than merely replacing a name.

Strategic legacy does not belong to one generation. It is a moral and strategic agreement between the past, the present, and the future. The older generation provides stories, capital, and lessons. The current generation provides governance discipline and decisions. The next generation provides new questions and the courage to experiment. A family business that connects the three has a stronger chance of remaining a productive, fair, and relevant institution.

15 Practical Depth and Organizational Narratives

In an owner family, business decisions almost always carry relational meaning. When a board rejects a child's proposal, the decision may be understood as rejection of personal ability or even rejection of the child's place in the family. Governance therefore needs language that separates evaluation of a proposal from evaluation of a person's dignity. A proposal is assessed through assumptions, evidence, risk, and required resources. The person remains respected, but a position and capital are not granted merely to protect feelings. This separation requires practice, particularly in families accustomed to informal conversation. Without it, every strategic meeting becomes an arena for proving affection or loyalty.

Sibling relationships have a different pattern from parent-child relationships. Siblings bring childhood competition, comparison, and old experiences into the company. Equal age does not always mean equal contribution, while unequal contribution should not become a reason to demean another person. Governance can reduce this risk by assigning roles according to competence, setting shared targets, and using decision mechanisms known in advance. Family meetings should discuss ownership and expectations openly, while management meetings should focus on operational decisions. When the forums are mixed, old conflict easily enters performance evaluation.

In-laws often occupy a complex position. They may bring competence, networks, and strong commitment, but they may also be viewed as outsiders who gain influence too quickly. Participation rules should state whether in-laws may work in the company, own shares, sit on the board, or receive certain information. The rules should be made before conflict appears. Protection of the immediate family must not become discrimination that excludes talent. Participation by in-laws should be accompanied by professional standards, conflict-of-interest disclosure, and the same evaluation route used for others.

Passive ownership requires special attention. Family members who do not work in the company still have economic and emotional interests. If they do not receive reliable information, they may accept one party's narrative or demand dividends based on personal needs. Owner reporting should distinguish strategic information, financial information, and confidential information. An owner meeting can explain performance and investment choices without turning every owner into a manager. Education about cash flow, debt, reinvestment, and risk helps passive owners participate maturely.

Dividends are often where family needs meet business needs. The family needs income, while the company needs capital to grow and survive. If dividend policy is unclear, each distribution is understood as a result of power negotiation. A sound policy sets principles, a range, and conditions for exceptions. Dividends may be linked to distributable profit, investment needs, debt ratios, and risk reserves. Exceptions may remain possible, but they should be approved through the appropriate forum. The family can then distinguish owners' liquidity needs from company investment decisions.

Transferring shares to the next generation involves more than legal documents. Recipients need to understand voting rights, tax obligations, concentration risk, and the consequences of selling. The family should discuss whether shares may be transferred to outsiders, how price will be determined, and what happens when marriage or divorce changes family structure. Lawyers and tax advisers can assist with legal aspects, but the purpose of ownership is a family decision. Delaying the discussion turns a legal issue into a more expensive relational conflict.

Nonfamily managers can be an important bridge between past and future. They often bring professional experience, understand operations, and are not directly involved in the family's history of conflict. They will not serve as a counterweight, however, if the family wants only an executor of instructions. Professional managers need a mandate, information access, protection from improper intervention, and a communication route to the board. The family must explain values and nonnegotiable boundaries. With a clear mandate, nonfamily managers can translate legacy into organizational standards.

Retention of nonfamily managers is an important indicator of succession quality. High turnover in key positions may signal unclear authority, unfair compensation, or distrust of the next generation. The family should not wait for resignations to assess managers' experience. Engagement surveys, exit interviews, open forums, and board

discussions can provide early signals. Long-term incentives can align managers with continuity. Incentives do not replace fairness, but they provide a stronger reason to stay when transition creates uncertainty.

Succession communication should be designed for each audience. Owner families need information about rights, responsibilities, and ownership choices. Employees need clarity about reporting lines, job continuity, and strategic direction. Customers and suppliers need assurance that service quality and contracts will continue to be managed. Regulators and financial institutions need valid documents and accountable officers. One message for every audience is usually too general. Good communication remains consistent on core facts while explaining different implications for each group.

A communication crisis can arise when rumors about the founder's health, sibling conflict, or financial problems circulate before an official decision is made. Silence may be intended to protect privacy, but it can create more damaging speculation. The family should determine who is authorized to speak, what information should be disclosed, and how difficult questions will be answered. Privacy remains protected, but the company must not provide misleading information. Trust is built by being honest about what is known, what cannot yet be shared, and when the next update will be provided.

Customer experience is a part of legacy that is often missed in planning. The founder may be the face of the company and may answer major complaints personally. When the founder steps back, customers may feel that they have lost a personal guarantee. Relationship transfer should occur before the transition through meetings, visits, and joint projects. The successor does not have to imitate the founder's style. The successor must show that the company understands customer needs and has systems to keep its promises. A CRM stores information, but trust is built through consistent action.

Workplace safety shows whether family values reach people outside the family. A company may call employees part of the family, but the phrase means little if production targets override safety procedures. The next generation should review accidents, near misses, training, and openness in reporting. A sound legacy gives every worker the right to stop dangerous work without fear of punishment. The principle should be written into policy, trained in the field, and monitored by the board. Safety is evidence that family concern is applied to decisions with real consequences.

Cross-generational investment strategy must balance speed, risk, and organizational capacity. The founder may be accustomed to using personal assets to expand, while the next generation faces more complex financing standards and competition. An investment decision should be built on a clear thesis: what customer problem is solved, what capability is acquired, what risk is taken, and how the investment will be stopped if assumptions fail. This protects entrepreneurial courage without turning the company into a personal wager. The board should review the portfolio and ensure that strategic projects do not sacrifice operating liquidity.

Family philanthropy should be connected to governance. Donations made in the company's name can affect reputation and cash flow. The family should distinguish personal giving, company donations, and corporate responsibility programs. Recipient criteria, ceilings, approval procedures, and reporting should be set in advance. The next generation may bring attention to education, health, environment, or social entrepreneurship, while the older generation knows the community. Intergenerational dialogue makes philanthropy more purposeful. Social legacy becomes a trust investment whose benefits can be evaluated rather than a symbol of generosity.

Family technology use must consider digital gaps. Not every older family member is comfortable with dashboards or online meetings, while not every younger member understands manual processes that remain important to customers. Joint training can reduce suspicion. The older generation explains why controls are needed; the younger generation shows how technology reduces burden. Transformation should be judged not only by system use but by the quality of decisions after implementation. If a dashboard adds reports without improving action, the company should review its design.

Succession indicators should include business results and relationship quality. Business results include cash flow, growth, margin, productivity, innovation, and resilience. Relationship quality includes role clarity, trust in the board, manager retention, owner participation, and the ability to resolve conflict. The two groups complement each other. Financial performance may remain good for several years while conflict increases. Conversely, harmony is

insufficient if the company loses customers and capital. Annual review helps the family see trade-offs before they become a crisis.

Reflection should become part of family-company life. After a major meeting, investment decision, or conflict, the family can ask what was learned, which assumptions were wrong, and which rules should be improved. Reflection is not a search for someone to blame. It is a learning mechanism that turns experience into capability. The next generation learns that leadership is not defined by perfection but by willingness to acknowledge limits, improve processes, and repeat what has worked. This is how legacy remains alive: through reflective practice rather than repeated sentences about family.

A family-owned school can illustrate a difficult legacy choice. The school was founded on the commitment that education should remain affordable, but technology costs and quality standards continue to rise. The second generation proposes digital learning systems and data-based scholarships. Some family members worry that higher costs will change the school's identity. Through discussion, they distinguish the principle of access to education from the old way of managing costs. The school preserves scholarships, improves administrative efficiency, and uses technology to broaden services. Legacy is preserved through purpose while instruments are renewed for continuity.

Another example is an agribusiness inherited by three children. The founder relies heavily on knowledge of seasons and trader networks. The first child manages production, the second handles finance, and the third works abroad. Conflict emerges when the third asks for investment in traceability and export markets while the other two fear losing flexibility. A legacy audit shows that quality reputation is the core asset, while manual recordkeeping is the obstacle. The investment is approved in stages with market indicators. The family debate produces a better strategy because each participant contributes different information.

These narratives show that legacy is not one recipe. In one organization it may mean access and service; in another, quality and reliability. The common process is that the family identifies purpose, tests assumptions, changes structure, and gives the successor room. The process takes time because the family is not transferring assets only; it is also negotiating identity. A transition that is too fast can provoke resistance, while a transition that is always postponed reduces choice. Balance is achieved through visible stages and agreed measures of progress.

16 Ethical Dimensions and the Sustainability of Legacy

Transferring ownership raises an ethical issue because decisions made by one generation limit the choices of another. A founder who uses all profits for expansion may believe that the founder is building the future, while passive owners need income for education or health. A successor who requests reinvestment may be seen as disrespectful of family needs. The issue cannot be solved simply by defending growth or dividends. The family must agree on principles of intergenerational fairness, assess legitimate needs, and explain the risk of each choice. Ownership ethics means accepting responsibility for decisions that affect people who are absent from today's meeting.

Fairness in a family company also concerns employees who are not owners. They help build reputation and bear the consequences of decisions, but they do not always have access to family information. If restructuring occurs because of internal conflict, employees may lose jobs without understanding why. Management should provide communication, grievance procedures, and appropriate protection. A family that speaks about legacy must account for employees' memories. A company that treats workers unfairly carries a negative reputation to the next generation even when its assets and family name remain.

Legal compliance is part of strategic legacy, not a burden outside strategy. Tax payment, business licenses, safety standards, and consumer protection determine whether a company can operate sustainably. In a small company, the founder may feel that personal relationships are enough to overcome administrative obstacles. That approach becomes risky when the company enters a formal supply chain or seeks institutional finance. The successor must build compliance capability before transition. Compliance does more than prevent penalties; it expands partnership options and improves the quality of information used for decisions.

Family work can provide meaning, but it can also blur the boundaries of time and responsibility. Family members may feel that they must always be ready to help even when roles and compensation are unclear. This can create exhaustion and hidden conflict. A company that wants to survive should treat family well-being as part of organizational sustainability. Work allocation, leave, replacement mechanisms, and conversations about psychological health should be normal. A founder does not build legacy by requiring the next generation to sacrifice its entire life. Healthy legacy allows family members to choose forms of contribution appropriate to their ability and life stage.

Succession planning should consider the possibility that the family will become geographically dispersed. Children may study or work in different cities and countries, so involvement no longer occurs through daily presence. Technology enables remote meetings and reporting, but it does not replace the need to build trust. The family must determine meeting rhythms, information formats, and how distant members participate. Differences in time zones and work cultures can enrich perspective when managed well. Conversely, absent members may feel excluded and later make demands through more confrontational channels.

A family's spiritual or cultural inheritance can provide moral direction, but it must be translated inclusively within the organization. Values such as honesty, service, responsibility, and care can be principles accepted by many groups. Forcing personal practices or a particular identity can create exclusion, especially when the company has diverse employees and customers. Family leaders must distinguish personal belief from organizational policy. In this way, cultural legacy remains alive as a standard of behavior and service rather than as a boundary that closes off difference.

The family should prepare a mechanism for moments when legacy fails. Not every founder strategy succeeds, and not every inherited value can be retained in the same form. Acknowledging failure helps the next generation make more rational decisions. A review forum can examine discontinued projects, unproductive debt, relationships that ended, or mistaken hiring decisions. Learning records are kept so that mistakes are not repeated, but they are not used to humiliate individuals. A learning culture transfers wisdom rather than guilt.

Succession and strategic legacy therefore have a broad ethical dimension. The central question is not only who receives shares and positions, but also how the company treats affected parties. Owners, employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment are part of the calculation. A family that includes these dimensions early can make decisions more resilient to changes in personnel. The successor receives the company as a trust to be developed, not as a gift to be used for personal purposes.

The family can make an annual legacy review a governance ritual. In this meeting, owners review principles tested by the market, capabilities that have grown, relationships that have weakened, and risks without an accountable owner. The meeting is not intended to praise the older generation or judge the younger generation. Its purpose is to connect organizational memory with strategic choices. Each finding is translated into a decision: update a policy, add a capability, change an indicator, or stop a habit that no longer creates value. Through this cycle, legacy does not harden into a document that is rarely read.

Continuity also requires limits on concentration of power. The family can remain the controlling owner without making one member the source of all information and decisions. Separating the board chair and chief executive roles, establishing an audit committee, delegating authority, and requiring regular reporting provide reasonable checks. These structures become more important when the company has debt, minority investors, or activities with broad social effects. A family that builds checks early shows that its trust is strong enough to accept transparency. That is the foundation that allows inheritance to continue without creating a new dependence.

Brief Implementation Framework

Stage	Core question	Expected result
1 Diagnosis	What depends on one person and what should be preserved?	Map of risks, resources, and strategic legacy.

Stage	Core question	Expected result
2 Owner agreement	What is the family purpose as owner and how are conflicts handled?	Family constitution and governance forum.
3 Successor criteria	What competence and character will be needed in five to ten years?	Role profile and merit criteria.
4 Development	How will candidates be tested through real experience?	Rotation, mentoring, projects, and evaluation.
5 Control transfer	Who makes which decisions and when?	Authority map, transition schedule, and contingency plan.
6 Renewal	What must change for legacy to remain relevant?	Innovation and strategic-renewal agenda.
7 Evaluation	What changed after transition and who is accountable?	Regular review of performance and relationships.

References

- Berrone, P., Cruz, C., & Gomez-Mejia, L. R. (2012). Socioemotional wealth in family firms: Theoretical dimensions, assessment approaches, and agenda for future research. *Family Business Review*, 25(3), 258–279. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894486511435355>
- Cabrera-Suárez, K., De Saá-Pérez, P., & García-Almeida, D. (2001). The succession process from a resource- and knowledge-based view of the family firm. *Family Business Review*, 14(1), 37–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.2001.00037.x>
- Carney, M. (2005). Corporate governance and competitive advantage in family-controlled firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(3), 249–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00073.x>
- Chrisman, J. J., Chua, J. H., & Sharma, P. (2005). Trends and directions in the development of a strategic management theory of the family firm. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(5), 555–575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00098.x>
- Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(1), 20–47. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1997.9707180258>
- De Massis, A., Chua, J. H., & Chrisman, J. J. (2008). Factors preventing intra-family succession. *Family Business Review*, 21(2), 183–199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.2008.00118.x>
- Eddleston, K. A., & Kellermanns, F. W. (2007). Destructive and productive family relationships: A stewardship theory perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 22(4), 545–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2006.06.004>
- Gersick, K. E., Davis, J. A., Hampton, M. M., & Lansberg, I. (1997). *Generation to generation: Life cycles of the family business*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Gomez-Mejia, L. R., Haynes, K. T., Núñez-Nickel, M., Jacobson, K. J. L., & Moyano-Fuentes, J. (2007). Socioemotional wealth and business risks in family-controlled firms: Evidence from Spanish olive oil mills. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(1), 106–137. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.52.1.106>
- Habbershon, T. G., & Williams, M. L. (1999). A resource-based framework for assessing the strategic advantages of family firms. *Family Business Review*, 12(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.1999.00001.x>

- Handler, W. C. (1994). Succession in family business: A review of the research. *Family Business Review*, 7(2), 133–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.1994.00018.x>
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
- Lansberg, I. (1988). The succession conspiracy: Resistance to succession planning in the family firm. *Family Business Review*, 1(2), 119–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.1988.00020.x>
- Le Breton-Miller, I., & Miller, D. (2006). Why do some family businesses out-compete? Governance, long-term orientations, and sustainable capability. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 731–746. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2006.00147.x>
- Miller, D., Le Breton-Miller, I., Lester, R. H., & Cannella, A. A., Jr. (2007). Are family firms really superior performers? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 13(5), 829–858. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2007.03.004>
- Miller, D., & Le Breton-Miller, I. (2005). *Managing for the long run: Lessons in competitive advantage from great family businesses*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Schulze, W. S., Lubatkin, M. H., Dino, R. N., & Buchholtz, A. K. (2001). Agency relationships in family firms: Theory and evidence. *Organization Science*, 12(2), 99–116. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.12.2.99.10114>
- Sharma, P., Chrisman, J. J., & Chua, J. H. (1997). Strategic management of the family business: Past research and future challenges. *Family Business Review*, 10(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.1997.00001.x>
- Sirmon, D. G., & Hitt, M. A. (2003). Managing resources: Linking unique resources, management, and wealth creation in family firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 27(4), 339–358. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-8520.t01-1-00013>
- Ward, J. L. (1987). *Keeping the family business healthy: How to plan for continuing growth, profitability, and family leadership*. Jossey-Bass.