

Environmental Health and Occupational Safety

A narrative and analytical overview of population health evidence, digital systems, and responsible data use

Prof Ir Rudy C. Tarumingkeng, PhD

www.rudycr.com/cv.pdf

IBM-ASMI 2026

[Academic Article](#)

Abstract (Abstrak)

Environmental health and occupational safety are interconnected fields concerned with the prevention of disease, injury, exposure, and loss of dignity in the places where people live and work. This article develops a comprehensive narrative account of their relationship across environmental determinants, occupational hazards, exposure science, risk assessment, the hierarchy of controls, industrial hygiene, chemical and biological safety, ergonomics, psychosocial risks, process safety, climate change, environmental justice, surveillance, management systems, emergency preparedness, sustainable production, digital transformation, and applications in Indonesia. The central argument is that effective prevention is a socio-technical achievement. It requires upstream design, reliable evidence, worker participation, competent management, environmental stewardship, and continuous evaluation. Safety cannot be reduced to accident counts, personal caution, compliance documents, or artificial-intelligence dashboards. It must be demonstrated through lower exposure, healthier communities, safer work, fairer distribution of protection, and the ability to learn from weak signals before they become serious harm.

Keywords

Epidemiology; health informatics; digital health; public-health surveillance; electronic health records; artificial intelligence; interoperability; health equity; causal inference; Indonesia.

Article Orientation

This article is a conceptual and practice-oriented synthesis. It does not present a new empirical dataset or replace formal clinical guidance. Examples clarify the relationship between epidemiological reasoning,

information systems, and public decisions. The references combine peer-reviewed scholarship with authoritative institutional sources.

Conceptual Architecture

Epidemiological question	Informatics capability	Decision use
What is happening, where, and to whom?	Surveillance, registries, dashboards, geographic and temporal data	Detect priorities and allocate attention
Why is it happening?	Linked data, phenotyping, causal analysis, contextual metadata	Design prevention and evaluate determinants
What may happen next?	Prediction, forecasting, anomaly detection, scenario models	Prepare services and target early action
Did the intervention work fairly?	Outcome measurement, feedback, subgroup monitoring, reproducible evaluation	Learn, adapt, and protect equity

1. Introduction

Environmental health and occupational safety are often discussed as separate administrative subjects, yet they describe a single continuum of human exposure. People breathe air, drink water, eat food, use buildings, travel through cities, and work in farms, factories, offices, mines, hospitals, laboratories, and homes. The same chemical, physical, biological, ergonomic, or psychosocial agent may affect a community as an environmental contaminant and affect workers as an occupational hazard. The difference is often not the agent itself but the intensity, duration, route, control measures, and social conditions under which exposure occurs. An integrated approach is therefore necessary for preventing disease and protecting dignity at work.

Environmental health studies how surroundings influence human health and how human activity changes those surroundings. It includes air pollution, water and sanitation, waste, food safety, climate, housing, transport, noise, radiation, chemicals, and the built environment. Occupational safety and health concerns the prevention of injuries, illnesses, and harmful conditions arising from work. Its domain includes acute accidents, chronic occupational disease, fatigue, violence, stress, exposure to hazardous substances, and the organization of work. Both fields are concerned with anticipation, recognition, evaluation, control, and communication of risk.

The consequences of poor control are substantial. Particulate matter can contribute to cardiovascular and respiratory disease; unsafe water can transmit infection; solvents and metals can damage organs or the nervous system; excessive noise can cause hearing loss; heat can produce illness and reduce attention; poor workstation design can cause musculoskeletal disorders; and weak safety culture can allow small deviations to become catastrophic events. These outcomes are not inevitable. They reflect decisions about design, production, regulation, supervision, resource allocation, and the degree to which workers and communities can participate in decisions that affect them.

This article presents a comprehensive narrative account of environmental health and occupational safety. It explains the relationship between environmental determinants and workplace hazards, the principles of

exposure science and risk assessment, the hierarchy of controls, industrial hygiene, chemical and biological hazards, ergonomics, psychosocial risks, process safety, climate change, environmental justice, health surveillance, management systems, emergency preparedness, sustainable production, digital tools, and applications in Indonesia and other low- and middle-income settings. Its central argument is that prevention becomes effective when technical controls, epidemiological evidence, organizational governance, worker participation, and environmental stewardship are treated as one system.

2. An Integrated Framework of Health, Work, and Environment

A useful framework begins with the source–pathway–receptor model. A source generates a hazard, a pathway carries it through air, water, soil, surfaces, food, equipment, or social interaction, and a receptor is exposed through inhalation, ingestion, dermal contact, injection, or other routes. In occupational settings, the receptor may be a worker performing a task. In environmental settings, the receptor may be a child living near a road, a community using a contaminated river, or a population exposed to urban heat. The model directs attention toward prevention at the source and interruption of pathways rather than relying only on medical treatment after exposure.

Exposure is distinct from hazard. A substance may have dangerous properties, but risk depends on whether people encounter it, at what concentration, for how long, and with what susceptibility. A highly toxic substance in a sealed, well-maintained system may create less practical risk than a less toxic dust that is released every day without ventilation. Risk communication should therefore avoid both complacency and alarmism. The public and workers need to understand the evidence, uncertainty, control measures, and residual risk. A hazard register should document not only what can cause harm but also who may be exposed, under what circumstances, and how controls are verified.

The pathway from exposure to harm is shaped by biology and social organization. Age, pregnancy, genetics, pre-existing disease, nutrition, sleep, and access to care can modify susceptibility. Employment status, housing, transport, language, migration, income, and bargaining power can determine who receives protection and who is expected to accept risk. A workplace may comply formally with a regulation while contractors, temporary workers, or informal workers remain outside effective protection. Environmental health and occupational safety therefore require attention to social determinants and power, not only to measurement instruments and standards.

Prevention should follow a life-cycle perspective. Hazards can be introduced during design, procurement, construction, operation, maintenance, decommissioning, transport, and waste disposal. A decision to substitute a chemical, relocate a facility, automate a task, or change a production schedule can alter exposures across several stages. Life-cycle thinking connects worker protection with environmental protection and product responsibility. It also reveals trade-offs: a technology that reduces emissions may introduce battery, electrical, fire, or metal-exposure risks that must be managed deliberately.

3. Environmental Determinants of Health

Air quality is a major environmental determinant because pollutants can reach large populations and penetrate deep into the body. Fine particulate matter can carry a mixture of combustion products, metals, organic compounds, and biological materials. Nitrogen oxides, ozone, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, and volatile organic compounds have different sources and health effects. Exposure may be higher near traffic, industrial activity, open burning, construction, ports, and poorly ventilated households. Children, older adults, people with cardiopulmonary disease, and workers who spend long periods outdoors may face increased risk.

Understanding air pollution requires more than measuring a city-wide average. Concentrations vary by street, building, season, weather, activity, and socioeconomic location. Indoor exposures may differ from outdoor exposures because of cooking, smoking, generators, cleaning products, dampness, and ventilation. Personal monitoring can reveal patterns hidden by fixed stations, but it raises questions about calibration, privacy, interpretation, and representativeness. Public policy should combine emission reduction, land-use planning, transport management, clean energy, building standards, and communication during episodes of poor air quality.

Water, sanitation, and hygiene remain foundational. Pathogens can spread through contaminated drinking water, inadequate sanitation, unsafe food preparation, flooding, and poor hand hygiene. Chemical contamination may arise from industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, naturally occurring arsenic or fluoride, mining, waste disposal, or aging infrastructure. Water safety plans should examine the entire chain from catchment to consumer, including source protection, treatment, storage, distribution, household use, and emergency backup. A laboratory result is only useful when institutions can interpret it, communicate it, and take corrective action.

Housing and the built environment influence health through temperature, ventilation, dampness, crowding, noise, lighting, accessibility, and location. Buildings near major roads or industrial zones may expose residents to pollution and noise. Poor ventilation can increase transmission of respiratory infection and accumulation of indoor contaminants. Excessive heat can be intensified by roofing, lack of shade, urban density, and energy poverty. Environmental health interventions should therefore connect public health with planning, housing, transport, energy, water, and disaster management rather than treating exposure as an individual responsibility.

4. Occupational Hazards and the Meaning of Safety

Occupational hazards can be classified as chemical, physical, biological, ergonomic, psychosocial, and safety hazards. The categories overlap. A nurse may face biological exposure, needle-stick injury, awkward posture, night work, and emotional strain in one shift. A construction worker may face dust, noise, heat, falling objects, vibration, fatigue, and insecure employment. A laboratory worker may encounter pathogens, chemicals, radiation, repetitive tasks, and pressure to meet turnaround targets. Classification helps organize prevention, but real jobs require an integrated assessment of combined and changing exposures.

Occupational safety is not the same as the absence of reported accidents. An organization may report few injuries because workers do not feel safe reporting them, because minor events are normalized, or because occupational disease appears years later. Leading indicators such as hazard observations, preventive maintenance, training quality, near-miss learning, exposure measurements, and corrective-action completion can reveal conditions before harm occurs. Lagging indicators such as injury rates, lost-time cases, illness, and compensation claims remain important, but they are incomplete measures of safety performance.

Work is organized through contracts, schedules, targets, supervision, and incentives. These arrangements shape risk. Production pressure may encourage bypassing a guard, skipping a rest break, or delaying maintenance. A contractor may have fewer resources for training and protective equipment. Piece-rate pay may reward speed over safe technique. Workers may remain silent when refusing an unsafe task could threaten income. Effective safety programs recognize these structural pressures and provide practical rights, consultation, and protection against retaliation.

The objective of occupational safety is not to transfer responsibility to individual workers. Personal behavior matters, but it is influenced by design, equipment, staffing, training, leadership, and the feasibility of safe work. Telling a worker to be careful is not an adequate control for a poorly designed machine or excessive

workload. A mature program asks how the system made the unsafe action likely, what barriers failed, and how the task can be redesigned so that safe behavior is the normal behavior.

5. Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

Risk assessment should begin before a task or process is introduced. A basic assessment identifies hazards, exposed persons, possible consequences, existing controls, likelihood, severity, and uncertainty. It should examine normal operation, non-routine work, maintenance, cleaning, start-up, shutdown, emergencies, and foreseeable human error. Workers who perform the task often know where the real hazards are because they observe variability that may not appear in written procedures. Their knowledge should be treated as evidence rather than anecdote.

Qualitative matrices can help prioritize action, but they should not create false precision. A score that multiplies likelihood by severity is only as credible as the assumptions behind each rating. Rare catastrophic events may be undervalued if the matrix focuses on historical frequency. Frequent minor injuries may be ignored because each event appears less severe. Risk assessment should therefore combine structured judgment with measurement, incident history, engineering analysis, exposure data, and scenario thinking. It should also state what is unknown.

Quantitative exposure assessment may involve personal air sampling, area monitoring, noise dosimetry, heat indices, biological sampling, ergonomic observation, radiation measurement, or psychosocial surveys. Sampling plans should consider variability between people, tasks, shifts, seasons, and locations. A single measurement cannot establish long-term control. Results need comparison with occupational exposure limits or health-based guidance, but limits are not a guarantee of safety for every worker and may not cover combined exposures. Good practice seeks to reduce exposure as far as reasonably achievable while improving evidence.

Risk assessment is not a one-time document. It should be reviewed after incidents, process changes, new scientific evidence, changes in materials, altered staffing, climate extremes, or expansion into new locations. Digital registers can support version control and corrective-action tracking, but they should not become repositories that no one uses. The value of a risk assessment is demonstrated when it changes design, purchasing, scheduling, maintenance, training, emergency planning, or supervision.

6. The Hierarchy of Controls and Prevention Design

The hierarchy of controls is a central principle of prevention. Elimination removes the hazard. Substitution replaces it with a less hazardous material or process. Engineering controls isolate people from the hazard. Administrative controls change how work is organized. Personal protective equipment provides a final barrier. The hierarchy expresses a practical insight: controls that rely less on individual attention are generally more reliable than controls that require perfect behavior under pressure.

Elimination can involve redesigning a product, using remote handling, removing a high-risk step, or changing a process so that hazardous maintenance is no longer required. Substitution may replace a solvent, powder, pesticide, fuel, or cleaning agent with a safer alternative. Substitution should examine the whole risk profile because a replacement can introduce new hazards, such as flammability, sensitization, reactivity, or waste problems. The evaluation should include workers who will use the alternative and people who will maintain or dispose of it.

Engineering controls include enclosure, local exhaust ventilation, machine guarding, interlocks, isolation, automation, noise barriers, fall protection, ergonomic redesign, and climate control. They require design competence, commissioning, inspection, maintenance, and verification. A ventilation hood that is not

positioned correctly or whose airflow is not tested may provide only the appearance of protection. Safety-critical engineering controls should be linked to clear performance criteria and failure-response procedures.

Administrative controls include training, job rotation, work permits, scheduling, signage, supervision, health surveillance, and emergency procedures. They are necessary but vulnerable to fatigue, turnover, production pressure, language barriers, and weak enforcement. Personal protective equipment is also essential for residual risk, but it depends on fit, selection, compatibility, maintenance, replacement, and worker acceptance. A respirator cannot compensate for a leaking process, and a hard hat cannot prevent a poorly engineered lifting operation. Prevention design should always seek stronger upstream controls.

7. Chemical Safety and Toxicology

Chemical risk begins with the properties of a substance and the circumstances of exposure. Toxicity may affect the nervous system, lungs, liver, kidneys, blood, skin, reproductive system, immune system, or developing fetus. Some chemicals cause acute poisoning, while others produce chronic disease after repeated low-level exposure. Carcinogens, mutagens, reproductive toxicants, sensitizers, corrosives, flammables, oxidizers, and reactive substances require different controls. The same chemical can present different risks by inhalation, ingestion, skin absorption, injection, or accidental mixing.

A sound chemical-management program maintains an inventory, labels containers, provides safety data, evaluates storage compatibility, controls transfer and disposal, and trains users. Procurement should include hazard information and safer-alternative review rather than treating chemical safety as a matter for the laboratory or safety officer alone. Small quantities can still be dangerous when used frequently or in poorly ventilated spaces. Unlabeled secondary containers, improvised storage, and decanted chemicals create preventable uncertainty.

Occupational exposure limits are useful reference points, but they should not be interpreted as a sharp boundary between safe and unsafe. Limits may differ between jurisdictions, may be based on varying evidence, and may not address mixtures or susceptible workers. Where a substance is carcinogenic or highly hazardous, the goal should be elimination or strict minimization. Biological monitoring can complement air sampling when a substance or metabolite is measurable, but it raises privacy and interpretation issues. Results should be linked to prevention, not used to blame workers after exposure has occurred.

Chemical safety extends beyond the workplace. Waste transport, treatment, discharge, product use, and disposal can expose communities and ecosystems. A facility may reduce indoor exposure while transferring contamination to air, water, soil, or informal recyclers. Life-cycle assessment and extended producer responsibility can help identify these shifts. Emergency plans should address spills, fires, incompatible reactions, community notification, medical response, and environmental remediation. A responsible system protects workers and neighbors together.

8. Physical, Biological, Ergonomic, and Psychosocial Hazards

Physical hazards include noise, vibration, radiation, heat, cold, lighting, pressure, electricity, and mechanical energy. Noise can damage hearing and interfere with communication, warning signals, and concentration. Vibration can affect the hands, arms, or whole body and may interact with cold and awkward posture. Radiation protection requires source control, shielding, distance, time limitation, monitoring, and specialized competence. Electrical hazards demand isolation, grounding, safe work procedures, and verification. Each hazard requires controls suited to its mechanism rather than generic caution.

Biological hazards arise from bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, animals, plants, and biological toxins. Health-care workers, laboratory staff, farmers, waste handlers, food workers, veterinarians, and emergency responders may face exposure through aerosols, droplets, blood, sharps, soil, animals, or contaminated surfaces. Prevention combines vaccination, hygiene, ventilation, containment, safe sharps management, personal protection, training, and rapid reporting. Biosafety and biosecurity should be connected: organizations must protect workers and communities while preventing unauthorized access or misuse of biological materials.

Ergonomic hazards arise when work demands exceed the capacity of the body or when design forces repetition, awkward posture, excessive force, vibration, or prolonged static effort. Musculoskeletal disorders are often cumulative and may be dismissed because no single event appears dramatic. Effective interventions redesign tools, work heights, loads, reach distances, task sequence, staffing, and recovery time. Training in lifting technique can help, but it is weaker than reducing the load or using mechanical assistance. Participatory ergonomics works best when workers help identify feasible modifications.

Psychosocial hazards include excessive workload, low control, bullying, violence, insecurity, discrimination, moral distress, isolation, irregular hours, inadequate recovery, and conflict between work and family. They can contribute to stress, depression, sleep problems, cardiovascular disease, errors, absence, and turnover. A program that offers resilience training while leaving workload and staffing unchanged addresses symptoms rather than causes. Prevention should examine job design, management behavior, reporting channels, fair scheduling, support after violence, and protection from retaliation.

9. Accident Prevention and Process Safety

Personal safety and process safety overlap but are not identical. Personal safety focuses on injuries to individuals during work, while process safety addresses major releases of hazardous energy or materials that can harm many people, workers, and the environment. Chemical explosions, toxic releases, dam failures, fires, pressure-vessel incidents, and transport disasters may occur despite low rates of ordinary injuries. Organizations should therefore manage both everyday hazards and low-frequency, high-consequence scenarios.

Process safety begins with inherently safer design, hazard analysis, operating limits, critical equipment, management of change, maintenance, alarm systems, emergency shutdown, contractor control, and learning from near misses. A process may remain within routine operating conditions while safety barriers gradually degrade. Deferred maintenance, temporary modifications, disabled alarms, and incomplete procedures are warning signs. Leading indicators should examine the health of barriers, not only the number of injuries.

Incident investigation should seek system learning. Blaming an operator may close a report quickly, but it often fails to explain why the error was possible, why the procedure was unrealistic, why supervision did not detect the condition, or why design allowed a single mistake to escalate. A learning investigation reconstructs the work as it actually occurred, identifies failed defenses, considers organizational decisions, and assigns corrective action with a responsible owner and deadline. Accountability and learning are compatible when the analysis distinguishes reckless conduct from predictable human error in a poorly designed system.

Permit-to-work systems, lockout and tagout, confined-space controls, hot-work permits, lifting plans, and contractor coordination are practical barriers. They work only when the organization verifies conditions in the field and stops work when requirements are not met. Paperwork can create a false sense of safety if permits are signed without inspection or if workers do not understand the hazards. Digital permits can improve traceability but cannot replace competent supervision and authority to pause production.

10. Climate Change and the Future of Work

Climate change is reshaping environmental health and occupational safety. Rising temperatures increase heat strain, dehydration, kidney stress, cardiovascular burden, fatigue, and errors. Outdoor workers, agricultural workers, construction workers, transport workers, emergency responders, and people in hot indoor environments may be exposed for long periods. Heat interacts with humidity, clothing, workload, hydration, acclimatization, illness, medications, and air pollution. A heat index or wet-bulb measure can support planning, but protection requires changes in work organization and design.

Climate hazards also include wildfire smoke, flooding, storms, drought, ultraviolet radiation, changing vectors, allergens, infectious disease, and disruption of water, electricity, transport, and health services. Workers may be sent into contaminated areas during response and recovery. Agricultural workers may face changing pesticide use, new pests, and unstable seasons. A facility may experience both physical damage and increased risk from emergency repairs. Climate risk assessments should therefore examine direct exposure, infrastructure dependency, supply chains, worker travel, and community disruption.

Adaptation measures include heat-warning systems, shaded rest areas, cool water, acclimatization, adjusted schedules, workload reduction, mechanical assistance, ventilation, cooling, air-quality monitoring, respiratory protection, vaccination, vector control, and emergency evacuation. These measures must be accessible to contractors, informal workers, migrants, and people paid by output. A rule that says workers may rest but penalizes lost production does not provide meaningful protection. Collective agreements and labor inspection can strengthen implementation.

Mitigation can also create occupational hazards. Renewable-energy construction, battery manufacturing, waste processing, building retrofits, and electric transport may involve electrical energy, fire, toxic metals, confined spaces, and new maintenance tasks. A just transition requires that climate policy improve both environmental conditions and the quality of work. Environmental and occupational assessments should be integrated before new technologies are scaled. The health benefits of decarbonization should not be purchased by transferring unrecognized risks to workers or communities.

11. Environmental Justice and Vulnerable Populations

Environmental justice concerns the distribution of hazards, benefits, decision power, and recognition. Polluting facilities, waste sites, traffic corridors, and flood-prone land are often concentrated near communities with less political and economic power. Workers may live near the same facilities where they are exposed, creating cumulative risk across work and home. Children, older adults, pregnant people, people with disabilities, Indigenous communities, migrants, informal workers, and low-income households may face higher exposure and fewer resources for protection or recovery.

Cumulative-impact analysis examines multiple stressors rather than evaluating one permit or one exposure in isolation. A community may experience air pollution, noise, heat, traffic danger, poor housing, insecure employment, and limited health services simultaneously. A workplace may be located near a contaminated river and require long shifts in heat. The combined burden can exceed what any single standard captures. Public decisions should therefore consider baseline conditions, vulnerability, cumulative exposure, and the distribution of benefits from the activity.

Participation should be meaningful. Consultation is not meaningful if information is inaccessible, decisions are already fixed, or community concerns are recorded but not answered. Risk communication should use understandable language, local knowledge, and clear explanations of uncertainty. Workers and communities should be able to report hazards without fear and should receive feedback about

investigations and corrective action. Participatory monitoring can build trust when methods, data ownership, and limits are agreed in advance.

Justice also concerns the transition to safer production. Closing a polluting facility may improve community health but threaten livelihoods if workers are abandoned. A new standard may improve safety but impose costs on small enterprises that lack technical support. Equitable policy combines enforcement with assistance, training, finance, social protection, and worker participation. The goal is not to choose between environment and employment, but to create conditions in which decent work and a healthy environment reinforce one another.

12. Occupational and Environmental Health Surveillance

Surveillance is essential for identifying patterns, detecting emerging risks, and evaluating prevention. Occupational health surveillance may include injuries, illness, exposure measurements, medical examinations, absenteeism, compensation claims, near misses, and worker reports. Environmental surveillance may include air, water, soil, food, noise, heat, radiation, vectors, and community health indicators. Data should be collected for a clear purpose and interpreted with attention to coverage, denominator, reporting delay, and changes in diagnostic or recording practice.

Medical surveillance must protect confidentiality. Employers may need to know whether a worker is fit for a task or whether workplace controls are effective, but they do not necessarily need access to detailed diagnoses. Systems should define who can see identifiable information, how results are communicated, and how records are retained. Surveillance should benefit workers by triggering prevention, accommodation, treatment, or compensation. It should never be used to exclude people from employment because a hazard could have been controlled.

Sentinel systems can provide early warning from selected facilities or workplaces, while population-based systems seek broader representativeness. Exposure registries can support long-term research for hazards with delayed effects. Linkage with cancer, mortality, hospital, or environmental data can reveal associations but requires careful governance and adjustment for confounding. A surveillance signal is not automatically proof of causation; it should guide investigation, exposure assessment, and protective action.

Digital tools can improve timeliness through mobile reporting, sensors, geographic dashboards, and automated alerts. They can also create new problems if workers are monitored continuously without transparency or if algorithms classify risk without appeal. Data quality depends on training, device maintenance, connectivity, language, and incentives. Technology should reduce reporting burden and improve action, not create an additional layer of surveillance that primarily benefits management while increasing worker anxiety.

13. Safety Management Systems and Organizational Culture

Occupational health and safety management systems provide a structured cycle of policy, planning, implementation, evaluation, corrective action, and improvement. They clarify responsibilities, define objectives, identify hazards, allocate resources, document procedures, and review performance. International standards can provide a framework, but certification alone does not guarantee effective safety. A system may produce impressive manuals and audit scores while workers continue to face uncorrected hazards. The test is whether management decisions and daily work reflect the stated policy.

Safety climate refers to shared perceptions about the importance of safety, the credibility of leadership, and the consequences of reporting or stopping work. Safety culture is broader and concerns the patterns of values, practices, learning, and informal norms through which an organization handles risk. Culture is not a

slogan or a poster. It is visible in maintenance budgets, staffing, production targets, supervisor behavior, contractor treatment, disciplinary practice, and the response to bad news.

Worker participation is a core feature of credible management. Workers can identify workarounds, weak barriers, and practical improvements that formal risk assessments miss. Participation may involve safety committees, toolbox meetings, inspections, confidential reporting, joint investigations, and the right to refuse imminent danger. Participation should include women, contractors, migrants, people with disabilities, and workers on night shifts. If only senior employees are heard, the system will miss risks concentrated among less powerful groups.

Audits and indicators should support learning rather than theatrical compliance. Leading indicators can include corrective-action quality, exposure reduction, preventive maintenance, safety-critical equipment testing, consultation, and competence. Lagging indicators include injuries, occupational disease, environmental releases, and enforcement action. Metrics should be interpreted together because improving one can worsen another. For example, fewer reported injuries may reflect underreporting, while more near-miss reports may reflect increasing trust rather than worsening safety.

14. Emergency Preparedness and Response

Emergencies can arise from fire, explosion, chemical release, infectious disease, natural hazards, infrastructure failure, violence, cyber disruption, or transport incidents. Preparedness begins with credible scenarios and clear roles. An emergency plan should identify warning, evacuation, shelter, accountability, first aid, decontamination, communication, external coordination, continuity of operations, and recovery. Plans should address people with disabilities, language differences, visitors, contractors, night shifts, and communities outside the facility boundary.

Drills are useful when they test decisions rather than merely rehearsing movement. A drill can reveal whether alarms are heard, whether access routes remain clear, whether responders know the chemical inventory, whether a hospital is prepared for contaminated casualties, and whether public communication is coordinated. After-action reviews should identify what happened, why, what worked, what failed, and which actions will be completed by whom. Repeatedly recording the same weakness without correction indicates a governance problem.

Community preparedness is essential when hazards cross a boundary. Industrial facilities, ports, laboratories, mines, dams, and hospitals may need agreements with fire services, health facilities, local government, schools, and community organizations. Information must be available before an emergency, including warning signals, shelter arrangements, routes, and contacts. Transparency can reduce panic and improve response, while secrecy can increase rumor and distrust. Sensitive security details can be protected without withholding information essential for life safety.

Recovery includes medical follow-up, environmental remediation, compensation, mental-health support, investigation, equipment replacement, and redesign. Workers involved in response may experience trauma, toxic exposure, fatigue, or moral injury. Communities may continue to face contamination long after an incident is no longer in the news. A resilient organization treats recovery as a period for learning and restoration rather than simply returning to production as quickly as possible.

15. Sustainable Workplaces and the Circular Economy

Sustainable production aims to reduce resource use, pollution, waste, and greenhouse-gas emissions while supporting health, decent work, and economic viability. Environmental health and occupational safety should be built into product design, procurement, energy systems, materials selection, maintenance, and

end-of-life management. A circular economy can reduce extraction and waste, but recycling and remanufacturing may expose workers to dust, heavy metals, solvents, biological contaminants, sharp objects, and fire risk if processes are informal or poorly controlled.

Green jobs are not automatically safe jobs. Solar installation involves work at height and electrical energy. Battery manufacture and recycling involve chemicals, metals, thermal runaway, and complex waste. Building retrofits involve confined spaces, asbestos in older structures, dust, and changing ventilation. Electric transport changes mechanical and fire hazards. Sustainable procurement should therefore evaluate the full hazard profile of products and services, including supplier labor conditions, contractor safety, transport, disposal, and maintenance.

Cleaner production often creates co-benefits. Energy efficiency can reduce heat and emissions; closed systems can reduce chemical exposure; improved transport can reduce air pollution and commuting risk; nature-based cooling can reduce urban heat; water conservation can reduce contamination and operating costs. Co-benefits should be measured, but trade-offs should also be anticipated. An intervention that reduces one pollutant may increase another, and a substitution may move risk from production workers to waste handlers.

Management should use life-cycle and systems thinking. Carbon accounting, material-flow analysis, environmental management systems, occupational exposure assessment, and worker consultation can be connected. Sustainability reporting should include worker health and safety, not only energy and emissions. A company cannot claim to be sustainable while externalizing risk onto contractors, informal recyclers, or communities. The ethical measure of sustainability includes the conditions under which people produce, maintain, transport, use, and discard goods.

16. Environmental Health and Occupational Safety in Indonesia

Indonesia's geography, population, industrial diversity, and rapid urbanization create a wide environmental and occupational health agenda. Risks differ among metropolitan transport corridors, plantations, fisheries, mining regions, manufacturing zones, construction sites, hospitals, schools, offices, and informal enterprises. Floods, landslides, volcanic activity, wildfire smoke, coastal change, heat, and infectious disease can interact with workplace hazards. A national strategy must therefore support common standards while allowing local governments and communities to adapt controls to their settings.

Primary health care and occupational health services can serve as important bridges. Workers often enter the health system through clinics, community health centers, hospitals, or emergency services rather than through specialized occupational programs. Clinicians should be able to ask about occupation, tasks, exposures, protective equipment, commuting, and co-workers with similar symptoms. Environmental history should include housing, water, cooking fuel, flooding, waste, and neighborhood conditions. These questions can reveal preventable causes that would otherwise be classified only as individual disease.

Small enterprises and informal work require particular attention. Formal regulations may not reach home-based production, street vending, small workshops, agriculture, waste picking, domestic work, or platform-mediated labor. Workers may lack stable contracts, protective equipment, training, compensation, and the ability to refuse hazardous work. Enforcement alone may be insufficient if enterprises lack resources or technical knowledge. Practical support can include cooperative purchasing, mobile training, shared services, simplified guidance, local inspection partnerships, and access to finance for safer equipment.

Universities and professional schools can contribute through teaching, exposure assessment, community service, and applied research. Engineering, public health, medicine, environmental science, management, and labor studies should collaborate on local problems. Indonesia also needs stronger data integration

across environmental monitoring, health services, labor inspection, disaster management, and social protection, with safeguards for privacy and community trust. The objective is not merely to produce more reports but to help district and facility leaders make timely decisions.

17. Digital Transformation, Sensors, and Artificial Intelligence

Digital tools can strengthen environmental and occupational risk management when they are designed around decisions. Low-cost sensors may monitor air quality, noise, temperature, humidity, vibration, or chemical indicators. Mobile applications can support inspections, incident reporting, training, and worker feedback. Geographic systems can identify exposure patterns and service gaps. Computer vision may detect missing personal protective equipment or unsafe proximity to machinery. Predictive models may estimate heat risk, maintenance needs, or likelihood of incidents. Each application requires validation, calibration, governance, and a clear response pathway.

Sensor data are not automatically accurate. Devices can drift, fail in heat or humidity, be poorly positioned, or measure a proxy rather than the hazard of interest. A personal exposure monitor may change behavior because the worker knows it is operating. Continuous monitoring can create privacy risks when location and productivity data are collected together. Programs should define data ownership, access, retention, calibration, quality flags, and procedures for acting on abnormal measurements. Workers should know what is measured and how results will affect them.

Artificial intelligence can support prioritization but should not replace professional judgment. A model that identifies facilities for inspection may learn historical enforcement patterns rather than actual risk, directing attention away from communities that were previously under-monitored. A fatigue model may penalize workers whose schedules or health data differ from the training population. Automated safety alerts may produce false alarms that are ignored. Evaluation should include subgroup performance, false positives, false negatives, explainability, human factors, and the possibility that the system changes behavior in ways that invalidate its original assumptions.

The most valuable digital systems create a learning loop. A sensor detects an abnormal condition, a competent person investigates, a control is implemented, the outcome is recorded, and the model or threshold is reviewed. Dashboards should display uncertainty, not only red and green colors. Digital transformation should reduce paperwork and improve prevention, not expand surveillance without safeguards. The human right to a safe workplace must remain the objective of technology.

18. Education, Leadership, and Professional Capacity

Education in environmental health and occupational safety should combine science, management, law, ethics, communication, and practical problem solving. Students need to understand toxicology, exposure pathways, epidemiology, ergonomics, industrial processes, climate risk, emergency management, and organizational behavior. They should learn how to inspect a workplace, interview workers, interpret a safety data sheet, design a sampling plan, evaluate a control, and communicate risk without exaggeration or concealment.

Case-based learning is particularly valuable. A class can examine a factory with solvent exposure, a hospital with needle-stick injuries, a city facing heat and air pollution, or a recycling cooperative handling electronic waste. Students can map hazards, identify affected groups, rank controls, estimate residual risk, plan surveillance, and propose indicators. The exercise should require them to explain trade-offs and justify why an intervention is feasible. This approach develops the ability to move from abstract principles to operational decisions.

Leadership determines whether safety is real. Senior leaders allocate resources, set targets, select managers, and decide how bad news is handled. Supervisors translate policy into work. Procurement officers decide whether cheap but hazardous equipment is purchased. Human-resource managers shape staffing, fatigue, training, and contractor arrangements. Environmental and safety professionals provide expertise, but they cannot compensate for leadership that rewards production while treating prevention as a cost.

Professional capacity must be distributed. Small enterprises, local governments, clinics, schools, and community organizations need accessible guidance and support. Networks can share expertise, measurement equipment, training, and emergency resources. Digital learning can expand reach, but practical mentoring and field experience remain essential. Continuing education should include new chemicals, climate hazards, automation, psychosocial risks, data protection, and lessons from incidents. Capacity is sustainable when institutions retain knowledge rather than depending on one individual.

19. Evaluation, Indicators, and Continuous Improvement

Evaluation should ask whether controls reduce exposure, prevent harm, improve work, and distribute benefits fairly. Process indicators may measure inspection completion, maintenance, training, fit testing, vaccination, corrective-action closure, or availability of emergency equipment. Outcome indicators may include exposure concentrations, injury severity, occupational disease, symptoms, absenteeism, turnover, environmental releases, community complaints, and quality of life. Indicators should be linked to decisions and reviewed for unintended incentives.

Leading indicators are useful because severe harm may be rare. However, a high number of inspections does not prove that hazards are controlled, and a high number of training hours does not prove competence. Indicators need quality criteria: Was the inspection thorough? Were corrective actions effective? Did workers understand the training? Did exposure decrease? Did reporting become more complete? Organizations should combine quantitative measures with worker interviews, observations, audits, and independent review.

Evaluation designs may include before-and-after comparisons, controlled trials, interrupted time series, exposure modeling, cohort studies, case-control studies, and qualitative process evaluation. The design should match the question and constraints. If a ventilation upgrade is introduced across a facility, repeated personal sampling and worker symptoms may be more informative than an injury-rate comparison. If a heat policy is introduced, analysis should consider weather, workload, acclimatization, schedule, and changing workforce composition.

Continuous improvement depends on feedback. Results should return to workers, managers, regulators, communities, and designers. A failed intervention is not necessarily wasted effort if the organization learns why it failed and redesigns it. A successful intervention should be tested under different conditions before broad adoption. Documentation should preserve what changed, what evidence supported the change, and what residual uncertainties remain. Improvement is credible when it changes practice rather than merely producing a new report.

20. Environmental Monitoring and Exposure Science

Environmental monitoring translates concern about a hazard into evidence about concentration, distribution, timing, and change. Fixed stations can characterize regional air quality, while mobile and personal monitoring can reveal differences between streets, buildings, tasks, and individuals. Water and soil sampling requires attention to hydrology, land use, seasonal variation, sample preservation, and laboratory

quality. Noise, heat, radiation, and vibration require instruments and protocols appropriate to the physical phenomenon. A measurement is meaningful only when its collection method, detection limit, calibration, location, duration, and uncertainty are documented.

Exposure science connects measurements with human contact. Concentration alone does not describe dose. Analysts must consider breathing rate, body size, activity, protective equipment, skin contact, ingestion, metabolism, and time spent in the exposure zone. Biomonitoring may integrate multiple routes, but it can also raise difficult questions about consent, interpretation, and whether a measured biomarker represents a current hazard or a past exposure. Exposure assessment should therefore combine environmental measurements, work histories, observation, modeling, and interviews rather than treating one number as the whole truth.

Environmental data should be interpreted with spatial and temporal care. A daily city average can conceal a short high-concentration episode near a school or a workplace. A sample taken during a favorable season may not represent a dry-season peak. A community near an industrial boundary may experience pollutant mixtures that are not captured by a single regulatory monitor. Geographic information systems, remote sensing, meteorological data, and community monitoring can complement formal networks, but comparability and quality assurance must be protected. Community-generated data are valuable when residents receive training, support, and a meaningful role in interpretation.

Exposure science has a direct management implication: uncertainty should not be used as a reason to delay reasonable prevention when the potential harm is serious and controls are feasible. At the same time, precaution should not prevent careful measurement that can improve control selection. A balanced program reduces exposure at the source, measures residual exposure, communicates uncertainty, and reviews results as conditions change. The purpose of monitoring is not to prove that a worker or community has been exposed after harm occurs; it is to support earlier and stronger prevention.

21. Economics, Compensation, and Social Protection

Environmental and occupational safety have economic dimensions, but cost analysis should not reduce people to financial units. Injuries and disease generate medical expenses, lost income, reduced productivity, family caregiving, disability, and long-term social costs. Pollution can damage health, ecosystems, education, and local livelihoods. Prevention may require investment in equipment, staffing, monitoring, training, and redesign, but the comparison should include the costs avoided and the value of healthier lives and communities. A narrow focus on immediate expenditure can make prevention appear expensive while making harm invisible.

Compensation and social protection are important when prevention fails, but they do not replace prevention. Workers may need medical care, income replacement, rehabilitation, disability support, and protection from dismissal. Families may need support after death or permanent impairment. Compensation systems can also produce valuable information about patterns of injury and disease, yet underreporting and difficult standards of proof may hide the true burden. A fair system makes access understandable, timely, and independent of an employer's willingness to acknowledge fault.

Small enterprises often face real constraints in financing engineering controls, replacing equipment, or paying for specialist assessment. Public policy can combine minimum standards with technical assistance, shared services, affordable finance, group purchasing, and staged compliance. This approach should not become an excuse for accepting unsafe conditions; rather, it recognizes that enforcement is more effective when safer choices are feasible. Large companies have a particular responsibility to examine the conditions of contractors and suppliers, because risk can be transferred through purchasing arrangements.

Productivity should be understood as compatible with health. Fatigue, heat, pain, respiratory symptoms, hearing loss, stress, and fear may not appear immediately in financial accounts, but they affect attention, attendance, turnover, quality, and innovation. Investments in safe design can improve reliability and morale while reducing disruption. The strongest business case for safety is not that every intervention pays back quickly; it is that responsible management protects people, strengthens resilience, and supports sustainable value creation over time.

22. Research Priorities and Interdisciplinary Practice

Future research should examine combined exposures rather than studying hazards in isolation. Workers may experience heat, air pollution, noise, vibration, irregular schedules, and psychosocial strain at the same time. Communities may experience industrial emissions, traffic, flooding, poor housing, and economic insecurity together. Methods are needed to understand mixtures, interactions, cumulative burden, and the way social vulnerability modifies biological effects. This agenda requires collaboration among epidemiology, toxicology, exposure science, engineering, labor studies, economics, sociology, climate science, and community organizations.

Research should also improve evidence for underrepresented workers. Informal workers, agricultural workers, domestic workers, migrants, temporary staff, and people in small enterprises are often missing from registries and occupational cohorts. Their work may change across seasons or locations, making exposure difficult to measure. Participatory research, mobile methods, community partnerships, and linkage with primary care can help. The aim is not to extract more information without benefit, but to generate knowledge that improves services, protection, bargaining power, and policy recognition.

Implementation research should study how controls are adopted, adapted, maintained, and scaled. A ventilation intervention may work in a large facility but fail in a small workshop because maintenance is unaffordable. A heat policy may be adopted formally but not used when production targets are tight. A reporting application may increase data volume without increasing response. Research should document mechanisms, context, cost, unintended effects, and equity. This evidence is more useful to managers than a simple claim that an intervention was or was not effective.

Interdisciplinary practice should include shared problem definitions and joint accountability. Engineers should understand health outcomes; health professionals should understand process design; managers should understand exposure evidence; data specialists should understand privacy and labor rights; and community representatives should have a meaningful role in setting priorities. Universities can support this integration through joint teaching, field laboratories, applied research, and long-term partnerships with local government, employers, unions, and civil society. Complex hazards require complex cooperation, but cooperation becomes practical when each partner can see how their knowledge contributes to prevention.

23. Applied Case Vignettes

Consider a manufacturing facility that introduces a substitute for a solvent associated with chronic health effects. The procurement team initially focuses on toxicity, but the safety review also examines flammability, vapor generation, skin absorption, waste, maintenance, and supplier information. Workers test the new process and identify a cleaning step that produces a concentrated aerosol. Engineering controls are modified, personal sampling is repeated, and the waste contractor is included in the assessment. The substitution succeeds because it is treated as a system change rather than a simple product replacement.

In a city experiencing severe heat, an occupational and environmental program maps outdoor work, urban heat islands, tree cover, water access, air quality, and clinic visits. Construction firms adjust schedules,

create shaded recovery areas, provide acclimatization, and establish stop-work thresholds. Community health workers communicate warning signs in local languages. Evaluation examines heat illness, productivity, lost hours, worker acceptance, and differences between formal and informal workers. The program demonstrates that climate adaptation requires urban planning, labor management, health services, and community communication together.

A hospital investigates repeated needle-stick injuries. The first response blames individual technique, but a deeper review finds overcrowded treatment rooms, overfilled sharps containers, night-shift understaffing, and inconsistent availability of safety-engineered devices. Workers participate in redesigning room layout and replenishment procedures. Incident reporting improves after confidentiality and non-punitive learning are clarified. Over time, the hospital measures injuries, near misses, staffing, container availability, training, and worker perceptions. Prevention emerges from redesign and organizational learning, not from a poster reminding people to be careful.

An informal recycling cooperative faces exposure to dust, metals, noise, sharp objects, and traffic. A formal compliance model would classify the cooperative as outside the system, but a public-health partnership begins with trust, shared mapping, basic medical services, safer sorting areas, protective equipment, and market support for safer processes. Members help decide what data are collected and how results are used. The project improves health while respecting livelihoods. It illustrates that occupational safety is strongest when workers are treated as partners and when policy addresses the economic conditions that shape risk.

24. Practical Implementation Checklist

An organization beginning an integrated program can start with a short list of priority hazards and affected groups. It should identify what is known, what is uncertain, which controls already exist, and which decisions require better information. The initial assessment should include workers, contractors, supervisors, health professionals, environmental staff, emergency responders, and community representatives where relevant. A small number of well-chosen priorities creates visible learning and prevents the program from becoming an unmanageable catalogue of risks.

The next step is to assign ownership. Every critical control should have a person or team responsible for design, implementation, maintenance, verification, and review. Responsibilities should be supported by authority and resources; assigning a task without the ability to change equipment, schedules, or procurement is not accountability. Managers should define escalation rules for unresolved hazards and make clear that stopping unsafe work will not lead to retaliation. Corrective actions should have deadlines, evidence of completion, and a check that the action actually reduced risk.

Communication should be continuous and two-way. Workers and communities need understandable information about hazards, protective measures, symptoms that require attention, emergency procedures, and changes in conditions. Leaders need information that is candid about uncertainty and failure. Translation, accessible formats, visual instructions, and local examples can improve comprehension. Feedback mechanisms should allow people to report hazards anonymously or confidentially and should provide a response so that reporting is experienced as participation rather than exposure to blame.

The program should review whether controls are working in practice. This includes field observation, exposure monitoring, worker interviews, health surveillance, equipment testing, incident learning, and environmental measurement. Digital dashboards can summarize information, but periodic discussion is needed to interpret patterns and decide what to do. If an indicator changes, the team should ask whether the hazard changed, reporting changed, access changed, staffing changed, or the measurement system changed. This habit protects the organization from reacting to artifacts as if they were health events.

Finally, the program should be designed for continuity. Records, procedures, training materials, contacts, and lessons should remain available when staff change or an emergency occurs. Budgets should include maintenance and renewal rather than only initial purchase. Partnerships with universities, clinics, regulators, labor organizations, and communities can sustain technical capacity. An integrated environmental and occupational safety program becomes durable when it is part of ordinary management decisions about design, investment, production, workforce, and public responsibility.

25. Boundaries and Limitations

This article is a narrative synthesis rather than a systematic review or a substitute for jurisdiction-specific regulations. Environmental limits, occupational exposure standards, reporting duties, compensation systems, and enforcement powers differ across countries and sectors. Organizations must consult competent professionals and applicable law before making operational decisions. The principles presented here are intended to support reasoning, planning, education, and management, not to authorize exposure or replace technical assessment.

Evidence is uneven across populations. Research is often stronger for large formal workplaces than for small enterprises, informal employment, domestic work, agriculture, and settings with limited monitoring. Exposure data may be incomplete, and occupational disease can be underdiagnosed because workers change jobs, health records do not capture work history, or compensation systems require a high burden of proof. These limitations make prevention more important, not less. Uncertainty should lead to proportionate caution and better measurement.

Environmental and occupational hazards are also dynamic. New materials, automation, climate conditions, work arrangements, and supply chains can change exposure patterns. A control that worked under one production schedule may fail after staffing or process changes. Digital systems can help detect change but can also introduce new surveillance and cybersecurity risks. Organizations should therefore review assumptions regularly and involve workers and communities in identifying emerging problems.

The most durable lesson is that safety cannot be reduced to a single metric or technology. It depends on design, evidence, participation, leadership, law, resources, and culture. When these elements reinforce one another, environmental health and occupational safety become an ordinary part of competent management rather than a crisis response after harm has occurred.

The article also recognizes that some hazards cannot be eliminated immediately and that institutions must make decisions while evidence is incomplete. In such situations, transparent reasoning, conservative assumptions, interim controls, and scheduled review are preferable to either denial or exaggerated certainty. Workers and communities should know what is known, what is not known, and how protection will be strengthened as evidence improves.

For educators and managers, the practical standard is straightforward: a safe system should make hazards visible, make protective action feasible, make responsibility identifiable, and make learning continuous. It should protect people who speak about risk and should measure whether benefits reach those who are most exposed. These principles remain useful across sectors, technologies, and national contexts.

They also provide a basis for judging innovation. New equipment, materials, platforms, and work arrangements should be assessed not only for efficiency but for exposure, accessibility, maintenance, emergency response, data protection, and effects on power at work. A responsible organization asks whether innovation reduces risk at its source, strengthens worker capability, and improves environmental conditions beyond the immediate workplace. If it merely relocates hazards to contractors, communities, or

future generations, it is not genuine progress. Sustainable management requires that technical achievement remain connected to human well-being and public accountability.

This is especially important when decisions are made under pressure. Short deadlines, supply disruptions, disasters, and financial constraints can narrow attention to immediate output. A mature safety system preserves the ability to pause, reassess, and choose a safer path. It treats prevention as part of operational resilience, not as an optional activity that can be suspended whenever conditions become difficult.

The ability to pause is a practical expression of respect for human life and environmental responsibility.

It gives workers and managers time to recognize weak signals, prevent escalation, and protect the wider community.

That capacity is central to resilient and ethical management in every sector.

It turns prevention from a written aspiration into a shared operational practice supported by evidence, authority, participation, and continuous learning.

This is the foundation of trustworthy environmental and occupational governance.

26. Conclusion

Environmental health and occupational safety are complementary responsibilities rooted in the same ethical commitment: people should not have to sacrifice health, dignity, or life in order to live, work, and participate in economic development. Environmental determinants shape exposure in homes, neighborhoods, and ecosystems, while occupational conditions shape exposure during work. The boundaries overlap, especially for workers who live near workplaces, for communities affected by industrial activity, and for informal workers whose labor is essential but weakly protected.

Effective prevention starts with understanding hazards and exposure pathways, not merely recording accidents or illnesses. It uses the hierarchy of controls, sound industrial hygiene, ergonomic and psychosocial design, process safety, health surveillance, worker participation, and emergency preparedness. It recognizes that standards are necessary but not sufficient; controls must be implemented, maintained, verified, and adapted to real work. It also recognizes that environmental protection and worker protection should not be traded against one another.

Climate change, digital transformation, automation, and sustainable production create both opportunities and new risks. Heat, smoke, flooding, vector change, and extreme events require stronger adaptation. Sensors, artificial intelligence, and data systems can improve prevention when they are accurate, transparent, and governed, but technology must never become a substitute for competent leadership or a means of shifting blame to workers. A just transition protects people throughout the value chain, including contractors, informal workers, communities, and future generations.

For Indonesia and other countries undergoing rapid economic and urban transformation, the strategic agenda is to integrate environmental monitoring, occupational health, labor protection, primary care, disaster management, education, and local knowledge. Small enterprises and informal workers must be included through practical support rather than left outside formal systems. Universities and managers can help build a culture in which every project asks: What can cause harm? Who is exposed? How can the hazard be eliminated or controlled? How will we know that protection is working?

The measure of a successful system is not the number of policies, audits, dashboards, or certificates. It is whether people experience fewer harmful exposures, safer work, healthier communities, and greater ability to participate in decisions that affect them. Environmental health and occupational safety become a

foundation for sustainable development when prevention is designed into production, public policy, and everyday management.

Glossary (Glossarium)

Administrative control. A work-practice or organizational measure that changes how, when, or by whom a task is performed to reduce risk.

Environmental health. The field concerned with how physical, chemical, biological, and social environments affect human health and how hazards can be prevented.

Exposure pathway. The route by which a hazard moves from its source to a person or population, including air, water, soil, food, surfaces, and work processes.

Hierarchy of controls. A prevention framework prioritizing elimination, substitution, engineering controls, administrative controls, and personal protective equipment.

Industrial hygiene. The anticipation, recognition, evaluation, and control of workplace conditions that may cause illness or impaired health.

Occupational exposure limit. A regulatory or guidance value used to support control of worker exposure to a hazardous agent over a specified period.

Occupational safety and health. The prevention of work-related injuries, illnesses, and harmful conditions and the promotion of safe and healthy work.

Process safety. The systematic prevention of major incidents involving hazardous substances, energy, or process failures.

Safety climate. Shared worker perceptions about the priority, credibility, and practical support given to safety in an organization.

Safety culture. The values, practices, behaviors, learning patterns, and assumptions through which an organization manages risk.

Substitution. Replacing a hazardous material or process with a less hazardous alternative after evaluating the full risk profile.

Surveillance. Systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and use of health, exposure, or incident information for prevention.

Cumulative impact. The combined effect of multiple environmental, occupational, social, and economic stressors experienced by a population.

Heat strain. The physiological burden experienced when the body cannot adequately dissipate heat generated internally and by the environment.

Just transition. A transition toward sustainable production and climate resilience that protects workers, communities, livelihoods, and rights.

References (Daftar Pustaka)

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). Occupational safety and health topics. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/>
- Christian, M. S., Bradley, J. C., Wallace, J. C., & Burke, M. J. (2009). Workplace safety: A meta-analysis of the roles of person and situation factors. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(5), 1103–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016172>
- Flouris, A. D., Dinas, P. C., Ioannou, L. G., Nybo, L., Havenith, G., Kenny, G. P., & Kjellstrom, T. (2018). Workers' health and productivity under occupational heat strain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 2(12), e521–e531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(18\)30137-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30137-7)

- Fuller, R., Landrigan, P. J., Balakrishnan, K., Bathan, G., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Brauer, M., Caravanos, J., DeFrancia, D., Hanrahan, D., Hwang, S., Liu, H., McGrail, C., Naidoo, R. N., Olanipekun, W., et al. (2022). Pollution and health: A progress update. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 6(6), e535–e547. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(22\)00090-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00090-0)
- Haines, A., & Ebi, K. (2019). The imperative for climate action to protect health. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 380, 263–273. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1807873>
- International Labour Organization. (2024). Ensuring safety and health at work in a changing climate. International Labour Organization. <https://www.ilo.org/>
- Kjellstrom, T., Holmer, I., & Lemke, B. (2009). Workplace heat stress, health and productivity—An increasing challenge for low and middle-income countries. *Global Health Action*, 2(1), 2047. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v2i0.2047>
- Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu, N., Baldé, A. B., Bertollini, R., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Boufford, J. I., Breyse, P. N., Chiles, T., Mahidol, C., Coll-Seck, A. M., Cropper, M. L., Fobil, J., Fuster, V., Greenstone, M., Haines, A., et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. *The Lancet*, 391(10119), 462–512. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32345-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0)
- Lioy, P. J. (2010). Exposure science: A view of the past and milestones for the future. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(8), 1081–1090. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900530>
- Neal, A., & Griffin, M. A. (2006). A study of the lagged relationships among safety climate, safety motivation, safety behavior, and accidents at the individual and group levels. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(4), 946–953. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.4.946>
- Nahrgang, J. D., Morgeson, F. P., & Hofmann, D. A. (2011). Safety at work: A meta-analytic investigation of the link between job demands, job resources, burnout, engagement, and safety outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(1), 71–94. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021931>
- Pope, C. A., III, Ezzati, M., & Dockery, D. W. (2009). Fine-particulate air pollution and life expectancy in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360, 376–386. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa0805646>
- Robson, L. S., Clarke, J. A., Cullen, K., Bielecky, A., Severin, C., Bigelow, P. L., Irvin, E., Culyer, A., & Mahood, Q. (2007). The effectiveness of occupational health and safety management system interventions: A systematic review. *Safety Science*, 45(3), 329–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2006.07.003>
- Romanello, M., Di Napoli, C., Green, C., Kennard, H., Lampard, P., Scamman, D., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Ford, L. B., Belesova, K., et al. (2023). The 2023 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change. *The Lancet*, 402(10419), 2346–2394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)01859-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01859-7)
- Schulte, P. A., Bhattacharya, A., Butler, C. R., Chun, H. K., Jacklitsch, B., Jacobs, T., Kiefer, M., Lincoln, J., Pendergrass, S., & Wagner, G. R. (2016). Advancing the framework for considering the effects of climate change on worker safety and health. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 13(11), 847–865. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2016.1172993>
- World Health Organization. (2018). Preventing disease through healthy environments: A global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/>
- World Health Organization. (2021). WHO global strategy on health, environment and climate change: The transformation needed to improve lives and well-being sustainably through healthy environments. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/>
- World Health Organization. (2022). Compendium of WHO and other UN guidance on health and environment. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/>
- World Health Organization. (2024). Occupational health. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/occupational-health>
- Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: Theoretical and applied implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65(1), 96–102. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.65.1.96>